



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 23, 1986

Mr. and Mrs. Jerry Bruno
114 Mallard Court
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Bruno:

I am pleased to respond to your letter to Mr. Denton which we received June 2, 1986, in which you expressed certain concerns about the Shearon Harris nuclear power plant. Specifically, you requested that the NRC deny Carolina Power and Light's (CP&L) request for an exemption from a "full scale emergency plan test before the opening of the Shearon Harris Nuclear plant in North Carolina," and that the NRC "expand the emergency radius to 50 miles."

The regulations do not require that a full scale emergency preparedness exercise be conducted prior to plant operation of up to 5% of rated power. CP&L's exemption request was that they not be required to perform a full scale emergency preparedness prior to exceeding 5% of rated power. By letter dated June 10, 1986, CP&L stated that they are now in the process of consulting with involved off-site response organizations on the scheduling of exercises for the Shearon Harris Plant. Furthermore, CP&L requested that the NRC staff hold in abeyance active review of the exemption request and the request for a hearing until the consulting process has been completed. At that point, CP&L will then provide additional information to the NRC staff for review. Consequently, the NRC staff will not act on this matter until the above cited additional information has been provided.

With respect to your comment on increasing the Plume Exposure Pathway Emergency Planning Zone (Plume EPZ) from a radius of 10 miles to 50 miles around the plant, commercial nuclear power plants in the U.S., based upon requirements of the NRC, have two concentric emergency planning zones (EPZs). EPZs are defined as the areas for which planning is needed to assure that prompt and effective actions can be taken to protect the public in the event of an accident. The choice of the size of the Emergency Planning Zones represents a judgment on the extent of detailed planning which must be performed to assure an adequate response. In a particular emergency, protective actions might well be restricted to a small part of the planning zones. On the other hand, for the worst possible accidents, protective actions might need to be taken outside the planning zones.

The first zone, called the Plume Exposure Pathway EPZ, is an area of about 10 miles in radius from the center of the plant. The major protective actions planned for this EPZ, evacuation and sheltering, would be employed to reduce fatalities and injuries from exposure to the radioactive plume from the most severe of the core-melt accidents and to limit unnecessary radiation exposures to the public from less severe accidents at nuclear power plants. The second zone, called the Ingestion Pathway EPZ, is an area of about 50 miles in radius from the center of the plant. The major protective actions planned for this zone, putting livestock on stored feed and controlling food and water, would be employed to reduce exposure to the public from ingestion of contaminated food and water. The ingestion exposure pathway EPZ of 50 miles was selected because federal protective action guidelines would generally not be exceeded beyond 50 miles for a wide spectrum of hypothetical accidents.

8606250358 860623
PDR ADDCK 05000400
H PDR

50-400

June 23, 1986

The response measures established within the 10-mile and 50-mile EPZs can and will be expanded if the conditions of a particular accident warrant it. Also, although an EPZ is generally circular, the actual shape is determined based on local factors such as demography, topography, access routes, and governmental jurisdictional boundaries at a particular site. Smaller EPZs have been established for gas-cooled power reactors and smaller water-cooled power reactors.

The principal technical documents that describe the process of defining the size of the EPZs and the planning and protective measures to be taken within them are NUREG-0396, EPA 520/1-78-016, "Planning Basis for the Development of State and Local Government Radiological Emergency Response Plans in Support of Light-Water Nuclear Power Plants," December 1978 and NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Revision 1, "Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants," November 1980. The principal technical study upon which the sizes of the emergency planning zones were based is NUREG-75/014, "Reactor Safety Study: An Assessment of Accident Risks in U.S. Commercial Nuclear Power Plants," October 1975, WASH-1400. I would also like to point out that the North Carolina State Emergency Plan in support of the Shearon Harris plant, CP&Ls Corporate Emergency Plan, and the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant Emergency Plan are all located at the local public document room at the Wake County Public Library, Fayetteville Street, Raleigh, North Carolina.

I hope that the above discussion is responsive to your concerns.

Sincerely,

/s/

Bart C. Buckley, Senior Project Manager
PWR Project Directorate No. 2
Division of PWR Licensing-A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DISTRIBUTION YT 869317

Docket w/incoming	BBuckley
NRC PDR w/incoming	DMiller
HDenton	PPAS
TNovak	AJohnson (2)
LRubenstein	DMossburg
DMatthews	

LAJRD#2:DPLA
DMiller
6/18/86

BBB
PD#2:PM:DPLA
BBuckley
6/20/86

F. Causton
by phone
for DMatthews
6/23/86

DMcDonald
6/23/86

