

## NuScaleDCRaisPEm Resource

---

**From:** Cranston, Gregory  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 28, 2018 3:36 PM  
**To:** RAI@nuscalepower.com  
**Cc:** NuScaleDCRaisPEm Resource; Lee, Samuel; Chowdhury, Prosanta; Dudek, Michael; Lavera, Ronald; Markley, Anthony  
**Subject:** Request for Additional Information No. 351 RAI No. 9259 (12.2)  
**Attachments:** Request for Additional Information No. 351 (eRAI No. 9259).pdf

Attached please find NRC staff's request for additional information concerning review of the NuScale Design Certification Application.

Please submit your technically correct and complete response within 60 days of the date of this RAI to the NRC Document Control Desk. .

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Thank you.

Gregory Cranston, Senior Project Manager  
Licensing Branch 1 (NuScale)  
Division of New Reactor Licensing  
Office of New Reactors  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
301-415-0546

**Hearing Identifier:** NuScale\_SMR\_DC\_RAI\_Public  
**Email Number:** 382

**Mail Envelope Properties** (CY4PR09MB128743C7F7DEC268A92570C690E60)

**Subject:** Request for Additional Information No. 351 RAI No. 9259 (12.2)  
**Sent Date:** 1/28/2018 3:36:29 PM  
**Received Date:** 1/28/2018 3:36:38 PM  
**From:** Cranston, Gregory

**Created By:** Gregory.Cranston@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"NuScaleDCRaisPEm Resource" <NuScaleDCRaisPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Lee, Samuel" <Samuel.Lee@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Chowdhury, Prosanta" <Prosanta.Chowdhury@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Dudek, Michael" <Michael.Dudek@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Lavera, Ronald" <Ronald.LaVera@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Markley, Anthony" <Anthony.Markley@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"RAI@nuscalepower.com" <RAI@nuscalepower.com>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** CY4PR09MB1287.namprd09.prod.outlook.com

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	558	1/28/2018 3:36:38 PM
Request for Additional Information No. 351 (eRAI No. 9259).pdf		106617

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

## Request for Additional Information No. 351 (eRAI No. 9259)

Issue Date: 01/28/2018

Application Title: NuScale Standard Design Certification - 52-048

Operating Company: NuScale Power, LLC

Docket No. 52-048

Review Section: 12.02 - Radiation Sources

Application Section: 12.2, 11.1

### QUESTIONS

12.02-26

#### Regulatory Basis

10 CFR 52.47(a)(5) requires applicants to identify the kinds and quantities of radioactive materials expected to be produced during operation and the means for controlling and limiting radiation exposures within the limits set forth in 10 CFR Part 20.

10 CFR 20.1101(b) and 10 CFR 20.1003 require the use of engineering controls to maintain exposures to radiation as far below the dose limits in 10 CFR Part 20 as is practical. 10 CFR 20.1204, "Determination of Internal Exposure"; 10 CFR 20.1701, "Use of Process or Other Engineering Controls"; and 10 CFR 20.1702, "Use of Other Controls," as they relate to design features, ventilation, monitoring, and dose assessment for controlling the intake of radioactive materials. NuScale DSRS section 12.2, "Radiation Source," regarding the identification of isotopes and the methods, models and assumptions used to determine dose rates. The Acceptance Criteria provided in NuScale DSRS section 12.3, "Radiation Protection Design Feature," provides guidance to the staff for evaluating the potential for airborne radioactivity areas within the facility.

#### Background

NuScale Design Control Document (DCD) Tier 2 Revision 0, subsection 12.2.1, "Contained Sources," states that the contained radiation sources are developed for normal operation and shutdown conditions and are based on the design basis primary coolant activity concentrations from DCD Tier 2, Section 11.1.

DCD Section 12.2.1.8, "Reactor Pool Water," states that the radionuclide contribution resulting from neutron activation of the reactor pool water contents is not significant due to the reduced neutron flux in the reactor pool water. DCD Section 12.2.1.8 further states that the neutron flux at the outside edge of the containment vessel was calculated to be approximately six orders of magnitude less than the average neutron flux in the core, and continues to quickly decrease in the reactor pool's borated water. The small amount of neutron activation products in the reactor pool water was calculated to be insignificant compared to the amount of primary coolant radionuclides released to the reactor pool water during refueling outages.

While DCD Table 12.2-1, "Core and Coolant Source Information," provides some information about neutron production rates in the core, insufficient information is available to support the statement regarding the quantity of neutrons making it to the pool water that was made by the applicant in DCD Section 12.2.1.8.

The impact of tritium production is presented through a number of tables contained in the application including:

- DCD Table 12.2-10, "Reactor Pool Cooling, Spent Fuel Pool Cooling, Pool Cleanup and Pool Surge Control System Component Source Terms - Radionuclide Content," which lists the tritium concentration values for the reactor pool water and the pool surge control system (PSC) Surge Tank.
- DCD Tier 2 Revision 0, Table 12.2-32, "Input Parameters for Determining Facility Airborne Concentrations," which provides the parameters for determining facility airborne activity concentrations, including tritium,
- DCD Table 12.2-33, "Reactor Building Airborne Concentrations," which lists the tritium airborne concentration in the reactor building (RXB).

The staff acknowledges that there is some validity to the statement by the applicant that the small amount of neutron activation products in the reactor pool water may be insignificant when compared to the amount of primary coolant radionuclides released to the reactor pool water during refueling outages.

The staff expects that all the neutrons exiting the Nuclear Power Modules (NPM) will be absorbed in one or more of the materials in the pool water. Based on the review of information made available to the staff during the RPAC Chapter 12 Audit, the staff determined that the applicant did not consider how the production of deuterium through the adsorption of a neutron by mono-nucleon Hydrogen would increase the atomic abundance of deuterium in the UHS pool water over time. The increase in the atomic abundance of deuterium results in a change in the macroscopic cross section of deuterium used to determine the amount of tritium produced by activation of water. The macroscopic cross section of deuterium is based on the microscopic cross section of

deuterium (which does not change) and the relative atomic abundance of deuterium. Based on the staff review of information made available to the staff during the RPAC Chapter 12 Audit, the staff determined that the applicant used a fixed macroscopic cross section of deuterium for the production of tritium in the pool water.

Key Issue 1:

Because the methodology used by the applicant to calculate the tritium production rate in the UHS pool water does not account for the change in atomic abundance of deuterium in the UHS pool water over time, it underestimates the total production of tritium due to neutron activation of water. Since airborne tritium concentrations in the RXB are directly dependent on the UHS pool tritium concentration, the airborne tritium activity concentrations in the RXB may be underestimated.

Question 1:

To facilitate staff understanding of the application information sufficient to make appropriate regulatory conclusions, the staff requests that the applicant:

- Please explain how tritium production due to neutron activation will be addressed to account for the change in atomic abundance of deuterium as described above,
- As necessary, revise DCD Section 12.2.1.8 to include a description of the revised methodology, that reflect the assumptions related to the buildup of deuterium and resultant increase over time,
- As necessary, revise DCD Section 12.2.2, "Airborne Radioactive Material Sources," to reflect the changes to RCS tritium concentration and the associated bases,
- As necessary, revise DCD Table 12.2-10, DCD Table 12.2-32 and DCD Table 12.2-33 to reflect the changes in UHS pool and RXB airborne tritium concentrations resulting from accounting for the buildup of deuterium,

OR

Provide the specific alternative approaches used and the associated justification.