

# ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 9006210477      DOC. DATE: 90/06/12      NOTARIZED: NO      DOCKET #  
 FACIL: 50-400 Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Carolina      05000400  
 AUTH. NAME      AUTHOR AFFILIATION  
 NEWELL, G.J.      North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency  
 NEWELL, G.J.      Spiegel & McDiarmid  
 RECIPIENT NAME      RECIPIENT AFFILIATION  
 VIRGILIO, M.J.      Policy Development & Technical Support Branch (Post 870411)

SUBJECT: Notifies that arbitrator issued award in proceeding & advises of withdrawal of request for complaint proceedings.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: Y002D      COPIES RECEIVED: LTR/\_\_\_ ENCL /\_\_\_ SIZE: 9  
 TITLE: Distribution for Atypical 50 Dkt Material

NOTES: Application for permit renewal filed. 05000400 /

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR	ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR	ENCL
	PD2-1 LA BECKER, D	1	1	PD2-1 PD VIRGILIO, M.J..	1	1
INTERNAL:	ACRS	1	1	AEOD/DSP/TPAB	1	1
	OGC/HDS1	1	1	<u>REG FILE</u> 01	1	1
	RGN2	1	1			
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	1	NRC PDR	1	1
	NSIC	1	1			

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK,  
 ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION  
 LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 12 ENCL 12

*auth & mark  
cut*

R  
I  
D  
S  
/  
A  
D  
D  
S

# SPIEGEL & McDIARMID

GEORGE SPIEGEL PC  
 ROBERT C. McDIARMID  
 SANDRA J. STREBEL  
 ROBERT A. JABLON  
 JAMES N. HORWOOD  
 ALAN J. ROTH  
 FRANCES E. FRANCIS  
 DANIEL I. DAVIDSON  
 PETER K. MATT  
 DAVID R. STRAUS  
 BONNIE S. BLAIR  
 THOMAS C. TRAUGER  
 JOHN J. CORBETT  
 CYNTHIA S. BOGORAD  
 GARY J. NEWELL  
 MARC R. POIRIER  
 RICHARD A. BROWN  
 JOSEPH VAN EATON  
 RENA I. STEINZOR  
 P. DANIEL BRUNER  
 SCOTT H. STRAUSS

1350 NEW YORK AVENUE N.W.  
 WASHINGTON D.C. 20005 4798

TELEPHONE (202) 879-4000  
 TELECOPIER (202) 879-4001  
 TELECOPIER (202) 879-4081

BEN FINKELSTEIN  
 DONALD WEIGHTMAN  
 MARGARET A. MCGOLDRICK  
 BARBARA S. ESBIN  
 MARTIN S. PUTNAM  
 SUSAN M. BERNARD  
 DIANE H. LAUTRUP  
 JEFFREY R. BABBIN  
 DAVID KOLKER  
 LISA G. DOWDEN  
 WILLIAM S. HUANG  
 RISE J. PETERS  
 PETER J. HOPKINS  
 NANCY R. PAGE  
 RUSSELL F. SMITH, III  
 KODWO GHARTEY-TAGOE  
 P. DAVID LOPEZ  
 MARK F. BADALAMENTE  
 DAVID E. POMPER  
 JOSHUA KATZ

June 12, 1990

OF COUNSEL  
 SPENCER L. KIMBALL  
 ANTHONY B. MACDONALD

<sup>1</sup> MEMBER OF WISCONSIN BAR ONLY  
<sup>2</sup> MEMBER OF NEW YORK BAR ONLY  
<sup>3</sup> MEMBER OF PENNSYLVANIA BAR ONLY  
<sup>4</sup> MEMBER OF MICHIGAN BAR ONLY  
<sup>5</sup> MEMBER OF MASSACHUSETTS BAR ONLY

*Dated/Bill*  
*Matt*

Mr. Martin J. Virgilio  
 Chief, Policy Development and  
 Technical Support Branch  
 Program Management, Policy  
 Development and Analysis Staff  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
 Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
 Washington, D.C. 20555

RE: Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1 -  
 Request for Initiation of Informal Complaint  
 Procedures

Dear Mr. Virgilio:

By letter dated May 5, 1989, addressed to Dr. Thomas Murley, North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency ("Power Agency") requested the initiation of informal complaint procedures pursuant to Section 5.3.2 of NUREG-0970. The purpose of that request was to seek enforcement of antitrust license conditions contained in the Facility Operating License for the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit No. 1, operated by Carolina Power & Light Company ("CP&L") on behalf of itself and Power Agency as co-licensees.

As indicated in Power Agency's May 5, 1989 request, matters set forth in Power Agency's complaint were also the subject of an arbitration proceeding commenced by Power Agency. Pursuant to your request, Power Agency and CP&L have kept you apprised of the status of that arbitration proceeding. The purpose of this letter is to notify you that the Arbitrator has issued his award in that proceeding, and to advise you of Power Agency's withdrawal of its request for the initiation of informal complaint procedures.

A copy of the Arbitrator's award, which was issued on June 9, 1990, is enclosed. The award resolves certain issues of contract interpretation under the 1981 Power Coordination Agreement between CP&L and Power Agency that had arisen in

9006210477 900612  
 PDR ADOCK 05000400  
 M PDC

*Y002  
 111*



100  
101

Mr. Martin J. Virgilio  
June 12, 1990  
Page Two

connection with Power Agency's efforts to utilize alternative bulk power supplies (i.e., power supplies other than those provided by CP&L). Without delving into the details of the award, we note for your information that the Arbitrator ruled in CP&L's favor on certain of these contract interpretation disputes, and in Power Agency's favor on others.

Power Agency's request for informal complaint procedures was based on the view that CP&L had asserted unjustified interpretations of the 1981 PCA that obstructed Power Agency's access to alternative power supplies. The Arbitrator's ruling sustains certain of the contract interpretations advanced by each of the parties, including certain of CP&L's interpretations that had formed the basis (in part) for Power Agency's complaint. Further, since the Arbitrator's ruling resolves contract interpretation disputes that had previously separated the parties, it may now be possible for CP&L and Power Agency to negotiate arrangements for the use by Power Agency of certain alternative power supplies. Power Agency expects to seek the commencement of such negotiations in the very near future. Under these circumstances, Power Agency deems it appropriate to withdraw its request for informal complaint procedures, without prejudice to its rights to renew that request (or to submit a new request) in the future if, in Power Agency's view, circumstances so dictate.

Power Agency appreciates the Commission Staff's attention to the matters raised in its request for informal complaint procedures.

Very truly yours,



Gary J. Newell

Counsel for North Carolina  
Eastern Municipal Power Agency

GJN/cjc

Enclosure

cc: George A. Avery  
Mark S. Calvert  
William G. Wemhoff  
Michael S. Colo

PROCEEDING IN ARBITRATION

-----  
 NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN )  
 MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY, )  
 )  
 Initiating Party )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT )  
 COMPANY, )  
 )  
 Other Party. )  
 -----

The Honorable  
 Sidney O. Smith, Jr.,  
 Arbitrator

ARBITRATION AWARD

Upon consideration of pleadings and other filings and, the testimony and other evidence presented at the hearings of this Arbitration between North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency ("Power Agency") and Carolina Power & Light Company ("CP&L"), it is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

1. Each New Resource must be a firm power supply through provision of backstand for such New Resource which meets the standard set forth in Section 6.1(C)(1) of the 1981 Power Coordination Agreement (the "1981 PCA"). Therefore, Power Agency does not have the right to use energy from a resource other than the energy associated with the capacity of a New Resource to meet any portion of its Hourly Resource Demand unless (i) such supply of energy is no more than the capacity of the New Resource; and (ii) the energy associated with the capacity of the New Resource can be provided in place of such

other energy without any interruption. "Without any interruption," as used herein, shall mean the degree of assurance as to firm power supply as CP&L and Power Agency may agree upon in negotiations with respect to a power coordination agreement for a particular New Resource for which an energy source other than energy associated with the capacity of such New Resource is being supplied.

The degree of assurance applicable to the Santee Cooper New Resource as identified herein, including any substitute source of energy as described in the foregoing paragraph, must be that Santee Cooper will supply energy with the same degree of assurance that it supplies its own firm retail or other wholesale customers.

2. The amount of noticed firm capacity that Power Agency has contracted to purchase from Santee Cooper in the years 1994-1998 pursuant to Service Schedule D of the draft Inter-Utility Exchange Contract ("Exchange Contract") dated March 2, 1990, and the Commitment for Long Term Firm Power between Santee Cooper and Power Agency (the "Commitment") (included in Power Agency Exhibit 2) and deliveries of Firm Power scheduled pursuant to Section D.3.1 of such Service Schedule D qualify as a New Resource under Section 6.1(E) of the 1981 PCA. The services provided for under Service Schedules B and C as reflected in the March 2, 1990 draft of the Exchange Contract (Power Agency Exhibit 2) do not qualify

as New Resources under the 1981 PCA; provided, however, that this ruling shall not preclude the use of energy by Power Agency under such Service Schedules B and C, or revisions thereto, or the use of other services that may be provided under the Exchange Contract, when such use takes place under terms and conditions which meet the standards of Paragraph 1 hereof.

3. (a) Subject to the notice provisions of Section 6.1(D) and 6.1(E) as interpreted in paragraphs 6 and 7 herein, Power Agency has the right, under the 1981 PCA, to use New Resource capacity to reduce Power Agency's purchases of Supplemental Capacity. (b) Subject to the notice provisions of Sections 6.1(D) and 6.1(E) as interpreted in paragraphs 6 and 7 herein, Power Agency has the right, under the 1981 PCA, to use the energy associated with the noticed firm capacity of the New Resource to reduce Power Agency's purchases of Supplemental Energy.

4. With respect to energy from a New Resource dispatched by Power Agency, Power Agency shall have the right to schedule deliveries from such New Resources when, in Power Agency's judgment, such deliveries are economically advantageous to Power Agency and CP&L shall credit such deliveries pursuant to Section 8.3 to reduce Power Agency's purchases of Supplemental Energy. Other aspects of after the fact accounting shall be negotiated as part of the power coordination agreement






applicable to such New Resource. When Power Agency has more than one New Resource, the foregoing principles shall be applied in a manner which recognizes, for Power Agency's benefit, the differences in energy cost between such New Resources.

5. Power Agency does not have the right, under the 1981 PCA, to use the energy associated with the capacity of a New Resource to displace Hourly Resource Demand up to the level of total Retained Capacity, i.e., its Actual Entitlements as such Actual Entitlements are determined in accordance with Section 7.1 of the 1981 PCA, or to displace Replacement Energy supplied by CP&L pursuant to Section 7.2 of the 1981 PCA, or to displace its use of energy from Unused Supplemental Capacity in accordance with Sections 7.5 and 8.2 of the 1981 PCA, or to displace its purchases of Reserve Energy or Deficiency Energy in accordance with Sections 7.4, 7.6 and 8.2 of the 1981 PCA. Nor does it have the right to use the capacity of a New Resource to displace Reserve Capacity supplied by CP&L pursuant to Section 7.4 of the 1981 PCA.

6. Power Agency's statement of the amount of capacity of a New Resource it intends to declare for the purpose of reducing Supplemental Capacity in a notice provided pursuant to Section 6.1(D) or 6.1(E), as applicable, is binding upon Power Agency and such statement may not be changed; provided, however, that this determination shall not diminish Power



Agency's rights to schedule a New Resource to serve Hourly Resource Demand in less than eight years, upon eighteen months written notice.

7. In any notice provided by Power Agency pursuant to Sections 6.1(D) and 6.1(E) of the 1981 PCA, Power Agency's statement of the expected dependable capacity, source, means proposed for backstand, period of expected availability of the New Resource and the further information required by Section 13.1(C) of the 1981 PCA need not be stated precisely; provided, however, that Power Agency is obligated to state, at the time such notice is given, a substantially correct expectation with respect to each of these items. The aforesaid information concerning a New Resource (other than the declared amount of Supplemental Capacity reduction) may be modified by Power Agency following the provision of the original notice, provided, however, that if CP&L believes that such modification has a materially adverse impact upon the power supply planning activities of CP&L, considering the nature, timing and magnitude of the modifications, the relative size of the CP&L and Power Agency systems, the risks properly to be borne by utilities because they undertake to provide new generation, and the parties' respective planning period for significant generation and transmission resource additions, the effect of such modification shall be a subject of the negotiations of the terms and conditions of the power coordination agreement for



such New Resource. At any time that it appears to either party that negotiations will not produce an agreement on such modification, such party may make appropriate application to FERC for a determination of (1) whether the modification results in an impact on CP&L's planning activities that is materially adverse in light of the foregoing considerations and, (2) if so, the appropriate terms and conditions of the power coordination agreement for such New Resource to address such adverse impact.

8. When Power Agency provides advice to CP&L pursuant to Section 6.1(C)(5) of the 1981 PCA, such advice shall include, to the extent known to Power Agency at the time such advice is provided, the type and approximate size of the resource being considered and the approximate time frame when the resource is expected to be available; provided, however, that Power Agency shall not be required to include in any such advice information that Power Agency reasonably deems to be proprietary or commercially sensitive. Power Agency shall provide such advice in good faith at the times indicated in Section 6.1(C)(5), but such advice shall not be binding on Power Agency.

9. This arbitration has resolved the issues of contract interpretation arising under the 1981 PCA which are set forth in the Stipulation of Parties dated March 12, 1990. Any further disputes which related solely to the terms and conditions of a power coordination agreement for Power Agency's

use of the New Resource obtained from Santee Cooper under the draft Exchange Contract, as such New Resource is identified in paragraphs 1 and 2 above, or which (a) relate solely to the terms and conditions of a power coordination agreement for any other New Resource obtained by Power Agency and (b) do not require interpretation of provisions of the 1981 PCA, are to be decided by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in the first instance.

10. Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and other expenses incurred in preparing and presenting its case. CP&L and Power Agency shall pay equally the costs of conducting this arbitration proceeding, including the Arbitrator's fee and expenses, the costs associated with providing the hearing room and related equipment, court reporting costs, and the costs of deposition transcripts.

Dated this <sup>10th</sup> day of June, 1990.



---

Sidney O. Smith, Jr.  
Arbitrator