

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 1/9/18 9:53 AM Received: January 02, 2018 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1k2-90pf-1b51 Comments Due: January 02, 2018 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2017-0211

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities

Comment On: NRC-2017-0211-0001

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities; Request for Comment on Draft NUREG

Document: NRC-2017-0211-DRAFT-0058

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-24734

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= *Jeremy Smith (jas5)*

Submitter Information

Name: Patrick Bosold

(57)

82 FR 52944

11/15/2017

General Comment

It is almost unbelievable that any regulatory agency would approve thin casks for storage of nuclear waste. The risks are so high and the benefits are so modest and short-sighted that it defies common sense, basic principles of safety engineering and reams of science on the subject.

Dry cask storage that is safe and reliable is already available. Make the power companies, investor-owned utilities and the others who have generated this waste deal with it properly - and tell them that it is NOT acceptable to use thin cask technology that exposes millions of people to horrific risk for the sake of reduced cost and increased profits.