

50-259
260
296

DEC 11 1987

50-329
328

Mr. Steven A. White
Manager of Nuclear Power
Tennessee Valley Authority
6N 38A Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dear Mr. White:

On November 17 and 18, 1987, NRC senior managers met to review the performance of operating nuclear power plants licensed by the NRC. These meetings are conducted biannually to focus NRC resources on those plants and related issues of greatest safety significance. At this meeting the Browns Ferry and Sequoyah facilities were categorized as requiring substantial improvement. NRC places plants in this category that have experienced degradation in performance, and for which the licensee has yet to fully establish and implement a viable program for correcting the problems. These plants are shutdown and will require NRC review and approval for startup. With regard to Sequoyah, the NRC notes that TVA is in the final stages of developing and implementing its program of correction.

A summary of NRC discussions held relating to Browns Ferry and Sequoyah is provided below:

The TVA Browns Ferry and Sequoyah units have been shut down since March and August 1985. Problems identified at TVA include a perceived nuclear management breakdown and a number of operational and equipment related problems. Additionally, a number of employee concerns were raised alleging intimidation of employees, management non-responsiveness, and a number of additional hardware problems. These problems at each of the TVA units were considered to have a common root cause: a serious management breakdown in the TVA nuclear organization. In response to the staff's concerns, TVA developed a comprehensive program that is described in a Nuclear Performance Plan (NPP). The staff considers the TVA progress of implementing this plan to be acceptable. The first of the Sequoyah units, Sequoyah 2, should be ready for startup early in 1988. The most significant issues that remain to be resolved at Sequoyah include:

8712230267 871211
PDR ADCK 05000259
P PDR

1. The first section of the document discusses the initial findings and the methodology used for data collection. It highlights the importance of accurate data entry and the challenges faced during the process.

2. The second section provides a detailed overview of the data sets, including their sources, formats, and the variables included. It also mentions the steps taken to ensure data integrity and consistency.

3. The third section describes the data cleaning and preprocessing steps, such as handling missing values, removing duplicates, and standardizing the data format. It emphasizes the need for thorough validation at each stage.

4. The final section summarizes the overall data quality and the readiness of the data for further analysis. It concludes with a note on the ongoing nature of data management and the importance of regular updates.

The data sets are organized into several categories based on their geographic regions and time periods. Each category contains a set of related variables that are used for comparative analysis.

The preprocessing steps were carefully documented to ensure that the data remains transparent and reproducible. Any anomalies or errors identified during the process were promptly addressed and corrected.

The final data sets are now available for use in various analytical models and reports. The quality control measures implemented throughout the process have resulted in a high level of data accuracy and reliability.

Future work will focus on expanding the data sets to include additional variables and regions, as well as implementing more advanced data management techniques to handle the growing volume of information.

DEC 11 1987

- a. the susceptibility to damage of cables with silicone insulation,
- b. civil/structural problems identified during an integrated design inspection, and
- c. the operational readiness of personnel.

An NRC Commission meeting open to the public has been scheduled for December 17, 1987, to review the results of the latest meeting of NRC managers. Mr. Stewart Ebnetter, the Director of the Office of Special Projects, has discussed the basis for our conclusions with regard to the Browns Ferry and Sequoyah facilities with members of your staff.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:
Victor Stello

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director for Operations

DISTRIBUTION:
V Stello Jr.
JM Taylor
T Rehm
J.N. Grace, RII
S. Ebnetter, OSP
T.E. Murley, NRR
TO Martin
EDO r/f
Central File
PDR
DEDRO r/f

* See previous concurrence

OFC	:DEDRO *	:DEDRO *	:EDO	:	:	:	:
NAME	:TO Martin	:JM Taylor	:V Stello	:	:	:	:
DATE	:87/11/	:87/11/	:87/11/	:	:	:	:

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



Handwritten marks and scribbles in the top right corner.

Faint handwritten marks in the top left corner.

Main body of the page containing extremely faint and illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the paper.

- a. the susceptibility to damage of cables with silicone insulation,
- b. civil/structural problems identified during an integrated design inspection, and
- c. the operational readiness of personnel.

TVA plans to restart ~~the first of the Browns Ferry units, Browns Ferry 2,~~ during the summer of 1988. This ~~schedule~~ was considered to be optimistic.

An NRC Commission meeting open to the public has been scheduled for December 17, 1987, to review the results of the latest meeting of NRC managers. Mr. Stewart Ebnetter, the Director of the Office of Special Projects, has discussed the basis for our conclusions with regard to the Browns Ferry and Sequoyah facilities with members of your staff.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr.
Executive Director for Operations

DISTRIBUTION:

V Stello Jr.
JM Taylor
T Rehm
J.N. Grace, RII
S. Ebnetter, OSP
T.E. Murley, NRR
TO Martin
EDO r/f
Central File
PDR
DEDRO r/f

OFC	:DEDRO	:DEDRO	:EDO	:	:	:	:
NAME	:TO Martin	:JM Taylor	:V Stello	:	:	:	:
DATE	:87/11/25	:87/11/24	:87/11/	:	:	:	:

Handwritten marks in the top right corner, possibly initials or a date.



Faint, illegible text in the upper middle section of the page, possibly a header or introductory paragraph.

Large block of very faint, illegible text in the middle section of the page, likely the main body of the document.

Faint, illegible text at the bottom of the page, possibly a footer or concluding paragraph.