REGULATORY SNFORMATION DISTRIBUTION STEEM (RIDS)

DOC.DATE: 83/08/12 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # ~ACCESSION NBR:8308190243 FACIL: 50-400 Shearon Hanris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Carolina 05000400 50-401 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Carolina 05000401 50-402 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 3, Carolina 05000402 50-403 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 4, Carolina 05000403

AUTHOR AFFILIATION AUTH_NAME

Carolina Power & Light Co. MCDUFFIE, M.A.

RECIPIENT AFFILIATION RECIP NAME

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director DENTON, H.R.

SUBJECT: Forwards response to Structural Engineering Branch draft 'SER Open Item '5 restructural effect of cancelation of Units 3 & 4. Details & revised FSAR figures will be submitted as FSAR ·amend in Sept.

TITLE: Licensing Submittal: PSAR/FSAR Amdts & Related Correspondence

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME:		COPIES		RECIPIENT		COPIES	
			LTTR ENCL		ID CODE/NAME		LTTR	ENCL
	NRR/DL/ADL	-	1 -	•0	NRR ĽB3∴BC		1	, i <u>0</u>
	NRR LB3 LA		1	· O	KADAMBI,P	-01=	1	1 .
	LIGITRA, M.	01	ï	1			ē	
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS1		1	(0	IE FILE.		. 1-	, 1 •
	IE/DEPER/EPB	:36	3	∍3	*IE/DEPER/IRB	35	1	1.
	·IE/DEQA/QAB	21	1	1	NRR/DE/AEAB	•	1	0
•	NRR/DE/CEB	11	1.	1	NRR/DE/EHEB		1	1.
	NRR/DE/EQB	13	2.	*2	NRR/DE/GB	28	~2	2
	NRR/DE/MEB	18,	1 a	. 1	NRR/DE/MTEB	17	1	1
	NRR/DE/SAB	~24	1 4	1	NRR/DE/SGEB	25	1	1
	NRR/DHFS/HFEE	340	1	1	NRR/DHFS/LQB	₹32	. 1	1.
	NRR/DHFS/PSRE		1	1	NRR/DL/SSPB	as.	1	•0
		26	۸1.	1	NRR/DSI/ASB		1	1.
	NRR/DSI/CPB	1.0	1.	. 1	NRR/DSI/CSB	09	1	1
	NRR/DSI/ICSB		1	1	NRR/DSI/METB	.12	1	1
		19	ĩ	1	NRR/DSI/RAB	22	1	1.
		23.	, 1 .	ï	REG_EILE	-04	e 1	1
	RGN2	 ,	_" 3	¥ 3 =	RM/DDAMI/MIB		.1	0
EXTERNAL:	ACRS	41.	.6	۰6	BNL (AMDTS ON	LY)	. 1	1 #
	DMB/DSS (AMD)	rs)	1	1	FEMA-REP DIV	·39	1	1
	LPDR	03	.1	1	NRC PDR	02,	1	1
		05	1	1	NTIS		1	1.

Tank. H . 13. HH 24. 341 R. IN THEIRE in to one > Exalt Kinge * tea 1

Company to the company of the compan alest . - a summer elements and lesing and the bolings and a second the ्रावाहरीक्षणहरूणे केहें, प्रश्तार क्षेत्रकारी र प्रशासकार प्रशास का श्री प्रशास हो है विकास स्थाप स्थाप र प्रशास र स्वाहरीक्षणहरूणे क्षेत्र स्थाप क्षेत्रकारी र प्रशासकार का स्थापकार हो हे विवाह है । स्वाहर स्थापकार स्थापकार # # N \$ # € 1

* OUR THE MO INTERPRETARIES Illista

THE REPORT OF THE WORK OF THE PROPERTY OF THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR and a set of the

THE RESERVENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY O of me at them to

्रामुक्ता मान्य के प्रतिकार के प्रतिकार के क्ष्या के किस्ता के किस्ता के किस्ता के किस्ता के किस्ता के किस्ता क अक्टलक व्यवस्था के किस्ता किस्ता के किस् र्के

Se 18 "

	R d ded	T I RIVE R S S			
	tã a ₌¶	The Market Marke	j 4	, la gra	
	ŗ	s d d H c ?			🌓 i i i 🔌 🎉 i ji i li li li
¥	ţ	The first of the second	N Y	×	4 C 1 1 1 5 1
		ţ	R	k	kit a rustildid
Ĭ.	Ř	40 18	ė,	¥	Rock Market Commencer
11	Ř	and the second second	;;	K.,	THE THE PERSON AND TH
,	ř.	Collection of the second	Ħ	X	M" NO THE SE
ł _i	Ì.	The same of the same of	Á	x	IL K. T. L. A. L.
1	1			1	E. R. I. W. H. W. I. P. F.
щ	₹.	in North Nation of the National States	P	<u>.</u>	N. B. W. C.
Ji.	Ŗ		i	ą.	to the state of
Ŋ	łi,	The state of the s	ī	Ĭ.	Pylo I a company
	P	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	; }	ž.	the day of the state of the sta
).	Ħ	V 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	н	k	with the first the
11	R	4 . 4	ξ.	Α- V	F. M. Complete Manager Complete
¥	Ŷ	Take 1 of the state of the stat	P	<u></u>	Hell to he he he to the
k	ſ.		Ŷ	*	N. T. CONTRACTOR
1	, P	The state of the state of	17+	× v	6 1- 13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1.		R N.	, '	ab.	14 15
			1	٤,	1 1 71
¥	Ñ	ų̃o si iljt n. jų ¶	4	K j	LAND LAND LONG
ť,	6	the sext of the se	Ř	*	K M - 124 Early HM - M
1,	()	١٠ الرابع الم	<u>9</u>	ı	¢ f' ∫, ∪f g
,R	P	. N N '	R	×	6.11

Carolina Power & Light Company

AUG 12 1983

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

> SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-400 AND 50-401 DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT RESPONSE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING BRANCH

Dear Mr. Denton:

Carolina Power & Light Company hereby transmits one original and forty copies of a response to a Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant Draft Safety Evaluation Report Open Item. This response is for the Structural Engineering Branch Open Item No. 5 relating to the structural effect of the cancellation of Units 3 and 4. Details and revised Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) figures will be submitted as an FSAR Amendment in September.

Yours very truly,

Or M. A. McDuffie Senior Vice President Engineering & Construction

LSW/cfr (7669NLU)

Attachment

cc: Mr. S. B. Kim (NRC-SEB)

Mr. E. A. Licitra (NRC)

Mr. G. F. Maxwell (NRC-SHNPP) Mr. J. P. O'Reilly (NRC-RII)

Mr. Travis Payne (KUDZU)

Mr. Daniel F. Read (CHANGE/ELP)

Chapel Hill Public Library

Wake County Public Library

Mr. Wells Eddleman

Dr. Phyllis Lotchin

Mr. John D. Runkle

Dr. Richard D. Wilson

Mr. G. O. Bright (ASLB)

Dr. J. H. Carpenter (ASLB)

Mr. J. L. Kelley (ASLB)

Bool

SERIAL: LAP-83-380

8308190243 830812 PDR ADOCK 05000400

7 **

And the second s

Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant
Draft Safety Evaluation Report Open Item 5
(FSAR Section 3.8, NRC Question 220.2)

The question addresses the effect of cancelling Units 3 and 4 on Units 1 and 2 from a structural design point of view. For example, wind and tornado-missile loads on the containment building may have changed. To date, the staff has received inadequate response.

Response

Design changes to the SHNPP configuration as a result of cancellation of Units 3 and 4 have been completed. Changes to the Seismic Category I structures have been evaluated to assure continued compliance with the design basis as set forth in the FSAR.

A number of structures were eliminated in their entirety, since they were seismically independent structures on which construction has not yet been started. These include:

Unit 3 and 4 Containment Buildings

Unit 3 and 4 Reactor Auxiliary Buildings

Unit 3 and 4 Tank Building

Unit 3 and 4 Diesel Generator Building

A number of seismic category one structures were completed as designed, even though they were common facilities. Completion was the most practical solution where significant construction had already taken place, and where a change in seismic response to installed equipment for Units 1 and 2 could result from a revised configuration. These structures include:

Fuel Handling Building
Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Building
Emergency Service Water Screening Structure
Waste Processing Building

One additional change was required by the deletion of Units 3 and 4. The west side of the Fuel Handling Building and Waste Processing Building had previously been protected by the now deleted buildings. As a result of their new exposure, all of the doors and penetrations in the west wall will be sealed or missile protected as needed. In addition, a seismically designed retaining wall is being constructed adjacent to the west wall to ensure these structures are not exposed to earthen pressures or soil structure interactions not accounted for in their seismic design.

The Emergency Service Water/Cooling Tower Intake Structure was the only Class I building to be redesigned. The north wall of the 3/4 side of the structure was built in its entirety; the remaining portion of this side of the structure was constructed from Elevation 190 MSL to 223 MSL. The design changes considered building stability as well as stiffness to maintain a consistent seismic response for the Unit 1/2 side equipment.

(7669NLU cfr)

, . .

