June 24, 1986

Ms. Janet Cook Route 5, Box 169 Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312

Dear Ms. Cook:

DISTRIBUTION YT 869368

Docket w/incoming	BBuckley PPA	S
NRC PDR w/incoming	DMiller	
HDenton	DMatthews	
TNovak [•]	AJohnson (2)	
LRubenstein	DMossburg	
a Na Dantan Juhiah		

I am pleased to respond to your letter to Mr. Denton which we received June 9, 1986, in which you expressed certain concerns about the Shearon Harris nuclear power plant. Specifically, you stated that "Carolina Power and Light has not conducted a full participation test of the present emergency plan." You also requested that "at least until such time as state and local governmental participation...is fully resolved, no exemption(s) from the requirement of a full participation test within one year prior to the granting of any full power license should be considered or approved."

In response to your statement that Carolina Power and Light (CP&L) has not conducted a full participation test of the present emergency plan, there was a full participation exercise conducted May 17-18, 1985, in which the State of North Carolina, and Wake, Chatham, Harnett and Lee counties participated. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reported that, based on a review of the exercise, the state and local emergency plans are adequate and capable of being implemented, and the exercise demonstrated that offsite preparedness is adequate to provide reasonable assurance that appropriate measures can be taken to protect the health and safety of the public living in the vicinity of the Shearon Harris nuclear power plant in the event of a radiological emergency.

Regarding a possible exemption from the requirement to conduct an exercise within one year prior to full power authorization, CP&L has stated by letter dated June 10, 1986, that they are now in the process of consulting with involved off-site response organizations on the scheduling of exercises for the Shearon Harris Plant. Furthermore, CP&L requested that the NRC staff hold in abeyance active review of the exemption request and the request for a hearing until the consulting process has been completed. At that point, CP&L will then provide additional information to the NRC staff for review. Consequently, the NRC staff will not act on this matter until the above cited additional information has been provided.

I hope that the above discussion has been responsive to your concern.

PD#2:PM:DPLA

BBuckley

6/2#86

PDR

1 .

8606300336 860624 PDR ADOCK 05000400 Sincerely,

Bart C. Buckley, Senior Project Manager PWR Project Directorate No. 2 Division of PWR Licensing-A Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

EPB by plus AD/PD#2:DPLA EPB by plus AD/PD#2:DPLA McDonald 5/AD/86 6/27/86

LA<u>(:PD</u>#2:DPLA DMyYYér 7/86

63. *	1014 225	່ ເຫຼືອງລະໂ	C 2000
	ייי ורי	marmon thy	સંબુધ ગુપ્રય
	4+0000		0244611
100	90 M000A		301047

Ste ryr 421	~4J	101 1020570X	1 k h-1 - K (J - 5)
0.001 201	ens.)" and	100 tool	10 H 10 H
. 0 · 10	201 5d	265 12	č5, 10
ч н			- #*

 $\mathcal{L}^{1,2}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{1,2}$ is the construction of the states of the $\mathcal{L}^{1,2}$. The the set of an end of the states of the set of the $\mathcal{L}^{1,2}$. The set of the s

In vesce so the curves to that fact face reaks to the test to a correction the contracts in the set of the contracts in the set of the contracts in the set of the contracts is the set of the set of

ັ້ດອາ ຕັງກັໂດຍ ກາວປະ ລາດ 156 ຄ.ສ. ກາວ ດານ 156 ຄາຍ. ໃ

> > لا لا بين الم≢ف يمانين الم الم

110 90° 4

Cist fi Mucrevis or spored Milsee Sit Morect Alberto ate Mr. 2

• •