JUN 3 1983

Docket Nos.: 50-400 and 50-401

> Mr. E. E. Utley Executive Vice President Carolina Power & Light Company Post Office Box 1551 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

DISTRIBUTION Document Control 50-400/401 NRC PDR L PDR NSIC PRC System LB#3 Reading JLee NPKadambi Attorney, OELD Jordan, IE Taylor, IE ACRS (16) TMNovak Sang Kim Norman Romney

Œ.

Dear Mr. Utley:

Subject: Transmission of Results of Structural Audit and Open Items

1

NRC staff conducted a Structural Audit on Shearon Harris at Ebasco in New York City between March 7 to March 11, 1983. Enclosed is the staff report of the results thereof. Included are a list of open items and lists of attendees at the meetings. Please incorporate the open items in the enclosure into the Open Items list of Chapter 1 of the Draft Safety Evaluation Report for Shearon Harris.

Sincerely,

Original signed by George W. Knighton"

George W. Knighton, Chief Licensing Branch No. 3 Division of Licensing

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page ·

8306240463 830603 PDR ADOCK 05000400

NRC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0240			OFFICIAL	RECORD C	OPY		USGPO: 1981-335-94**
DATE 🌢	6/3/83	6/5/83		•••••		••••••	
SURNAME				•••••	•		••••
OFFICE	DL:LB#3№4 NPKadambi/yt	DL COHB		******			•••••

• . .

.

· · · , ·

Shearon Harris

Mr. E. E. Utley Executive Vice President Power Supply and Engineering and Construction Carolina Power & Light Company Post Office Box 1551 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

cc: George F. Trowbridge, Esq, Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 M Street, NW Washington, D. C. 20036

> Richard E. Jones, Esq. Associate General Consel Carolina Power & Light Company 411 Fayetteville Street Mall Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

> M. David Gordon, Esq. Associate Attorney General State of North Carolina Post Office Box 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

> Thomas S. Erwin, Esq. 115 W. Morgan Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. George Maxwell Resident Inspector/Harris NPS c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Poute 1, Box 315B New Hill, North Carolina 27562

Charles D. Barham, Jr., Esq. Vice President & Senior Counsel Carolina Power & Light Company Post Office Box 1551 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. John Runkle, Executive Coordinator Conservation Council of North Carolina 307 Granville Road Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Mr. Wells Eddleman 718-A Iredell Street Durham, North Carolina 27705 Mr. George Jackson, Secretary Environmental Law Project School of Law, 064-A University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Dr. Phyllis Lotchin 108 Bridle Run Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Mr. Travis Payne, Esq. 723 W. Johnson Street Post Office Box 12643 Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

Mr. Daniel F. Read, President CHANGE Post Office Box 524 Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Bradley W. Jones, Esq. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Richard D. Wilson, M. D. 725 Hunter Street Apex, North Carolina 27502

Regional Adminstrator - Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Karen E. Long, Esq. Staff Attorney Public Staff - NCUC Post Office Box 991 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

# STRUCTURAL AUDIT REPORT . SHEARON HARRIS PLANT

#### 1. Introduction

Between March 7 and March 11, a structural design audit of the Shearon Harris plant was conducted at the Ebasco New York office. Ebasco is the architect and engineering firm for the Carolina Power and Light Company who is the owner of the Shearon Harris Plant. We reviewed the design calculations of the major Category I structures and discussed verification of the computer codes used for the plant evaluation. During the audit, any lack of information or insufficient explanation of the data was classified as an open item for later clarification and resolution. These items are discussed in the report and summarized in the section entitled as open items. Attendance lists are attached at the back of the report for all five days of the meeting.

2. Structural Audit

## (a) Dynamic Model and Earthquake Analysis

Time history input was used in the Shearon Harris earthquake \_ analysis. The input bounds the R. G. 1.50 response spectra anchored at 0.15g. All the major Category I structures are founded on sound rock with the exception of manhole structures. The foundation rock is modeled with translational and rotational springs. However, in the FSAR, such model is described erroneously as fixed base. The applicant agreed to amend the description in a future amendment. (open item 1).

In evaluating earthquake responses of the Category 1 Structures, a dynamic code developed by Ebasco was used. The structures, modeled as a mass-spring system, were secured at the foundation mat. More detailed analysis was performed using commercially available STARDYNE code. The detailed evaluations by the STARDYNE code consisted of torsional analysis and uplift of the structures. There were two items that required further explanation regarding the STARDYNE code dynamic modeling: modal damping of the structures and spring representation of the bed rock. It was not clear that the STARDYNE modal damping is same as the one used in the Ebasco in-house dynamic code (open item 2). For the springs, analytical representation of one-way spring mechanism is not well explained (open item 3). No physical anchor exists between the foundation mat and the bed rock. Therefore, only downward compression load is transmitted to the bed rock. An uplift of the foundation mat is a possibility when the compressive load is no longer present as in the case of an earthquake when an excessive horizontal load is developed. Indeed, it was stated in the FSAR that a partial uplift is expected. This one-way spring is a characteristic of a

non-linear spring and a careful verification of the code is needed. It should be noted that the design criteria allows only 10% margin against the worst case overturning moment.

#### (b) Containment Building and Internal Structures

いい いい ひょう ちょう

The major code used for the stress analysis of the containment building and internal structure is the SHELL code. This is an in-house developed axisymmetric code which accounts for concrete cracks in tension. The cracked model may reduce forces and moments as much as 80% from uncracked model. An iteration process was used to obtain a final crack size of the concrete. There were several comparisons of the SHELL code of uncracked cross sections with other available codes and closed form solutions. However, we were unable to find any verification results for the cracked model (open item 4). Once the extent of the crack was estimated by the SHELL code, static and stress analyses were performed using the STARDYNE code. We observed an impressive finite element representation of the internal structure. The applicant incorporated the crack size from the SHELL code into the STARDYNE. Its exact procedure was unclear and left as an open item (open item 5). We also found that the STARDYNE model used a flat plate element to represent a thick wall. We requested an estimate of error, if any, in using their plate model, especially, with regard to rather localized loads (open item 6).

Mainsteam and feedwater lines were physically anchored at the containment wall and, as a result, there was considerable local reinforcement. They were unable to obtain a list of the loads that are imposed on the wall including the resultants from the piping analysis (open item 7).

In the drawing, it was specified that the hole of the leak chase channel be plugged after the local pressure test is completed. They were informed of the issue of plugging raised by the containment system branch while we were reviewing the Shoreham plant. We did not raise the issue ourselves at this time.

In evaluating the response of the steamline break the load was treated as a static load. We questioned if there is any dynamic effect of the pressure load on the structure (open item 8). Also, in view of possible asymmetric distribution of the pressure, shell oval modes may be important. The containment and some of the cylinder like structures (support to the steam generator) were modeled as a beam which assumes a rigid cross section. Justification of such an assumption was requested (also open item 8).

# (c) <u>Tank Buildings</u>

Dynamic analysis of the tank building was reviewed. This particular building houses Category I tanks including a condensate storage tank. The building and the tank were modeled first by a series of spring, mass and damper systems. Dynamic response of the model provides time history motion at the base of the tank as well as forces and moments. Next, a detailed dynamic analysis was performed for the tank alone using the base floor motion as an input. More springs and masses were provided. Reasonable models would provide approximately close results at common points, namely, at the base and at the top of the tank. However, the detailed model resulted, in some cases, more than twice the value (i.e., acceleration) of the combined building-tank model. We requested an explanation (open item 9). We were surprised to learn that they did not perform such comparisons in the past. والمتحجة والمتحجم

#### (d) Other Category I Structures

We also reviewed cable tray, emergency cooling water systems, fuel handling building, auxiliary building and electric cable manholes. The manhole is a narrow reinforced concrete structure and it is the only Category I structure resting on soil. In our first round of questions, two questions were raised on the SHAKE code for the manhole dynamic evaluation. After discussing the function of the manhole, which is to provide space for installing and maintaining electric cables, it was apparent that the safety significance of the manholes is relatively minor compared with other Category I structures discussed elsewhere.

Open item 10 was identified during the fuel handling building review where the applicant neglected to include sloshing effect on the earthquake response analysis.

- 3 -

## 3. Conclusion

In general, the applicant was responsive to our specific question and well prepared for the audit with few exceptions. Open items 2 and 7 constitute such exceptions. It should be noted that the nonlinear aspects of the computer code have not been well verified (open items 3 and 4). It seemed that a sophistication needed to use the non-linear code was lacking.

## 4. List of Open Items

- 1. Amendment of the statement in FSAR, page 3.7.2-6, from a fixed base to a spring-mass system in representing the bed rock.
- 2. Discussion of STARDYNE damping expression.
- Discussion and verification of bed rock representation as one-way spring by STARDYNE when the stability (overturning moments) analysis was performed.
- Discussion and verification of the concrete crack model in SHELL code. (Ebasco in-house code used for containment axisymmetric analysis).
- 5. Discussion of concrete crack model in STARDYNE static analysis (transfer of crack size from SHELL code).
- 6. Justification of use of thin flat plate application to a thick structural member in the STARDYNE code.
- 7. Tabulation of loadings at the anchor point of mainsteam and feedwater pipes on containment wall.
- 8. Justification for not using dynamic factor on LOCA pressure loading in containment analysis and also for not considering an oval mode of shell dynamic response.
- Explanation of difference in acceleration and moment at common points between detailed tank analysis and overall tank-building analysis.
- 10. Changes in margin of safety when a sloshing effect is included in the fuel handling building earthquake analysis.

STRUCTURAL DESIGN AUDIT ATTENDANCE LIST FOR 3-7-83

# 8

JOHN F GARIBALDI	EBASCO - NUCLEAR LICENSING
VINCENT J ROSSETTI	EBASCO - NUCLEAR LICENSING
JOHN H EADS	CP&L - NUCLEAR LICENSING
JOHN MANCHAK	EBASCO - CIVIL
TIM J McCARTHY	EBASCO - CIVIL
N D ROMNEY	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
S B KIM	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
MURRAY WEBER	EBASCO - CIVIL - SUPERVISOR
JOSEPH WOMER	EBASCO - CIVIL - LEAD DISCIPLINE ENGINEER ARCHITECTURAL- STRUCTURAL
SHIAM GOYAL	EBASCO - CIVIL - LEAD DISCIPLINE ENGINEER CONCRETE-HYDRAULIC

. ..

A 4

## STRUCTURAL DESIGN AUDIT

ATTENDANCE LIST FOR 3-8-83

tillate that

VINCENT J ROSSETTI EBASCO - LICENSING JOHN EADS CP&L - LICENSING H LEE WILLIAMS CP&L - HPES KAO DING CHIU EBASCO - CIVIL CONSULTING EBASCO - CIVIL DESIGN T McCARTHY S KIM USNRC - SGEB J LENAHAN USNRC - CIVIL ENGR - R II N D ROMNEY USNRC - SGEB , MURRAY WEBER EBASCO - CIVIL.ENGR SHIAM GOYAL EBASCO - CIVIL ENGR E S KOWALSKI EBASCO - CIVIL ENGR J MANCHAK EBASCO - CIVIL ENGR J SHIEH .EBASCO - CIVIL DESIGN J F GARIBALDI - part-time EBASCO - LICENSING EBASCO - CIVIL DESIGN D PATEL EBASCO - MECHANICAL ENGINEERING DEAN SHAH

ATTENDANCE 3-9-83

had the state of the second second

....

JOHN EADS	CP&L - LICENSING
VINCENT J ROSSETTI	EBASCO - LICENSING
H LEE WILLIAMS	CP&L - HPES, A/S
J LENAHAN	NRC - R II
S KIM	NRR .
M WEBER	EBASCO - CIVIL
E KOWALSKI	EBASCO - CIVIL
R SONI	EBASCO - CIVIL
T McCARTHY	EBASCO - CIVIL
L Y CHU	EBASCO - CIVIL
J SHIEH	EBASCO - CIVIL
S GOYAL	EBASCO - CIVIL
N D ROMNEY	USNRC
J MANCHAK	EBASCO - CIVIL
W L RESNANSKY	EBASCO - PIPE RUPTURE
A I TURNER	EBASCO - CIVIL DESIGN
A BAUZEK	EBASCO - CONCRETE HYDRAULIC
J F GARIBALDI	EBASCO - LICENSING

. . . .

## ATTENDANCE OF 3-10-83

VINCENT J ROSSETTI EBASCO - LICENSING JOHN EADS CP&L - LICENSING NRC - R II J LENAHAN S KIM NRC/NRR/SGEB A BAIG EBASCO - CIVIL J F NEVILL CP&L - CIVIL ENGINEERING M WEBER EBASCO - CIVIL ENGINEERING J MANCHAK EBASCO - CIVIL ENGINEERING E S KOWALSKI EBASCO - CIVIL ENGINEERING N P KADAMBI NRC/NRR/DL N D ROMNEY NRC/NRR/SGEB L Y CHIU EBASCO - CIVIL SHIAM GOYAL EBASCO - CIVIL I LAI EBASCO - CIVIL Y HUANG EBASCO - CIVIL E WANG EBASCO - CIVIL C SHIH EBASCO - CIVIL-SAG W HSU EBASCO - CIVIL-SAG EBASCO"- STRESS ANALYSIS A BOEHM P FIALA EBASCO - MECHANICAL ENGINEERING M GAGLIARDI EBASCO - MECHANICAL ENGINEERING EBASCO - STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING R SONI M KUTCHER EBASCO - MECHANICAL DESIGN EBASCO - CIVIL DESIGN D PATEL EBASCO - SPECIAL ANALYSIS Z T SHI EBASCO-CIVIL DESIGN - SHE T J McCARTHY

مالية المالية الم

## ATTENDANCE 3/11/83

CP&L Licensing John Eads Vincent J. Rossetti Ebasco Licensing Ebasco Structural Engineering James T. McGuinness E. S. Kowalski Ebasco Civil Engineering Ebasco Civil Engineering W. Chao N. P. Kadambi NRC/NRR/DL Sang Bo Kim NRC/NRR/DE/SGEB N. D. Romney NRC/NRR/DE/SGEB R. Soni Ebasco Ebasco Civil Design T. J. McCarthy Ebasco Civil Engineering J. Grim Z. T. Shi Ebasco SAG J. Lenaham NRC - R II. . . . Ebasco Civil Engineering Shiam N. Goyal Ebasco Civil Engineering Joseph Womer J. Manchak Ebasco Civil Engineering R. Matzalle Ebasco Project Manager J. Garibald Ebasco Licernsing Ebasco Civil Engineering M. Weber