## **ENCLOSURE 1**

## NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Florida Power and Light Company Turkey Point

Docket Nos. 50-250, 50-251 License Nos. DPR-31, DPR-41

During an NRC inspection conducted on September 16-20, 1991, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, XI, Test Control requires that components shall be tested in accordance with written procedures. The test program shall include as appropriate, proof tests prior to installation, preoperational tests, and operational tests during nuclear power plant operations.

Contrary to the above, on September 17, 1991, the Unit 3 and 4 Transfer Inhibit Relays were not included in any preventative maintenance program. The Unit 4 relays did not meet the engineering criteria when tested and were replaced on September 19, 1991.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 2).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Florida Power and Light Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and if applicable, a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, Turkey Point within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Caudle A. Julian

Caudle A. Julian, Chief

Engineering Branch
Division of Reactor Safety

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this day of October 1991

9111220044 911112 PDR ADBCK 05000250

