

May 17, 1979

Ivan W. Smith, Esq., Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION

Reis
Cutchin
Barth
Shapar/Engelhardt
Christenbury/Scinto
FF (2)
Reg Cen: LPDR
S. Miner
O Parr
S. Bajwa
R. Regan

Dr. J. V. Leeds, Jr.
10807 Atwell Drive
Houston, Texas 77096

In the Matter of
Carolina Power and Light Company
(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1, 2, 3 and 4)
Docket Nos. 50-400, 50-401, 50-402 and 50-403

Gentlemen:

In reviewing the Staff's proposed findings herein it appears that errors in one of the sentences obscures its meaning. The sixth sentence in Staff proposed finding 20 on p. 9 should read:

It will strongly [~~insist that~~] resist any NRC pressure on [them] it to change operations, in a way that might increase costs, without clear indication that there [was] is a positive contribution to safety or a regulatory base for the change (Long and Dance, Tr. 2971-2976; 3015-3016).

Sincerely,

Edwin J. Reis
Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel

cc: Richard E. Jones, Esq.
Thomas Erwin, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel

Docketing and Service Section
Wake County Public Library
George F. Trowbridge, Esq.
Dennis P. Myers

7907130 507

OFFICE >	OELD				
CURNAME >	EJReis/dkw				
DATE >	05/ /79				



1950 OCT 20

May 17, 1979

Ivan W. Smith, Esq., Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

DISTRIBUTION

Reis
Cutchin
Barth
Shapar/Engelhardt
Christenbury/Scinto
FF (2)
Reg Cen: LPDR
S. Miner
O Parr
S. Bajwa
R. Regan Gentlemen:

Dr. J. V. Leeds, Jr.
10807 Atwell Drive
Houston, Texas 77096

In the Matter of
Carolina Power and Light Company
(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1, 2, 3 and 4)
Docket Nos. 50-400, 50-401, 50-402 and 50-403

In reviewing the Staff's proposed findings herein it appears that errors in one of the sentences obscures its meaning. The sixth sentence in Staff proposed finding 20 on p. 9 should read:

It will strongly ~~[insist that]~~ resist any NRC pressure on ~~[them]~~ it to change operations, in a way that might increase costs, without clear indication that there ~~[was]~~ is a positive contribution to safety or a regulatory base for the change (Long and Dance, Tr. 2971-2976; 3015-3016).

Sincerely,

Edwin J. Reis
Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel

cc: Richard E. Jones, Esq.
Thomas Erwin, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel

Docketing and Service Section
Wake County Public Library
George F. Trowbridge, Esq.
Dennis P. Myers

7907130 507

OFFICE ➤	OELD				
BURNAME ➤	EJReis/dkw				
DATE ➤	05/ /79				