

MAY 06 1990

Mr. Thomas J. Saporito, Jr.
1202 Sioux Street
Jupiter, Florida 33458

Dear Mr. Saporito:

This letter responds to your October 30, 1989, letter reviewing the most recent Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP), dated October 19, 1989, for the Turkey Point facility. Your letter addressed twenty "Issues for Consideration." Our response to the issues is delineated in the following paragraphs:

- (1) Issues 1 thru 8 question the changes in the number of SALP functional areas from the prior SALP period. These changes were made to all evaluations conducted after 1988. The NRC policy revisions were reflected in the then current NRC Manual Chapter (MC) 0516 which reduced the number of areas evaluated and increased the scope of each area.
- (2) Issues 9 thru 12 question the "improving trend" given the area of security. Performance trends are noted when a trend exists that is discernible and continuation of the trend is expected to result in a change in performance rating in the next assessment period. This policy is delineated in MC 0516.
- (3) Issue 13 questions the evaluations of Licensee Event Reports (LER) in determining SALP ratings. The NRC does not rely on LERs as the only criteria in rating the licensee's performance. For the Engineering/Technical Support area, the NRC does evaluate the general technical adequacy of these reports.
- (4) Issue 14 questions the NRC's failure to consider operating capacity factors in the SALP process. The SALP board reviews and evaluates the licensee's overall compliance with NRC rules and regulations. In general, we do not consider economic issues not covered by NRC rules and regulations when rating facilities.
- (5) Issue 15 questions the NRC's use of objective data in the SALP process. The final analysis and SALP ratings determined by an NRC seven-member board are subjective; however, the ratings are supported by objective data.
- (6) Issues 16 and 18 question the use of past performance information (outside current SALP period) when assessing SALP ratings for the current period. The assessment is a look at licensee performance during a specific time period and is not based on events or issues which occurred outside the appraisal period. However, comparisons are made, when appropriate, with the previous SALP report so that improvements or declining trends can be noted.

2005230202 200508
FDR ADOCK 05000250
FDC

ACRS-1
JE-40
110

Mr. Thomas J. Saporito, Jr.

2

MAY 08 1990

- (7) Issues 17 and 19 question whether civil penalties and repetitive violations are reviewed in determining SALP ratings. The NRC reviews all violations, including civil penalties, which take place in the rating period when assessing the various SALP areas. Enforcement is one of seven criteria used in determining the ratings.
- (8) Issue 20 questions whether the SALP was properly evaluated by the NRC using the evaluation criteria in Section III of the report. It is our view that the NRC performed the assessment as specified in NRC MC 0516.

In summary, the NRC assessment properly reflects the performance of the facility which has shown improvement over the previous SALP period. Please be assured that the NRC will continue to closely monitor the performance of Turkey Point to ensure performance improvements are sustained.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Stewart D. Ebnetter
Regional Administrator

cc: Florida Power & Light Co.
State of Florida

bcc: J. Taylor, EDO
T. Murley, NRR
Document Control Desk

KII:DRP
[Signature]
RCLENJAK

RII:EDOS
[Signature]
GJenkins
4/30/90

RII:DRP
MJS
M. Sunkule
4/27/90
RII:DRP
[Signature]
LAREyes
4/1/90

RII:ORA
[Signature]
JLMilhoan
5/2/90

December 11, 1990

DOCKET NO(S). 50-250/251

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File w/o encl.

PD22 Rdg File

DMiller

GE Edison

See Attached List

SUBJECT: FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY - TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 AND 4

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

✓	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	DATED
	Notice of Receipt of Application	
	Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____	
	Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact	
	Notice of Issuance of Environmental Assessment	
	Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License or Amendment to Facility Operating License	
	Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Conditions See Page(s) _____	
	Exemption	
	Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Order	
X	Monthly Operating Report for <u>June, September & October 1990</u> transmitted by Letters	07/13/90 10/15/90
	Annual/Semi-Annual Report: _____ transmitted by Letter	11/15/90
	Other _____	

Division of Reactor Projects - 1/11
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As Stated

cc: See Attached list

OFFICE►	LA:PDII-2					
SURNAME►	DMiller					
DATE►	12/17/90					

NRC FORM 318 (10/80) NRCM 0240

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Ac 12/17/90
wlr



Trial	Control (n=10)	MCI (n=10)	AD (n=10)
1	95	85	75
2	95	85	75
3	95	80	70
4	95	75	65
5	95	75	65

• •

1. *Chlorophyll a* (Chl *a*)

...and the fact that the *Journal* is a journal of the American Psychological Association, the largest and most influential of the professional organizations in the field of psychology, is a source of great strength and authority for the *Journal*.

[illegible]

1. The first group of respondents (Group 1) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The second group (Group 2) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The third group (Group 3) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The fourth group (Group 4) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The fifth group (Group 5) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The sixth group (Group 6) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The seventh group (Group 7) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The eighth group (Group 8) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The ninth group (Group 9) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals. The tenth group (Group 10) consisted of 100 individuals who were randomly selected from the population of 1,000 individuals.

Group	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Control	75	85	90	92	95
MCI	75	85	80	85	80
AD	75	85	80	85	80
DLB	75	85	80	85	80

1

December 7, 1990

DISTRIBUTION w/o encl.
Docket File
DMiller

DOCKET NO(S). 50-250/50-251

SEE ATTACHED LST

SUBJECT: TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 AND 4

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	DATED
	Notice of Receipt of Application	
	Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____	
	Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact	
	Notice of Issuance of Environmental Assessment	
	Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License or Amendment to Facility Operating License	
	Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Conditions See Page(s) _____	
	Exemption	
	Construction Permit No. CPPR— _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Order	
	Monthly Operating Report for _____ transmitted by Letter	
	Annual/Semi-Annual Report: _____ transmitted by Letter	
XX	Other NPDES Noncompliance notification	

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As Stated

cc: See next page

OFFICE►	LA PD22						
SURNAME►	DMiller						
DATE►	12/7/90						

NRC FORM 318 (10/80) NRCM 0240

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

ACRS-1
wd

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

July 16, 1990

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File (w/o encl)
PDII-2 Rdg. File
GEdison
DMiller

DOCKET NO(S). 50-250
50-251

See Attached List

SUBJECT: TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 & 4

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	DATED
	Notice of Receipt of Application	
	Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____	
	Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact	
	Notice of Issuance of Environmental Assessment	
	Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License or Amendment to Facility Operating License	
	Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Conditions See Page(s) _____	
	Exemption	
	Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Order	
	Monthly Operating Report for _____ transmitted by Letter	
X	Annual/Semi-Annual Report: <u>July 1987 - Dec 1988</u> _____ transmitted by Letter	6/19/90
	Other _____	

Division of Reactor Projects I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As Stated

cc: See next page

OFFICE▶	XXAXRXX	LA:PDII-2				
SURNAME▶		D Miller:s1				
DATE▶		7/18/90				

ACRS-1
mlb

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

1944

May 9, 1990

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File (w/o encl)
PD22 Rdg. File
DMiller
GEdison

DOCKET NO(S). 50-250
50-251

See Attached List

SUBJECT: TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 & 4

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

✓	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	DATED
	Notice of Receipt of Application	
	Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____	
	Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact	
	Notice of Issuance of Environmental Assessment	
	Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License or Amendment to Facility Operating License	
	Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Conditions See Page(s) _____	
	Exemption	
	Construction Permit No. CPPR— _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Order	
	Monthly Operating Report for _____ transmitted by Letter	
X	Annual/Semi-Annual Report: <u>Jul 1987-Dec 1988</u> _____ transmitted by Letter	4/9/90
	Other _____	

Division of Reactor Projects-I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As Stated

cc: See next page

OFFICE▶	LA:PD II-2					
SURNAME▶	D Miller:sl					
DATE▶	5/9/90					

10/1/73



10/1/73

10/1/73

10/1/73

10/1/73

10/1/73

10/1/73

10/1/73

10/1/73

May 9, 1990

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File (w/o encl)
PD22 Rdg. File
DMiller
GEdison

DOCKET NO(S). 50-250
58-251

See Attached List

SUBJECT: TURKEY POINT UNITS 3 & 4

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENT	DATED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Receipt of Application	
	Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement	
	Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____	
	Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact	
	Notice of Issuance of Environmental Assessment	
	Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License or Amendment to Facility Operating License	
	Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Conditions See Page(s) _____	
	Exemption	
	Construction Permit No. CPPR— _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____	
	Order	
	Monthly Operating Report for _____ transmitted by Letter	
X	Annual/Semi-Annual Report: 1989 _____ transmitted by Letter	04/12/90
	Other _____	

Division of Reactor Projects-1/11
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As Stated

cc: See next page

OFFICE►	LA:PD/II-2				
SURNAME►	D Miller:sl				
DATE►	5/9/90				

ACRS-1

2000

100