

11 Public 11

JUL 20 1994

Docket No. 50-315
Docket No. 50-316

Indiana Michigan Power Company
ATTN: Mr. E. E. Fitzpatrick
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Generation
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, OH 43216

Dear Mr. Fitzpatrick:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Messrs. James A. Isom, David J. Hartland, and Douglas L. Shepard of this office from June 4, 1994, through July 1, 1994. The inspection included a review of activities at your Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report.

Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

Based on the results of this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation (Notice). The violation pertained to repeated packing failures of a Unit 2 main steam test selector valve over a period of 2 years that resulted in several entries into 4 hour Limiting Conditions of Operations. This was of concern because of the potential for this condition to effect the operation of the main steam stop valves, and during this 2 year period, there were several missed opportunities to repair this valve without entering an LCO.

Additionally, during this inspection, other activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements. However, as described in the enclosed inspection report, you identified these violations; and to encourage and support licensee initiatives for self-identification and correction of violations, these violations are not being cited because the criteria specified in Section VII.B(2) of the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," (Enforcement Policy, 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1994)), were satisfied.

250077

9407260181 940720
PDR ADDCK 05000315
Q PDR

7E01

JUL 20 1994

One of the non-cited violations concerns the failure to conduct a management and medical determination for an individual who had prior positive fitness for duty test results. Had the test results been known, you would not have granted access. This was caused by your contractor's failure to disclose the previous positive test results. The incident was investigated by the NRC Region III Office of Investigations (OI). OI determined that a background investigation had been falsified; however, there was insufficient evidence to conclude that this falsification was done deliberately. The synopsis of the OI investigation is enclosed for your review. No written response or further action pertaining to this matter is required.

You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosure, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter (and the accompanying Notice) are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

*Original signed by
T.O. Martin for*
Edward G. Greenman, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosures:

1. Notice of Violation
2. Inspection Report No.
50-315/94013(DRP);
50-316/94013(DRP)
3. Synopsis of OI Investigation

See Attached Distribution

(see attached concurrence)

RIII	RIII	RIII	RIII	RIII
Orsini	Creed	Pirok	Kropp	

RIII
*EM for
Greenman
7/19/94*

JUL 20 1984

Indiana Michigan Power Company

2

One of the non-cited violations concerns the failure to conduct a management and medical determination for an individual who had prior positive FFD test results. Had the test results been known, you would not have granted access. This was caused by your contractor's failure to disclose the previous positive test results. The incident was investigated by the NRC Region III Office of Investigations (OI). The synopsis of the OI investigation is enclosed for your review. No written response or further action pertaining to this matter is required.

You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosure, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter (and the accompanying Notice) are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

Edward G. Greenman, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosures:

1. Notice of Violation
2. Inspection Report No.
50-315/94013(DRP);
50-316/94013(DRP)
3. Synopsis of OI Investigation

See Attached Distribution

RIII
Orsini
7/19/84

RIII
Creed
R 4

RIII
Pirok
7/19/84

RIII
Kropp
7/19/84

RIII
Greenman



JUL 20 1994

Distribution

cc w/enclosure:

A. A. Blind, Plant Manager

OC/LFDCB

Resident Inspector, RIII

James R. Padgett, Michigan Public

Service Commission

Michigan Department of

Public Health

D. C. Cook, LPM, NRR

S. Stein, SRS





UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III
801 WARRENVILLE ROAD
LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532-4351

JUL 20 1994

Docket No. 50-315
Docket No. 50-316

Indiana Michigan Power Company
ATTN: Mr. E. E. Fitzpatrick
Senior Vice President
Nuclear Generation
1 Riverside Plaza
Columbus, OH 43216

Dear Mr. Fitzpatrick:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Messrs. James A. Isom, David J. Hartland, and Douglas L. Shepard of this office from June 4, 1994, through July 1, 1994. The inspection included a review of activities at your Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, facility. At the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with those members of your staff identified in the enclosed report.

Areas examined during the inspection are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

Based on the results of this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice of Violation (Notice). The violation pertained to repeated packing failures of a Unit 2 main steam test selector valve over a period of 2 years that resulted in several entries into 4 hour Limiting Conditions of Operations. This was of concern because of the potential for this condition to effect the operation of the main steam stop valves, and during this 2 year period, there were several missed opportunities to repair this valve without entering an LCO.

Additionally, during this inspection, other activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements. However, as described in the enclosed inspection report, you identified these violations; and to encourage and support licensee initiatives for self-identification and correction of violations, these violations are not being cited because the criteria specified in Section VII.B(2) of the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," (Enforcement Policy, 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1994)), were satisfied.



JUL 26 1994

One of the non-cited violations concerns the failure to conduct a management and medical determination for an individual who had prior positive fitness for duty test results. Had the test results been known, you would not have granted access. This was caused by your contractor's failure to disclose the previous positive test results. The incident was investigated by the NRC Region III Office of Investigations (OI). OI determined that a background investigation had been falsified; however, there was insufficient evidence to conclude that this falsification was done deliberately. The synopsis of the OI investigation is enclosed for your review. No written response or further action pertaining to this matter is required.


You are required to respond to this letter and should follow the instructions specified in the enclosed Notice when preparing your response. In your response, you should document the specific actions taken and any additional actions you plan to prevent recurrence. After reviewing your response to this Notice, including your proposed corrective actions and the results of future inspections, the NRC will determine whether further NRC enforcement action is necessary to ensure compliance with NRC regulatory requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosure, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter (and the accompanying Notice) are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,



Edward G. Greenman, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosures:

1. Notice of Violation
2. Inspection Report No.
50-315/94013(DRP);
50-316/94013(DRP)
3. Synopsis of OI Investigation

See Attached Distribution



Distribution

cc w/enclosure:

A. A. Blind, Plant Manager

OC/LFDCB

Resident Inspector, RIII

James R. Padgett, Michigan Public

Service Commission

Michigan Department of

Public Health

D. C. Cook, LPM, NRR

S. Stein, SRS