

As of: 1/8/18 4:32 PM
Received: January 02, 2018
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1k2-90pd-or91
Comments Due: January 02, 2018
Submission Type: Web

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Docket: NRC-2017-0211
Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities

Comment On: NRC-2017-0211-0001
Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities; Request for Comment on Draft NUREG

Document: NRC-2017-0211-DRAFT-0032
Comment on FR Doc # 2017-24734

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

31
82 FR 52944
11/15/2017

General Comment

NRC Docket ID 2017- 0211

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM - 013
E-RIDS= ADM-03
Add= *Jeremy Smith (jass)*

8.5 million southern California residents, unique ecosystems, and billions of dollars worth of property and businesses did not sign up to become a nuclear waste dump at the closed San Onofre nuclear energy plant, for millennia to come. In our earthquake and tsunami-prone area, within the critical Camp Pendleton USMC, and next to major transportation corridors, the almost 50 years of accumulated radioactive waste is slated to be housed indefinitely in thin wall canisters that even you, The NRC, are uncertain of these canisters ' integrity over the decades; especially being so close to the ocean and in a corroding marine environment. These dangerous realities are too risky to allow; and the safest and thickest canisters must handle the waste; that hopefully can withstand accidents, or natural disasters until, one day can be permanently housed away from the coast and southern California. As with the nuclear plant's ongoing negative issues through the decades, again SoCal Edison does not want to buy and use the best thick-walled canisters! Thank you for your concern.