

official

MAR 13 1985

50-250

Florida Power and Light Company
ATTN: Mr. J. W. Williams, Jr.
Group Vice President
Nuclear Energy Department
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA) FINAL REPORT - TURKEY POINT
NUCLEAR POWER PLANT EMERGENCY EXERCISE OF NOVEMBER 7, 1984

Enclosed are copies of correspondence received from FEMA forwarding their evaluation of the offsite emergency preparedness for the Turkey Point exercise which was conducted on November 7, 1984.

As described in the enclosure, there were no deficiencies identified during the exercise that required corrective actions. Further, FEMA reports that deficiencies which were noted in the June 1983, exercise have been corrected and the corrective actions were satisfactorily demonstrated during the November 1984, exercise. Improvement items were identified in the report, however, and we encourage your continued assistance to the State of Florida as well as the Counties of Dade and Monroe to correct these items prior to the next exercise. At that time, all pertinent areas will be re-evaluated and the results used by the NRC in any decisions pertaining to the adequacy of the state of emergency preparedness at the Turkey Point site.

Your cooperation in this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Virgil L. Brownlee, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 2
Division of Reactor Projects

Enclosure:
FEMA Final Report

cc w/encl:
K. N. Harris, Vice President
C. J. Baker, Plant Manager
K. N. Jones, Plant QA Superintendent

bcc: State of Florida
Resident Inspector
Document Control Desk

RII
WEC
TDecker
3/8/85

RII
WCL
WClone
3/8/85

RII
em
DCollins
3/11/85

RII
SELrod
3/ /85

8504160058 850313
PDR ADOCK 05000250
F PDR

110
IE31

10-11-54

10-11-54

10-11-54

10-11-54

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File/w/encl.
ORB#1 Rdg/w/o encl.
CParrish w/encl.
DMcDonald w/encl.
Sholly File w/encl.

March 11, 1985

DOCKET NO(S) 50-250
and 50-251

Mr. J. W. Williams, Jr., Vice President
Nuclear Energy Department
Florida Power and Light
Post Office Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

SUBJECT: FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
TURKEY POINT PLANT UNIT NOS. 3 AND 4

The following documents concerning our review of the subject facility are transmitted for your information.

- Notice of Receipt of Application, dated _____.
- Draft/Final Environmental Statment, dated _____.
- Notice of Availability of Draft/Final Environmental Statement, dated _____.
- Safety Evaluation Report, or Supplement No. _____, dated _____.
- Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit, dated _____.
- Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Facility Operating License, dated _____.
- Monthly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Operating Licenses Involving no Significant Hazards Considerations, dated _____.
- Application and Safety Analysis Report, Volume _____.
- Amendment No. _____ to Application/SAR dated _____.
- Construction Permit No. CPPR- _____, Amendment No. _____ dated _____.
- Facility Operating License No. _____, Amendment No. _____, dated _____.
- Order Extending Construction Completion Date, dated _____.
- Other (Specify) Notice dated March 8, 1985. RE: Proposed License Amendment -
Reactor Plant Surveillance Material Program.

Division of Licensing, ORB#1
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:
As stated

CC:

OFFICE >	ORB#1:DL						
SURNAME >	CParrish:ps						
DATE >	3/11/85						



1942

1943

1944

1945

1946

1947

1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIONFLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANYDOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251NOTICE OF CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENTS TO
FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES AND PROPOSED NO SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS
CONSIDERATION DETERMINATION AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41, issued to Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee), for operation of the Turkey Point Plant Unit Nos. 3 and 4 located in Dade County, Florida.

The amendments would revise the Technical Specifications to provide consistency in identification of the surveillance specimen capsules in the Technical Specifications and the actual surveillance specimen capsules. The surveillance specimen examination schedule is also modified to provide better information in accordance with the current regulations. The proposed changes would combine the existing Reactor Materials Surveillance Program into a single integrated program which conforms to the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendices G and H. These amendments were requested in the licensee's application dated February 8, 1985 and March 6, 1985.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendments request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92(c), this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously

evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of these standards by providing examples of amendments considered likely, and not likely, to involve a significant hazards consideration. These were published in the Federal Register on April 6, 1983 (48 FR 14870). One of the examples not likely to involve a significant hazards consideration is example (i), a purely administrative change to the Technical Specifications: For example, a change to achieve consistency throughout the Technical Specifications, correction of an error, or a change in nomenclature.

A portion of the proposed changes meet example (i) in that the current Technical Specifications do not contain nomenclature consistent with that used regarding the Turkey Point surveillance specimens. The existing Technical Specifications identify the surveillance specimen capsules by number while the actual capsules are alphabetically marked. The proposed changes to the capsule references will correct this inconsistency.

The proposed changes relating to the surveillance specimen schedule affect only the materials surveillance program and do not involve any change to the facilities, their components, or their operation. The requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendices G and H, include criteria for integrated surveillance programs in Appendix H, paragraph II.C. The Safety Evaluation provided by the licensee in support of the proposed change to the surveillance specimen schedule on Table 4.2-1, Page 4.20-1 and the revised Bases addresses each of the criteria identified in Appendix H, paragraph II.C. The Pressurized Thermal Shock issue has resulted in the licensee performing more extensive evaluations of reactor vessel material properties and core reconfigurations

resulting in reduction of flux to the vessel beltline region. The reduction in flux, in combination with increased understanding of vessel materials, has resulted in the licensee's re-evaluation of the existing programs. In addition, the modified schedule of the integrated program will result in less personnel exposure to radiation. The proposed change to the program brings the specimen examination schedule to a position which yields better information and lower radiation exposure to personnel in accordance with the current regulations. Therefore, since the modified schedule of the integrated surveillance program involves no changes to the facilities, their components or operational limits, or the accidents evaluated, and is in accordance with the criteria in Appendix H, paragraph II.C., the proposed amendments meet the three criteria specified in 10 CFR 50.92(c) for amendments which do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Comments should be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch.

By April 11, 1985 , the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendments to the subject facility operating licenses and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Request for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules

of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR §2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set

forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendments under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.


Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendments request involve no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendments and make them effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendments.

If the final determination is that the amendments involve a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendments.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendments until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendments before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a



notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Att: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union operator at (800) 325-6000 (in Missouri (800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Steven A. Varga, Branch Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Licensing: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of the FEDERAL REGISTER notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Harold F. Reis, Esquire, Newman and Holtzinger, P.C., 1650 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, attorney for the licensee.


Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. That determination will be based

upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for the amendments which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Environmental and Urban Affairs Library, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 8th day of March 1985.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



Steven A. Varga, Chief
Operating Reactors Branch No. 1
Division of Licensing