


November 6, 1980

030 NOV 10 PM 3 08

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD



In the Matter of)
)
PENNSYLVANIA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY)
 and)
ALLEGHENY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE INC.)
)
(Susquehanna Steam Electric Station,)
Units 1 and 2))

Docket Nos. 50-387
50-388

APPLICANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
DISPOSITION OF CONTENTION 2 (CHLORINE)

Pennsylvania Power & Light Company and Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Applicants") move the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, pursuant to §2.749 of the Commission's Rules of Practice (10 C.F.R. §2.749) and the Special Prehearing Conference Order, LBP-79-6, 9 NRC 291, 328 (March 6, 1979), for summary disposition in Applicants' favor of that portion of Contention 2 in this proceeding which alleges that the health effects of chlorine discharged into the Susquehanna River have not been adequately assessed. As grounds for their Motion, Applicants allege that there is no genuine issue of material fact to be heard with respect to this aspect of Contention 2 and that Applicants are entitled to a decision in their favor on it as a matter of law.

This Motion is supported by the Affidavit of James Rios In Support of Summary Disposition of Contention 2 (Chlorine), Applicants' Statement of Material Facts As To Which There Is No Genuine Issue To Be Heard (Contention 2-Chlorine), and Applicants Brief In Support of Motion For Summary Disposition Of Contention 2 (Chlorine),

8011130 334

G

D503
50/1


all filed simultaneously herewith, together with all pleadings and other papers in this proceeding.

As set forth in the Special Prehearing Conference Order, supra, 9 NRC at 328, responses to this Motion are due three weeks from its filing date.

Respectfully submitted,

SHAW, PITTMAN, POTTS & TROWBRIDGE

By


Jay E. Silberg
Matias F. Travieso-Diaz

Counsel for Applicants

1800 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036
(202) 331-4100

Dated: November 6, 1980