ENCLOSURE 1 PROPOSED CHANGES TO TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 2 AND 3 TVA BFNP TS 167



.

•

• • •

· · ·

•		
5 9	UNIT 2	`
	PROPOSED CHANGES	, " · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•
· · ·		
		E.
•		
		· · ·
		· ·
	~	· ·
•	4	
		<i>.</i> .
•••		
•		• .

1.3.

r

, ,

SAFET	Y LIMIT	LIMI	TING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING
1.1	FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY	2.1	FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY .
×			_
à			
			For no combination of loop recirculation flow rate and core thermal power shall the APRM flux scram trip setting be allowed to exceed 120% of rated thermal power. (Note: These settings assume operation within the basic thermal hydraulic design criteria. These criteria are LHGR<13.4 kw/ft for 8x8, 8x8R, and P8x8R fuel, MCPR limits of Spec 3.5.k. If it is determined that either of these design criteria is being violated during operation, action shall be initiated within 15 minutes to restore operation within prescribed limits. Surveillance requirements for APRM scram setpoint are given in specification 4.1.B.
-	•	2.	APRMWhen the reactor mode switch is in the STARTUP POSITION, the APRM scram shall be set at less than or equal to 15% of rated power.
•		3.	IRMThe IRM scram shall be set at less than or equal to 120/125 of ful scale.
в.	Core Thermal Power Limit	в.	APRM Rod Block Trip Setting
	(Reactor Pressure <u>4800 psia)</u> When the reactor pressure is		The APRM Rod block trip setting shall be:
	less than or equal to 800 psia,		
æ	•		,
		1	
	٤	9	
	4		· · ·

۰.

. *

• • • •

¥

.

v

•

. ,

97 ^{*}

SAFETY LIMIT

1.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY

or core coolant flow is less than 10% of rated, the core thermal power shall not exceed 823 MWt (about 25% of rated thermal power).

LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING

2.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY

$S_{RB} \leq (0.66W + 42\%)$

where:

W

S_{RB} = Rod block setting is percent of rated thermal power (3293 MWt)

= Loop recirculation flow rate in percent of rated (rated loop recirculation flow rate equals 34.2 x 10⁶ lb/hr)

- C. Whenever the reactor is in the shutdown condition reactor vessel, the water level shall not be less than 17.7 inches above the top of the normal active fuel zone.
- C. Scram & isolation- ≥538 in. above reactor low water vessel zero level
- D. Scram--turbine stop ≤ 10 percent valve closure valve closure.
- E. Scram--turbine control valve
 - Upon trip 1. Fast Closure of the fast acting solenoid valves.
 - Loss of Control ≥ 550 psig oil pressure
- F. Scram--low con- ≥ 23 inches denser vacuum Hg Vacuum
- G. Scram--main steam ∠10 percent line isolation valve closure
- H. Main steam isolation ≥ 825 psig valve closure--nuclear system low pressure

1.1 BASES

Because the boiling transition correlation is based on a large quantity of full scale data there is a very high confidence that operation of a fuel assembly at the condition of MCPR =1.07 would not produce boiling transition. Thus, although it is not required to establish the safety limit additional margin exists between the safety limit and the actual occurence of loss of cladding integrity.

However, if boiling transition were to occur, clad perforation would not be expected. Cladding temperatures would increase to approximately 1100°F which is below the perforation temperature of the cladding material. This has been verified by tests in the General Electric Test Reactor (GETR) where fuel similar in design to BFNP operated above the critical heat flux for a significant period of time (30 minutes) without clad perforation.

If reactor pressure should ever exceed 1400 psis during normal power operating (the limit of applicability of the boiling transition correlation) it would be assumed that the fuel cladding integrity Safety Limit has been violated.

At pressures below 800 psia, the core elevation pressure drop (0 power, 0 flow) is greater than 4.56 psi. At low powers and flows this pressure differential is maintained in the bypass region of the core. Since the pressure drop in the bypass region is essentially all elevation head, the core pressure drop at low powers and flow will always be greater than 4.56 psi. Analyses show that with a flow of 28×10^3 lbs/hr bundle flow, bundle pressure drop is nearly independent of bundle power and has a value of 3.5 psi. Thus, the bundle flow with a 4.56 psi driving head will be greater than 28×10^3 lbs/hr. Full scale ATLAS test data taken at pressures from 14.7 psia to 800 psia indicate that the fuel asnembly critical power at this flow is approximately 3.35 Mwt. With the design peaking factors this corresponds to a core thermal power of wore than 50%. Thus, a core thermal power limit of 25% for reactor pressures below 800 psia is conservative.

For the fuel in the core during periods when the reactor is shut down, conaideration must also be given to water level requirements due to the effect of decay heat. If water level should drop below the top of the fuel during this time, the ability to remove decay heat is reduced. This reduction in cooling capability could lead to elevated cladding temperatures and clad perforation. As long as the fuel remains covered with water, sufficient cooling is available to prevent fuel clad perforation.

. · · . , . • • • •

.

2.1 BASES

Analyses of the limiting transients show that no scram adjustment is required to assure MCPR > 1.07 when the transient is initiated from MCPR limits specified in specification 3.5.k.

2. APRM Flux Soram Trip Setting (Refuel or Start & Hot Standby Mode)

For operation in the startup mode while the reactor is at low pressure, the APRM soram setting of 15 percent of rated power provides adequate thermal margin between the setpoint and the safety limit, 25 percent of rated. The margin is adequate to accommodate anticipated maneuvers associated with power plant startup. Effects of increasing pressure at zero or low void content are minor, cold water from sources available during startup is not much colder than that already in the system, temperature coefficients are small, and control rod patterns are constrained to be uniform by operating procedures backed up by the rod worth minimizer and the Rod Sequence Control System. Thus, all of possible sources of reactivity input, uniform control rod withdrawal is the most probable cause of significant power rise. Because the flux distribution associated with uniform rod withdrawals does not involve high local peaks, and because several rods must be moved to change power by a significant percentage of rated power, the rate of power rise is very slow. Generally, the heat flux is in near equilibrium with the fission rate. In an assumed uniform rod withdrawal approach to the scram leve, the rate of power rise is no more than 5 percent of rated power per minute, and the APRM system would be more than adequate to assure a scram before the power could exceed the safety limit. The 15 percent APRM scram remains active until the mode switch is placed in the RUN position. This switch occurs when reactor pressurer is greater than 850 psig.

3. IRM Flux Scram Trip Setting

The IRM System consists of 8 chambers, 4 in each of the reactor protection system logic channels. The IRM is a 5-decade instrument which covers the range of power level between that covered by the SRM and the APRM. The 5 decades are covered by the IRM by means of a range switch and the 5 decades are broken down into 10 ranges, each being one-half of a decade in size. The IRM scram setting of 120 divisions is active in each range of the IRM. For

, , , , .

· ·

LINITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

3.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

Applicability

Applies to the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate a reactor scram.

<u>Objective</u>

To assure the operability of the reactor protection system.

Specification

When there is fuel in the vessel, the setpoints, minimum number of trip systems, and minimum number of instrument channels that must be operable for each position of the reactor mode switch shall be as given in Table 3.1.A. SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

Applicability

Applies to the surveillance of the instrumentation and assoclated devices which initiate reactor scram.

Objective

To specify the type and frequency of surveillance to be applied to the protection instrumentation.

Specification

A. Instrumentation systems shall be functionally tested and calibrated as indicated in Tables 4.1.A and 4.1.B respectively.

When it is determined that a C. channel is failed in the unsafe condition, the other RPS channe that monitor the same variable shall be functionally tested immediately before the trip sys tem containing the failure is tripped. The trip system containing the unsafe failure may 1 untripped for short periods of time to allow functional testin of the other trip system. The trip system may be in the untripped position for no more than eight hours per functional test period for this testing.

· · ·

. .

.

The frequency of calibration of the APRM Flow Biasing Network has been established as each refueling outage. There are several instruments which must be calibrated and it will take several hours to perform the calibration of the entire network. While the calibration is being performed, a zero flow signal will be sent to half of the APRM's resulting in a half scram and rod block condition. Thus, if the calibration were performed during operation, flux shaping would not be possible. Based on experience at other generating stations, drift of instruments, such as those in the Flow Biasing Network, is not significant and therefore, to avoid spurious scrams, a calibration frequency of each refueling outage is established.

Group (C) devices are active only during a given portion of the operational cycle. For example, the IRM is active during startup and inactive during full-power operation. Thus, the only test that is meaningful is the one performed just prior to shutdown or startup: i.e., the tests that are performed just prior to use of the instrument.

Calibration frequency of the instrument channel is divided into two groups. These are as follows:

- 1. Passive type indicating devices that can be compared with like units on a continuous basis.
- 2. Vacuum tube or semiconductor devices and detectors that drift or lose sensitivity.

Experience with passive type instruments in generating stations and substations indicates that the specified calibrations are adequate. For those devices which employ amplifiers, etc., drift specifications call for drift to be less than 0.4%/month; i.e., in the period of a month a drift of 4% would occur and thus providing for adequate margin. For the APRM system drift of electronic apparatus is not the only consideration in determining a calibration frequency. Change in power distribution and loss of chamber sensitivity dictate a calibration every seven days. Calibration on this frequency assures plant operation at or below thermal limits.

A comparison of Tables 4.1.A and 4.1.B indicates that two instrument channels have been included in the latter table. These are: mode switch in shutdown and manual scram. All of the devices or sensors associated with these scram functions are simple on-off switches and, hence, calibration during operation is not applicable, i.e., the switch is either on or off.

• • •

•

- •

The sensitivity of LPRM detectors decreases with exposure to neutron flux at a slow and approximately constant rate. The APRM system, which uses the LPRM readings to detect a change in thermal power, will be calibrated every seven days using a heat balance to compensate for this change in sensitivity. The RBM system uses the LPRM reading to detect a localized change in thermal power. It applies a correction factor based on the APRM output signal to determine the percent thermal power and therefore any change in LPRM sensitivity is compensated for by the APRM calibration. The technical specification limits of CMFLPD, CPR, and MAPLHGR are determined by the use of the process computer or other backup methods. These methods use LPRM readings and TIP data to determine the power distribution.

Compensation in the process computer for changes in LPRM sensitivity will be made by performing a full core TIP traverse to update the computer calculated LPRM correction factors every 1000 effective full power hours.

• As a minimum the individual LPRM meter readings will be adjusted at the beginning of each operating cycle before reaching 100 percent power.

4

•

NOTES FOR TABLE 3.2.C

- For the startup and run positions of the Reactor Mode Selector Switch, there shall be two operable or tripped trip systems for each function. The SRM, IRM, and APRM (Startup Mode), blocks need not be operable in "Run" mode, and the APRM (flow biased) and RBM rod blocks need not be operable in "Startup" mode. If the first column cannot be met for one of the two trip systems, this condition may exist for up to seven days provided that during that time the operable system is functionally tested immediately and daily thereafter. If this condition lasts longer than seven days, the system with the inoperable channel shall be tripped. If the first column cannot be met for both trip systems, both trip systems shall be tripped.
- 2. W is the recirculation loop flow in percent of design. Trip level setting is in percent of rated power (3293 MWt).

See Specification 2.1 for APRM control rod block setpoint.

- 3. IRM downscale is bypassed when it is on its lowest range.
- 4. This function is bypassed when the count rate is ≥ 100 cps and IRM above range 2.
- 5. One instrument channel, i.e., one APRM or IRM or RBM, per trip system may be bypassed except only one of four SRM may be bypassed.
- 6. IRM channels A, E, C, G, all in range 8 bypasses SRM channels A & C functions.

IRM channels B, F, D, H, all in range 8 bypasses SRM channels B & D functions.

7. The following operational restraints apply to the RBM only.

a. Both RBM channels are bypassed when reactor power is $\leq 30\%$.

- b. The RBM need not be operable in the "startup" position of the reactor mode selector switch.
- c. Two RBM channels are provided and only one of these may be bypassed from the console. An RBM channel may be out of service for testing and/or maintenance provided this condition does not last longer than 24 hours in any thirty day period.
- d. If minimum conditions for Table 3.2.C are not met, administrative controls shall be immediately imposed to prevent control rod withdrawal.

LINITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

LHGR_d = Design LHGR = 18.5 kW/ft

(AP/P) = Maximum power spiking penalty max = 0.026

LT = Total core length = 12.0 ft

L = Axia) position above bottom of core If at any time during operation it is determined by normal surveillance that the limiting value for LNGR is being exceeded, action shall be initiated within 15 minutes to restore operation to within the prescribed limits. If the LNGR is not returned to within the prescribed limits within two (2) hours, the reactor shall be brought to the Cold Shutdown condition within 36 hours. Surveillance and corresponding action shall continue until reactor operation is within the prescribed limits.

K. Minimum Critical Power Ratio (MCPR)

The MCPR operating limit for BFNP 2 cycle 4 is 1.32 for 7X7, 1.27 for 8X8, 8x8R, and P8x8R fuclu. These limits apply to steady state power operation at rated power and flow. For core flows other than rated, the MCPR shall be greater than the above limits timus K_f . K_f is the value shown in Figure 3.5.2.

If at any time during operation it is determined by normal surveillance that the limiting value for MCPR is being exceeded, action shall be initiated within 15 minutes to restore operation to within the prescribed limits. If the steady MCPR is not returned to within the prescribed limits within two (2) hours, the reactor shall be brought to the Cold Shutdown condition within 36 hours, 'surveillance and corresponding action shall continue until reactor operation is within the prescribed limits.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

K. <u>Hinimum Critical Power Ratio</u> (HCPR)

MCPR shall be determined daily during reactor power operation at 25% rated thermal power and following any change in power. level or distribution that would cause operation with a limiting control rod pattern as described in the bases for Specification 3.3.

e Biribur, es Birbirisur,

• •

1

• .

Limi	ting	Conditions for Operation
3.5	Cor	e and Containment Cooling Systems
.	L.	APRM Setpoints
	, , ,	 Whenever the core thermal power is > 25% of rated; the ration of FRP/CMFLPD shall be > 1.0, or the APRM soram and rod block setpoint equations listed in sections 2.1.A and 2.1.B shall be multiplied by FRP/CMFLPD as follows:
		$S \leq (0.66W + 54\%) \frac{FRP}{CMFLPD}$
	٠ -	$S_{RB} \leq (0.66W + 42\%) (\frac{FRP}{CMFLPD})$
		2. When it is determined that
		3.5.L.1 is not being met, 6 hours is allowed to correct the condition.
*		3. If 3.5.L.1 and 3.5.L.2 cannot be met, the reactor power shall be reduced to < 25% of rated thermal power within 4 hours.
	М.	Reporting Requirements
		If any of the limiting values identified in Specifications 3.5.I, J, K, or L.3 are ex- ceeded and the specified remedial action is taken, the event shall be logged and reported in a 30-day written report.
L	,	н Н
		,

Surveillance_Requirements

- 4.5 <u>Core and Containment</u> <u>Cooling Systems</u>
 - L. APRM Setpoints

FRP/CMFLPD shall be determined daily when the reactor is > 25% of rated thermal power.

Mi datados

· .*....

-* *

È

P.

. •

•

•

-

3.5.N References

- 1. "Fuel Densification Effects on General Electric Boiling Water Reactor Fuel," Supplements 6, 7, and 8, NEIM-10735, August 1973.
- 2. Suplement 1 to Technical Report on Densification of General Electric Reactor Fuels, December 14, 1974 (USA Regulatory Staff).
- 3. Communication: V. A. Moore to I.S. Mitchell, "Modified GE Model for Fuel Densification," Docket 50-321, March 27, 1974.
- 4. Generic Reload Fuel Application, Licensing Topical Report, NEDE-24011-P-A and Addenda.



PROPOSED CHANGES

	• •	•
	Safety limit	LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING
	1.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY	2.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY
,	THE FOLL CLADDING INTEGRITY	W = Loop recircu- lation flow rate in per- cent of rated (rated loop recirculation flow rate equals
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		For no combination of loop recirculation flow rate and core thermal power shall the APRM flux scram trip setting be allowed to exceed 120% of rated thermal power.
		<pre>(NOTE: These settings assume ' operation within the basic thermal hydraulic design criteria. These, criteria are LHGR ≤ 13.4kW/ft and MCPR within limits of specification 3.5.K.</pre>
	10	2

· · ·

.

- u Martus I e τ
- .
- .
- ;

- .

- · · •
 - •
 - - . .
- - . **.**
 - •
 - •
- •

SAFETY LIMIT

LIMITING SAFETY SYSTEM SETTING

2 FUEL CLACDING INTEGRITY 1.1 2.1 FUEL CLADDING INTEGRITY APRM Rod Block Trip Setting B. 8. Core Thermal Power Limit [Reactor Pressure \$800 psia] The APRM Rod block trip setting shall be: When the reactor pressure is less than or equal to S RBS (0.66H +42%) 800 psia, or core coolant flow is less than 10% of where: rated, the core thermal power shall not exceed 823 S_{RB} = Rod block setting HWt (about 25% of rated in percent of rated thermal rower). thermal power (3293 MHE) Loop recirculation flow rate in percent of rated (rated loop recirculation flow rate equals 34.2 x 10* 1b/hr) Power Transient C. To ensure that the Safety Limit established in Specification 1.1.A and 1.1.B is not exceeded, each required scram shall be initiated by its expected scram signal. The Safety Limit shall be assumed to be exceeded when screm is accouplished by means other than the expected scram signal. 12

.

.

· •

. .

because it provides adequate margin for the fuel cladding integrity safety limit yet allows operating margin that reduces the possibility of unnecessary scrams.

Analyses of the limiting transients show that no scram adjustment is required to assure MCPR > 1.07 when the transient is initiated from MCPR >*** .

2. <u>APRM Flux Scram Trip Setting (Refuel or Start & Hot</u> <u>Standy Mode)</u>

For operation in the startup mode while the reactor is at low pressure, the APRM scram setting of 15 percent of rated power provides adequate thermal margin between the setpoint and the safety limit, 25 percent of rated. The margin is adequate to accomodate anticipated maneuvers associated with power plant startup. Effects of increasing pressure at zero or low void content are minor, cold water from sources available during startup is not much colder than that already in the system, temperature coefficients are small, and control rod patterns are constrained to be uniform by operating procedures backed up by the rod worth minimizer and the Rod Sequence Control System. Worth of individual rods is very low in a uniform rod pattern. Thus, all of possible sources of reactivity input, uniform control rod withdrawal is the most probable cause of significant power rise. Because the flux distribution associated with uniform rod withdrawals does not involve high local peaks, and because several rods must be moved to change power by a significant percentage of rated power, the rate of power rise is very slow. Generally, the heat flux is in near equilibrium with the fission rate. In an assumed uniform rod withdrawal approach to the scram level, the rate of power rise is no more than 5 percent of rated power per minute, and the APRM system would be more than adequate to assure a scram before the power could exceed the safety limit. The 15 percent APRM scram remains active until the mode switch is placed in the RUN position. This switch occurs when reactor pressure is greater than 850 psig.

3. IRM-Flux Scram Trip Setting

The IRM System consists of 8 chambers, 4 in each of the reactor protection system logic channels. The IRM is a

*** See Section 3.5.K

· .

• • •

,

, ·

• · · · ·

3.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

Applicability

Applies to the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate a reactor scram.

Objective

To assure the operability of the reactor protection system.

Specification'

When there is fuel in the vessel, the setpoints, minimum number of trip systems, and minimum number of instrument channels that must be operable for each position of the reactor mode switch shall be as given in Table 3.1.A.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.1 REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM

Applicability

Applies to the surveillance of the instrumentation and associated devices which initiate reactor scram.

Objective

To specify the type and frequency of surveillance to be , applied to the protection instrumentation.

Specification

A. Instrumentation systems shall be functionally tested and calibrated as indicated in Tables 4.1.A and 4.1.B respectively.

c.

31

When it is determined that a channel is failed in the unsafe condition, the other RPS channels that monitor the same variable shall be functionally tested immediately before the trip system containing the failure is tripped. The trip system containing the unsafe failure may be untripped for short periods of time to allow functional testing of the other trip system. The trip system may be in the . untripped position for no more than eight hours per functional test period for this testing.

The frequency of calibration of the APRM Flow Biasing Network has been established as each refueling outage. There are several instruments which must be calibrated and it will take several hours to perform the calibration of the entire network. While the calibration is being performed, a zero flow signal will be sent to half of the APRM's resultin; in a half scram and rod block condition. Thus, if the calibration were performed during operation, flux shaping would not be possible. Based on experience at other generating stations, drift of instruments, such as those in the Flow Biasing Network, is not significant and therefore, to avoid spurious scrams, a calibration frequency of each refueling outage is established.

Group (C) devices are active only during a given portion of the operational cycle. For example, the IRM is active during startup and inactive during full-power operation. Thus, the only test that is meaningful is the one performed just prior to shutdown or startup; i.e., the tests that are performed just prior to use of the instrument.

Calibration frequency of the instrument channel is divided into two groups. These are as follows:

- 1. Passive type indicating devices that can be compared with like units on a continuous basis.
- 2. Vacuum tube or semiconductor devices and detectors that drift or lose sensitivity.

Experience with passive type instruments in generating stations and substations indicates that the specified calibrations are adequate. For those devices which employ amplifiers, etc., drift specifications call for drift to be less than 0.4%/month; i.e., in the period of a month a drift of .4% would occur and thus providing for adequate margin. For the APRM system drift of electronic apparatus is not the only consideration in determining a calibration frequency. Change in power distribution and loss of chamber sensitivity dictate a calibration every seven days. Calibration on this frequency assures plant operation at or below thermal limits.

A comparison of Table 4.1.A and 4.1.B indicates that two instrument channels have not been included in the latter table. These are: mode switch in shutdown and manual scram. All of the devices or sensors associated with these scram functions are simple on-off switches and, hence, calibration during operation is not applicable, i.e., the switch is either on or off.

.....

46

*

. . • •

.

•

• • .

. · · · ·

•

The sensitivity of LPRM detectors decreases with exposure to neutron flux at a slow and approximately constant rate. The APRM system, which uses the LPRM readings to detect a change in thermal power, will be calibrated every seven days using a heat balance to compensate for this change in sensitivity. The RBM system uses the LPRM reading to detect a localized change in thermal power. It applies a correction factor based on the APRM output signal to determine the percent thermal power and therefore any change in LPRM sensitivity is compensated for by the APRM calibration. The technical specification limits of CMFLPD, CPR, and MAPLHGR are determined by the use of the process computer or other backup methods. These methods use LPRM readings and TIP data to determine the power distribution.

Compensation in the process computer for changes in LPRM sensitivity will be made by performing a full core TIP traverse to update the computer calculated LPRM correction factors every 1000 effective full power hours.

As a minimum the individual LPRM meter readings will be adjusted at the beginning of each operating cycle before reaching 100 percent power.

· · · · ·

.

NOTES FOR TABLE 3.2.C

- 1. For the startup and run positions of the Reactor Mode Selector Switch, there shall be two operable or tripped trip systems for each function. The SRM, IRM, and APRM (Startup Mode), blocks need not be operable in "Run" mode, and the APRM (flow biased) and RBM rod blocks need not be operable in "Startup" mode. If the first column cannot be met for one of the two trip systems, this condition may exist for up to seven days provided that during that time the operable system is functionally tested immediately and daily thereafter. If this condition lasts longer than seven days, the system with the inoperable channel shall be tripped. If the first column cannot be met for both trip systems, both trip systems shall be tripped.
- 2. W is the recirculation loop flow in percent of design. Trip level setting is in percent of rated power (3293 MWt).

See Specification 2.1 for APRM control rod block setpoint.

- 3. IRM downscale is bypassed when it is on its lowest range.
- 4. This function is bypassed when the count rate is ≥ 100 cps and IRM above range 2.
- 5. One instrument channel, i.e., one APRM or IRM or RBM, per trip system may be bypassed except only one of four SRM may be bypassed.
- 6. IRM channels A, E, C, G, all in range 8 bypasses SRM channels A & C functions.
 - IRM channels B, F, D, H, all in range 8 bypasses SRM channels B & D functions.
- 7. The following operational restraints apply to the RBM only.

a. Both RBM channels are bypassed when reactor power is $\leq 30\%$.

- b. The RBM need not be operable in the "startup" position of the reactor mode selector switch.
- c. Two RBM channels are provided and only one of these may be bypassed from the console. An RBM channel may be out of service for testing and/or maintenance provided this condition does not last longer than 24 hours in any thirty day period.
- d. If minimum conditions for Table 3.2.C are not met, administrative controls shall be immediately imposed to prevent control rod withdrawal.

•

÷

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

3.5 <u>CORE AND CONTAINMENT</u> COOLING SYSTEMS

and corresponding action shall continue until reactor operation is within the prescribed limits.

K. Minimum Critical Power Ratio (MCPR) The MCPR operating limit is 1.24 for 8x8 fuel, and 1.25 for 8x8R fuel, and 1.25 for P8x8R fuel. These limits apply to steady state power operation at rated power and flow. For core flows other than rated, the MCPR shall be greater than the above limits times K_f. K_f is the value shown in Figure 3.5.2. If at any time during operation, it is determined by normal surveillance that the limiting value ' for MCPR is being exceeded, action shall be initiated , within 15 minutes to restore operation to within the prescribed limits. If the steady state MCPR is not returned to within the prescribed limits within two (2) hours, the reactor shall be brought to the Cold Shutdown condition within 36 hours. Surveillance and corresponding action shall continue until reactor operation is within the prescribed limits.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.5 CORE AND CONTAINMENT COOLING SYSTEMS

K. <u>Minimum Critical Power</u> <u>Ratio (MCPR)</u>

> MCPR shall be determined daily during reactor power operation at 2 25% rated thermal power and following any change in power level or distribution that would cause operation with a limiting control rod pattern as described in the bases for Specification 3.3.

Victor.

.

-. .

.

.

, ,

. . .

· · · ·

,

, ·

.

۰, ,

•

.

•

Limiting Conditions for Operation		Surveillance Requirements					
3.5	Core and Containment Cooling Systems		4.	5 <u>Cor</u> Coc	Core and Containment Cooling Systems		
	L. <u>APRM Setpoints</u> Whenever to power is > ration of > 1.0, or to rod block listed in 2.1.B shale FRP/CMFLPD S< (0.66W S_{RB} (0.66W When it is 3.5.L.1 is 6 hours in correct th If 3.5.L.1 is 6 hours in correct th If 3.5.L.1 be met, the be reduced thermal power is 1.5.L.1 is 1.5.L.1 be met, the be reduced thermal power is 1.5.L.1 be met, the power is 1.5.L.1 be power is 1.5.L.1 be met, the power is 1.5.L.1 be power is 1.5.L.1 be po	he core thermal 25% of rated, the FRP/CMFLPD shall be he APRM scram and setpoint equations sections 2.1.A and 1 be multiplied by as follows: + 54%) FRP CMFLPD A+ 42%) (FRP M+ 42%) (FRP M+ 42%) (CMFLPD) determined that not being met, s allowed to e condition. and 3.5.L.2 cannot e reactor power shall to < 25% of rated wer within 4 hours. <u>irements</u> the limiting values in Specifications K, or L.3 are ex- the specified ction is taken, shall be logged ed in a 30-day port.		L.	APRM Setpoints FRP/CMFLPD shall be determined daily when the reactor is > 25% of rated thermal power.		
-			ļ				

PRM Setpoints

- ۲ delatente e e e

- . 4

- × .

- .
- •

- .
- •

- - *
- a,

 - - · ·

 - .
 - . •

generation if fuel pellet

densification is postulated.

The LHGR for 8x8, 8x8R, and P8x8R fuel shall be checked daily during reactor operation at $\geq 25\%$ power to determine if fuel burnup, or control rod movement has caused changes in power distribution. For LHGR to be a limiting value below 25\% rated thermal power, the, MTPF would have to be greater than 10 which is precluded by a considerable margin when employing any permissible control rod pattern.

3.5.K Minimum Critical Power Ratio (MCPR)

At core thermal power levels less than or equal to 25%, the reactor will be operating at minimum recirculation pump speed and the moderator void content will be very small. For all designated control rod patterns which may be employed at this point, operating plant experience and thermal hydraulic analysis indicated that the resulting MCPR value is in excess of requirments by a considerable margin. With this low void content, any inadvertent core flow increase would only place operation in a more conservative mode relative to MCPR. The daily requirement for calculating MCPR above 25% rated thermal power is sufficient since power distribution shifts are very slow when there have not been significant power or control rod changes. The requirement for calculating MCPR when a limiting control rod pattern is approached ensures that MCPR will be known following a change in power or power shape (regardless of magnitude) that could place operation at a thermal limit.

3.5.L APRM Setpoints

The fuel cladding integrity safety limits of section 2.1 were based on a total peaking factor within design limits (FRP/CMFLPD ≥ 1.0). The APRM instruments must be adjusted to ensure that the core thermal limits are not exceeded in a degraded situation when entry conditions are less conservative than design assumptions.

3.5.M Reporting Requirements

The LCO's associated with monitoring the fuel rod operating conditions are required to be met at all times, i.e., there is no allowable time in which the plant can knowingly exceed the limiting values for MAPLHGR, LHGR, and MCPR. It is a requirement, as stated in Specification 3.5.I, J, and K, that if at any time during steady state power operation it is determined that the limiting values for MAPLHGR, LHGR, or MCPR are exceeded, action is then initiated to restore operation to within the prescribed limits. This action is initiated as soon as normal surveillance indicates that an operating limit has been reached. Each event involving steady state operation beyond a specified limit shall be

n ' 7, '

5 **5** 1

.

р _____

• • .

,

, **.** . ,

.

* . · .

۰ ۲

• •

*

3.5 BASES

logged and reported quarterly. It must be recognized that there is always an action which would return any of the parameters (MAPLHGR, LHGR, or MCPR) to within prescribed limits, namely power reduction. Under most circumtances, this will not be the only alternative.

N. References

4. Generic Reload Fuel Application, Licensing Topical Report NEDE 24011-P-A and Addenda.

• • • • • • • •

.

. .

.

• • • •

• • •

. .

· • •

.

ENCLOSURE 2 SAFETY ANALYSIS

) - . · · · ·

.

•

· •

• • • •

•

•

Safety Analysis

Under the old MCHFR correlations, the peaking factor (MFLPD/FRP) adjustment to the flow biased soram and rod block equations had relevance to maintaining core limits in certain flow excursion transients. Since adoption of CPR correlations, this is no longer the case and the flow biased equations now serve as a backup to the fixed (120%) scram and the RBM system, and provides additional conservatism for transients. Note that credit is not taken for the flow biased trips in the Browns Ferry transient analayses. Therefore, there is sufficient justification for relaxing the corrective action and time allowances in comparison to the standard core limits (MCFR, LHGR, etc.). Also, the time needed to actually adjust the instruments is some what lengthy and often corrective action in the form of core power changes (increases or decreases) rod movement, or xenon burn-in can be more expeditiously effected. Some flexibility in this area is also required to allow uranium efficient rod patterns to be established during the reactor startups.

. . . . ۰ ۰

•

• • •