



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 128 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-31  
AND AMENDMENT NO. 122 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-41

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

TURKEY POINT UNIT NOS. 3 AND 4

DOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251

BACKGROUND

By letter dated April 16, 1987, Florida Power and Light Company (FP&L, the licensee) proposed a change to Appendix B of the Technical Specifications to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41 for the Turkey Point Plant Units 3 and 4. The proposed change would delete the remaining Sections 1.0 and 5.0 of the Turkey Point Plant Environmental Technical Specifications (ETS) and replace them with an Environmental Protection Plan (EPP), which would be incorporated by reference into the licenses.

DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

The following amendments have previously been issued which deleted the nonradiological and radiological environmental monitoring programs and requirements from the ETS, Appendix B of the Turkey Point Technical Specifications:

<u>Amendments</u>	<u>Purpose</u>
93 & 87	issued March 11, 1983, deleted the nonradiological water quality related requirements;
100 & 94	issued January 4, 1984, deleted the nonradiological monitoring programs related to terrestrial, biological, and physical monitoring;
103 & 97	issued April 23, 1984, deleted the radiological environmental monitoring requirements from the ETS (these requirements are now included in the Turkey Point Plant Technical Specifications (TS), Appendix A to Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41); and
105 & 99	issued August 24, 1984, deleted the groundwater monitoring requirements and other environmental protection limits.

Environmental concerns which relate to water quality and biological monitoring will be regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency and other Federal, State and Local Environmental Agencies.

8805050307 880425  
PDR ADDCK 05000250  
P PDR



Section 1.0 consists of the definitions for terms used in the ETS. Section 5.0 describes the administrative controls and procedures necessary to implement the ETS requirements. The requirements of Sections 1.0 and 5.0 of the ETS which are still applicable are included in the Turkey Point Plant EPP.

The objective of the EPP is to provide for protection of the environment at the Turkey Point Plant and immediate adjacent areas by (1) helping to determine that the plant is operated in an environmentally acceptable manner, (2) providing for review of NRC requirements to maintain consistency with other Federal and State requirements for environmental protection, and (3) keeping the NRC informed of any significant environmental impacts due to facility operation and of actions taken in response to any impacts.

The proposed EPP is consistent with current NRC policies with regard to environmental protection issues (since as noted above, the NRC now relies on the Environmental Protection Agency for resolution of issues involving the monitoring of water quality and biological monitoring programs, and the radiological monitoring requirements are incorporated in Appendix A of the TS), consistency requirements, administrative procedures, and facility reporting requirements.

On the basis of the foregoing, the change to Appendix B of the Technical Specifications to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41 proposed in these amendments by FPL for the Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4, concerning the substitution of an EPP for the current ETS, will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment and, therefore, is judged by the NRC staff to be adequate and acceptable.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

A Notice of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact relating to these amendments was published in the Federal Register on April 21, 1988 (53 FR 13204).

#### CONCLUSION

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of these amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: April 25, 1988

Principal Contributor:

John Schiffgens