

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 1/8/18 4:18 PM Received: January 02, 2018 Status: Pending_Post Tracking No. 1k2-90pd-3xiz Comments Due: January 02, 2018 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2017-0211

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities

Comment On: NRC-2017-0211-0001

Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems and Facilities; Request for Comment on Draft NUREG

Document: NRC-2017-0211-DRAFT-0015

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-24734

(14)
11/15/2017
82 FR 52944

Submitter Information

Name: Gerry Martocci

General Comment

I am VERY much against using the thin, temporary canisters for storing the nuclear waste instead of the thicker casks that many other countries use. They seem totally unsafe for what you are asking them to do. Lives are at risk. On top of everything, San Onofre sits on a beach, in an earthquake fault zone and a tsunami zone. Sound familiar?? Fukushima is still leaking waste into the Pacific Ocean and it's not safe to be anywhere near it. I live not that far from the San Onofre plant. I am extremely concerned about the decisions they are making with regards to the storage. Please do not go with the thin temporary canisters!!!!!!!!!!!!

SUNSI Review Complete**Template = ADM – 013****E-RIDS= ADM-03****Add= Jeremy Smith (jas5)**