APR 17 1981

Dist.

LB#1 Rdq DEisenhut BJYoungblood

RStark

MRushbrook

RHartfield, MPA

RTedesco

RVollmer

TMurley

VNoonan

OIE (3)

DRoss

OELD

Docket-File...

bcc:

TERA NRC/PDR

L/PDR

ACRS (16)

NSIC

TIC

Docket Nos.: 50-387 50-388

> Pennsylvania Power & Light Company ATTN: Mr. Norman W. Curtis Vice Prsident - Engineering and Construction 2 North Ninth Street

Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Dear Mr. Curtis:

Subject: Safety Concerns Associated with Pipe Breaks in the BWR Scan

System

On April 9, 1981, we discussed with your representatives the NRC's Office Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data (AEOD) report entitled, "Safety Concerns Associated with Pipe Break in the BWR Scram System." The Report describes a potential sequence of events which could result from a break in the BWR scram discharge piping during a scram condition concurrent with an inability to reclose the scram outlet valves. Concerns identified include the quality of the scram discharge volume piping, the ability to detect and isolate such a break, and potential water and steam degradation of available ECCS equipment as a result of the break. A number of recommendations were made in the report to=remedy=the=potential=concerns

We are presently studying these issues and recommendations to determine whether the BWR design basis accidents should be modified and as a consequence whether appropriate actions should be taken for operating BWR plants. The purpose of this letter is to provide to you the AEOD report so that you can evaluate its applicability to your plant and determine appropriate remedial measures. and to request information from you concerning your evaluation in order to assist in determining an appropriate course of action for the NRC.

Therefore, please provide us within 45 days of your receipt of this letter, the following information:

- A generic evaluation of the applicability of the indicated sequences of events in the REPORT to the BWR plant design, your estimate of the probability of occurrence of suchsequences, and the bases for these conclusions,
- A generic evaluation of the applicability of the indicated safety concerns and findings in the REPORT relative to BWR plant construction, design, and operation and the bases for 810. these conclusions, and

mp4

	1040						
OFFICE	020						
SURNAME		,		*******************************	,		
DATE	}			_			
NRC FORM 318 (10/80) NRCM 9240 OFFICIAL SECORD CORV							v USGPO: 1980—329.82



,

3. A generic evaluation of the recommendations listed in the REPORT discussing the degree to which the recommendations are being or have been implemented with bases why the recommendations should or should not be completely implemented on BMRs.

In addition, provide the following information within 120 days of your receipt of this letter:

- 1. Provide an evaluation of the applicability of the 45 day generic evaluation to your plant. This evaluation should contain plant specific considerations related to system design, instrumentation, construction, operation, operator training, and emergency procedures for your plant.
- 2. In light of the AEOD report and the 45 day generic evaluation, provide a plant specific evaluation of your facility's Scram Discharge Volume System conformance to GDC 14, GDC 35, GDC 55, §50.2(v), 50.55a (including footnote 2), and §50.46 of the Commission's regulations. This evaluation should address which portions of the Scram Discharge Volume System are considered to be part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary, the quality group and safety class of the Scram Discharge Volume System, the codes and standards used for the design, fabrication and inservice inspection of this system, and your bases for the above classifications

3. Provide by analysis or reference a demonstration that a break in the Scram Discharge Volume System meets the requirements of §50.46 of the Commission's regulations, taking into account the environmental and flooding aspects of such a break

It is our position that this item must be resolved prior to issuance of an operating license.

Sincerely.

Original signed by Robert L. Tedesco

Robert L. Tedesco, Assistant Director for Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

CC: See next page w/enclosure

OFFICE DL:LB#1/ DL:G#1/ DL:A#2

SURNAMED RStark/ys BJYoungblood RLTedesco

DATE 4/0/81 4/10/81

Mr. Norman W. Curtis
Vice President - Engineering
and Construction
Pennsylvania Power and Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

cc: Mr. Earle M. Mead
Project Engineering Manager
Pennsylvania Power & Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Jay Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts &
Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Mr. William E. Barberich, Nuclear Licensing Group Supervisor Pennsylvania Power & Light Company 2 North Ninth Street Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Edward M. Nagel, Esquire
General Counsel and Secretary =
Pennsylvania Power & Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Bryan Snapp, Esq.
Pennsylvania Power & Light Company
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Robert M. Gallo Resident Inspector P. O. Box 52 Shickshinny, Pennsylvania 18655

John L. Anderson
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Union Carbide Corporation
Bldg. 3500, P. O. Box X
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

Gerald R. Schultz, Esq.
Susquehanna Environmental Advocates
P. O. Box 1560
Wikes-Barre, Pennsylvania 18703

Mr. E.B. Poser Project Engineer Bechtel Power Corporation P. O. Box 3965 San Francisco, California 94119

Matias F. Travieso-Diaz, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Dr. Judith H. Johnsrud Co-Director Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power 433 Orlando Avenue State College, Pa 16801

Mr. Thomas M. Gerusky, Director
Bureau of Radiation Protection
Department of Environmental
Resources
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
P. O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, Pa 17120

Ms. Colleen Marsh Box 538A, RD#4 Mountain Top, PA .18707

Mr. Thomas J. Halligan
Correspondent
The Citizens Against Nuclear
Danagers
P. O. Box 5
Scranton, Pennsylvania 18501

Mr. J.W. Millard
Project MAnager
Mail Code 394
General Electric Company
175 Curtner Avenue
San Jose, California 95125

Robert W. Adler Dept. of Environmental Resources 505 Executive House P. O. Box 2357 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 15120