


James L. Perkins

March 27, 1980

Mr. Bechhoefer, Mr. Bright, and Dr. Paris  
ASLB for Susquehanna 1 and 2 Docket #50-387, 388  
USNRC  
Washington D.C. 20555



Mr. Bechhoefer, Mr. Bright, and Dr. Paris:

I attended the hearing on March 20 in the hope of being able to make a limited appearance statement. However, due to another commitment and to the lengthier than expected hearing I missed my opportunity. I hope you will accept these written comments as if I had been able to present them.

I have several points: the first is relatively minor. I made a limited appearance statement to you in January, 1979, regarding the use of the Resmussen Report by PP&L for public relations purposes. At the lunch recess that day I asked Mr. Bechhoefer if I would receive a reply. I think he said I would. Much later I received a letter from Mr. Cutchin saying, in effect, that neither the Staff nor PP&L needed to answer my statement unless it had a direct bearing on certain issues. He then completely mischaracterized my statement and assured me my concerns were without support. I responded to clarify my position, but received no further word. Assuming that neither the Staff nor the Applicants were supposed to respond because of Mr. Cutchin's letter, I gave up.

Later still I read by chance a copy of the Special Pre-hearing Conference Order of March 6. On page 77 it reads:

"During the special prehearing conference, we heard a number of limited appearance statements offered in accordance with 10 CFR 2.715(a). We asked the Applicant and/or Staff to prepare responses to those statements not comprehended by the admitted contentions."

As I've read the contentions, there is none that mentions PP&L's public relations campaign. That is a small matter, but it does cause me to wonder about the good faith of the attorney for the Staff.

I'd like now to share with you several quotations that seem germane to this hearing. On page 24 of the Kemeny Commission Report reads the following comment:

"What we consider crucial is whether the proposed changes are carried out by the same organizations (unchanged), with the same kinds of practices and the same attitudes that were prevalent prior to the accident. As long as proposed improvements are carried out in a 'business as usual' atmosphere, the fundamental changes necessitated by the accident at Three Mile Island cannot be realized."

James L. Perkins

page 2

So we must look to the NRC and the utility for improvements in attitude, for true concern and for a new way. On March 10, 1980, Olan Parr, the NRC project manager for the Susquehanna facility, was quoted in the Harrisburg Patriot: "When you come out of a situation like that, (TMI) you're a little bit punchy. You have expended so much effort in a very narrow area. Now we are trying to relearn how we were doing business before." (emphasis and parenthetical comment mine) I suggest to you that the Staff, upon which you rely for support and upon whose judgement the neighbors of Susquehanna may someday have to rely for their well-being, has not learned the lessons of TMI. On the national level this was commented upon by Kemeny on page 56:

"With its present organization, staff and attitudes the NRC is unable to fulfill its responsibility for providing an acceptable level of safety for nuclear power plants."

(On a personal level, this was reconfirmed by my experience at the special prehearing conference last week. As I took notes for Dr. Johnsrud, I was startled to have a Staff attorney shout at her right over my head. Uninformed though I may be, it certainly seems that requests for a party to refrain from interrupting should be directed to the chairman or at least politely to the individual. Such behavior does not seem to represent changes in attitude which are positive.)

Of all these quotes and thoughts, perhaps the best summation was offered by Mitchell Rogovin in The Prognosis on page 171: "We are not reassured by what we see so far."

So, here we are in another licensing situation. The Applicants had moved to throw out one intervenor and exclude two others from oral participation in making the record complete. What has been the method used to bring about this situation? It certainly seems that the attempt has been made to bury the representatives under massive requests for information. Ask them to list every document and every conversation they've ever had pertaining to low-level radiation. Ask them the names of the Applicants' plants, and then ask them where they got that information. Ask them for information that no one knows such as the amount of radon due to the plant should it ever be allowed to operate.

And then, when the answer is we don't know, or this is unreasonable, the response has been to demand the answer again and to threaten the intervenors with expulsion. I don't think the attitudes of the utility seem to have changed much either.

James L. Perkins

page 3 (please excuse the change in type face)

I'd like to ask you to do something positive.

Here is a utility, PP&L, which:

- 1) does not need the power. Annually this company sells outside its service area 30 percent or more of the total kwh it sells to its customers. Furthermore, it is the only winter peaking member of its P-J-N conglomerate, to the best of my knowledge.
- 2) has spent about 1.6 billion dollars to date, but has announced that its current estimates call for an additional 1.6 billion dollars.
- and 3) in debates and talks throughout the state has been represented by its public relations people as continuing to build the plant for economic reasons only. On several occasions I have heard PP&L spokesmen say that in 1968 the company's forecasts indicated they would need the plant, but that now they don't. These representatives say the company must keep building in order to recoup the past investment.

The question of the need for power is real, but is not one that can be addressed by the present Public Utility Commission. It is a question that ought to be addressed now, in light of the sales records of the last few years and PP&L's notable record as conservation proponents. As long as the construction permit for Susquehanna is in place, PP&L feels the weight of the millstone around its neck. The company must keep constructing the unneeded and phenomenally expensive plant. So, for the people of the upper Susquehanna valley, for PP&L and for all PP&L customers, I ask you to suspend the construction permit for Susquehanna until an independent auditing team is given freedom, funding and access to PP&L records to assess the company's need for power and to assess the consequences and explore with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission alternatives to the consequences of a permanent halt to the construction.


If it is not in your power to suspend the construction permit, I ask that you recommend to the PUC Commissioners that such a suspension without prejudice. I would truly like to see PP&L back in the public's favor and freed from this folly.

PS Best wishes for  
Mr. Wright's  
recovery soon!

PPS please drop the  
enclosed card in the

mail so I will know this has arrived. Thanks


Sincerely,



James L. Perkins

P.O. Box 1318

State College, PA 16801



EDMUND T SULKEVICH  
674 ALTER ST  
HAZLETON PA 18201



Mailgram



4-038471S105 04/14/80 ICS IPMMTZZ CSP WSHB  
7174545131 MGM TDMT HAZLETON PA 124 04-14 0225P EST

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-387,388

CHAIRMAN  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
1717 H ST NORTHWEST  
WASHINGTON DC 20555

DEAR MR CHAIRMAN


A NATIONAL TELEVISION NEWS BROADCAST RECENTLY STATED THAT THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WILL RECOMMEND THAT AN EVACUATION PLAN BE ADOPTED BY PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANIES WHICH WILL USE NUCLEAR REACTORS TO GENERATE ELECTRICITY.

AS A MINOR STOCKHOLDER IN PENNSYLVANIA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY WHICH HAS A NUCLEAR POWER PLANT UNDER CONSTRUCTION ABOUT 16 MILES FROM MY HOME, I AM WONDERING THAT IN THE EVENT OF A MELT-DOWN AT THE NEARBY PENNSYLVANIA POWER AND LIGHT SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT IN SALEM TOWNSHIP NEAR BERWICK PA WHAT WOULD BE THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM RADIUS IN MILES FROM THE PLANT WHICH WOULD HAVE TO BE EVACUATED AND FOR HOW LONG?

SINCERELY  
EDMUND T SULKEVICH

14:26 EST

MGMCOMP MGM



5241 (R1/78)

DOCKET NUMBER 50-387,388  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.

I would like to be notified of the time and location of the upcoming licensing hearing for the reactor at Beaver PA.

I would also urge the N.R.C. to consider scheduling some of these hearings on weekends and or in the evenings for those people who are interested in attending them but who hold day time jobs.

In addition I would like to be sent a request for a limited appearance statement in conjunction with these hearings.

Thank - you


Stephen Mausteller

DOCKET NUMBER 50-387,388  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.

Stephen Mausteller  
48 Birchwood  
Ranger PA.



George Rogers Clark, Vincennes, 1779




CUSPS 1977

Samuel Chilk  
Secretary of Commission  
Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Wash., D.C. 20555

DOCKET NUMBER 50-387,388  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.

Samuel Chilk, Secretary  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Att Docketing & Service Branch  
Washington, D.C. 20555



Mr. Chilk,

I am writing to request time to make a Limited Appearance Statement at the licensing hearing's for Pennsylvania Power and Light's Susquehanna Steam Electric Stations 1 and 2 under construction in Berwick, Pennsylvania (Docket numbers 50-387 and 50-388). Please inform me when and where these hearings will take place and when I will be able to speak. I also would like to request that time be made available in the evenings and/or on weekends for these statements to insure greater public input.

Thank you,


*Kitty Schumacher*  
*650 Hickory St.*  
*Scranton - Pa. - 18505*  
*Phone - 1-717-347-6787*

Mr. Chalk,

I am writing to request time to make a Limited Appearance Statement at the licensing hearings for Pennsylvania Power and Light's Susquehanna Steam Electric Stations 1 and 2 under construction in Berwick, Pennsylvania (Docket numbers 50-387 and 50-388). Please inform me when and where these hearings will take place and when I will be able to speak. I also would like to request that time be made available in the evenings and/or on weekends for these statements to insure greater public input.

Thank you,  
APR 21 1980

James C. Connor  
309 Laurel Blvd.  
Pottsville Pa, 17901





UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of )  
)  
PENNSYLVANIA POWER AND LIGHT )  
COMPANY )  
)  
(Susquehanna Steam Electric )  
Station, Units 1 and 2) )  
)  
)

Docket No.(s) 50-387.  
50-388

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document(s) upon each person designated on the official service list compiled by the Office of the Secretary of the Commission in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.712 of 10 CFR Part 2 - Rules of Practice, of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this

24th day of April 1970.

Peggy K. Downing  
Office of the Secretary of the Commission

3 limited appearance requests  
1 TWX re evacuation plans

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of )  
)  
PENNSYLVANIA POWER AND LIGHT ) Docket No.(s) 50-387  
COMPANY, ET AL. ) 50-388  
)  
(Susquehanna Steam Electric )  
Station, Units 1, and 2) )

SERVICE LIST

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq., Chairman  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Oscar H. Paris  
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Counsel for NRC Staff  
Office of the Executive Legal Director  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Jay E. Silberg, Esq.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge  
1800 "M" Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036

Pennsylvania Power and Light Company  
ATTN: Mr. Norman W. Curtis  
Vice President - Engineering  
and Construction  
2 North Ninth Street  
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18101

Dr. Judith H. Johnsrud  
Co. Director, Environmental  
Coalition on Nuclear Power  
433 Orlando Avenue  
State College, Pennsylvania 16801

Ms. Colleen Marsh  
558A  
R.D. #4  
Mount Top, Pennsylvania 18707

Mr. Thomas J. Halligan  
Correspondent - CAND  
P.O. Box 5  
Sacranon, Pennsylvania 18501

Gerald Schultz, Esq.  
Susquehanna Environmental Advocates  
174 Machell Avenue  
Dallas, Pennsylvania 18612

Mr. Thomas M. Gerusky, Director  
Bureau of Radiation Protection  
Department of Environmental Resources  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
P.O. Box 2063  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Albert E. Vogel, Jr., Esq.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Dept. of Environmental Resources  
P.O. Box 2357  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120