

# Motivations, Plans, and Status for the Development of PFM Regulatory Guidance

*Patrick A.C. Raynaud*

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Public Meeting

Development of Guidance for Probabilistic Fracture Mechanics (PFM)

December 12, 2017

Rockville, MD, USA

---

# Outline

- PFM Background
- Need for PFM Regulatory Guidance
- PFM Regulatory Guidance Development Project
- Current Progress and Schedule

# PFM Background (1/2)

- Different way of looking at engineering problems
  - Probabilistic and deterministic fracture mechanics both address uncertainty, but differently
  - No longer single deterministic conservative analysis
  - Many deterministic analyses with randomly sampled inputs
  - Statistics performed on collection of outputs to determine probability of an event
- Probabilistic fracture mechanics (PFM) has potential to address technical and regulatory issues in the U.S.
  - Pressurized thermal shock
  - Leak-before-break
  - Alternative nondestructive examination frequencies

# PFM Background (2/2)

- PFM software in the U.S.
  - FAVOR
  - xLPR v2
  - Proprietary industry codes
- Regulatory challenges: difficult for NRC staff to reproduce or verify PFM calculations
  - Complex regulatory review
  - ‘Black Box’ codes with insufficient vetting of inputs and code: low confidence in output
  - Examples where the documents could not be accepted as regulatory basis for long term inspection programs under NRC rulemaking
    - MRP-105, “Materials Reliability Program Probabilistic Fracture Mechanics Analysis of Pressure-Water Reactor [PWR] Reactor Pressure Vessel Top Head Nozzle Cracking,” dated April 2004
    - MRP-113, “Materials Reliability Program Probabilistic Fracture Mechanics Analysis of PWR Reactor Pressure Vessel Top Head Nozzle Cracking,” dated July 2004
    - xLPR in part a result of these unsuccessful PFM efforts
- Latest developments at U.S. NRC regarding PFM
  - Improvements to the FAVOR code
  - Release and application of xLPR v2
  - PFM Regulatory Guide development

# Need for PFM Guidance

- With FAVOR v16.1 and xLPR v2.0, NRC expects increase in number of industry PFM submittals
  - PFM calculations with new or modified PFM codes
  - Proposed alternatives to ASME BPVC and ASME Code Cases
  - Relief requests and topical reports
- Need to develop a regulatory guide to better inform applicants using PFM as a technical basis to support relief requests, license amendment requests, and topical reports
- The Regulatory Guide will promote acceptable path for PFM regulatory analyses
  - Technical correctness and rigor
  - Quality Assurance
  - Documentation
  - Transparency
- Objectives of PFM guidance development project:
  - Clearly outline NRC expectations for PFM regulatory applications
  - Increased confidence in PFM analysis results
  - More predictable regulatory outcomes

# PFM Regulatory Guidance Development Project Overview and Schedule

- Project driven by User Need NRR-2016-004
- Phase 1: Technical Letter Report (TLR): now
  - High level document
  - Goal: highlight some important PFM concepts
  - Final Draft available: ADAMS [ML17335A048](#)
- Phase 2: Draft Guide (DG) and supporting NUREG technical basis: late 2018 target
  - Version 0 of DG under review and revisions (internal to NRC)
  - NUREG technical basis under development (internal to NRC)
    - To be informed by pilot study lessons-learned, NRR review, and feedback from public meetings
- Phase 3: Pilot study: late 2018 target
  - GOAL: test and correct guidance being developed, as needed
  - Initial definition of pilot study underway
    - xLPR: collection of fictitious piping failure analysis problems
  - Subsequent pilot studies TBD

# Summary

- 
- Increased role of probabilistic methods and PFM for nuclear regulatory applications in U.S.
  - PFM regulatory guidance being developed by NRC: acceptable path for PFM regulatory analyses
  - Project started in 2016, DG target is late 2018
  - 3 phases:
    - TLR
    - DG + NUREG Technical Basis
    - Pilot Study
-