ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8901050183 DOC.DATE: 88/12/21 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
FACIL:50-250 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 3, Florida Power and Light C 05000250
50-251 Turkey Point Plant, Unit 4, Florida Power and Light C 05000251
AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
CONWAY,W.F. Florida Power & Light Co.
RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
ERNST,M.L. Region 2, Ofc of the Director

SUBJECT: Forwards summary of mgt-on-shift weekly rept for wk of 881209.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: D036D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 24
TITLE: Turkey Point Management Onshift Program

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR	COPIES ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR	COPIES ENCL
	DRP/ADR-2	1	1	NRR/DRP-1/2	1	1
	PD2-2 PD	1	1	EDISON,G	2	2
INTERNAL:	AEOD	1	1	DEDRO	1	1
	NRR DEPY	1	1	NRR DIR	1	1
	NRR MORISSEAU,D	1	1	NRR/ADP 12-G-18	1	1
	NRR/ADT 12-G-18	1	1	NRR/DLPQ/PEB	1	1
	NRR/DRIS DIR 9A	1	1	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1	1
	OE LIEBERMAN,J	1	1	OGC/HDS2	1	1
	REG FILE 01	1	1	RGN2 FILE	1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1	1	NRC PDR	1	1
	NSIC	1	1			

1/1A-3

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 22 ENCL 22

RIDS/ADDS

RIDS/ADDS

FPL

P.O. Box 14000, Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

88 DEC 28 A11 : 28

DECEMBER 2 1 1988

L-88-549

Mr. Malcolm L. Ernst
Acting Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Dear Mr. Ernst:

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4
Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251
Management-on-Shift Weekly Report

Pursuant to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Order dated October 19, 1987, the attached summary of Management-on-Shift (MOS) reports is submitted. The Plant Supervisor-Nuclear Shift Reports are also being submitted.

Should there be any questions on this information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

C. Ashton Dell for
W. F. Conway
Senior Vice President - Nuclear

WFC/RHF/gp

Attachment

cc: J. Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, USNRC
Dr. G. E. Edison, Project Manager, NRR, USNRC
Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Plant
R. E. Tallon, President, FPL

MOS.LTR

A011

1/1
D036

MANAGEMENT ON SHIFT (MOS)

WEEKLY SUMARY REPORT

WEEK STARTING: 12/09/88

Four MOS Observers were on shift. J. W. Patterson, Westinghouse Electric Corporation (12/09-11/88, days); D. Borgmann, St. Lucie Non-licensed Operator Training Supervisor (12/12-15/88, days); R. P. Sackschewsky, Westinghouse Electric Corporation (12/09-12/88, nights); J. P. Brannin, Senior Engineer, Nuclear Licensing, Juno Beach (12/12-16/88, nights).

Unit 3 remained in mode 5 and Unit 4 remained defueled for the duration of the reporting period. No immediate safety problems were noted by any observer.

The independent observers did not note any questionable work practices. They did note one area for improvement, suggesting two new plant equipment labels.

The Plant Supervisors-Nuclear reported three questionable work practices. One was on the legibility of controlled documents. One was on difficulty in maintaining calibration of the post accident hydrogen monitors within the acceptance criteria of its monthly surveillance. The third concerned rework on equipment already repaired and tested. They also noted five areas for improvement, as follows:

- One item on operator attention to changing parameters
- Two items on the quality of procedure changes
- A recommended improvement in equipment status tracking
- A suggestion to screen clearance requests for the best mode to do the work

NOTE: The Plant Supervisors-Nuclear have been instructed to only submit Shift Reports when they have observations to report.

ATTACHMENT: MOS DAILY REPORTS

Date 12/08/88	**Shift Report**	Shift Peaks

Shift Management

PSN Anderson APSN Dallau NWE Spence

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

While stroking MOV-3-536 for the Electrical department and raising RCS pressure to attempt to reduce 3A charging pump noise, unit 3 operator allowed RCS pressure to increase to the OMS activation setpoint (although the pressurizer was at approximately 24% and the RCS was not solid) opening PORV PCV-3-455C, with the hi pressure alarm and PORV opening occurring simultaneously. The operator immediately de-energized the pressurizer backup heaters, terminating the pressure increase.

Operators need to be more aware of operating near hi pressure setpoints and must avoid having any other distractions while operating in that condition.
(88-3174)

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations for outage

(1)

Reviewed By [signature] Date 12/12/88

Date 12/09/88

Shift Report

Shift Peaks

Shift Management

PSN Anderson APSN Dallau NWE Spence

A. **Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations**

The attached* copy of procedure index has all the pages hard to read with some totally illegible. Having controlled documents in this condition for operational use is contrary to good operating practices and makes it more difficult for operators to readily access reference materials and documents. Document control needs to eliminate this type of poor reproductions in controlled documents.
(88-3183)

B. **Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken**

None

C. **Good Practices/Professionalism Observed**

Routine operations for outage

* NOTE: ATTACHMENTS REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT TURKEY POINT

(2)

Reviewed By K.W. Pearce Date 12/12/88

Date: 12/09/88	ON[redacted]IFT OVERSIGHT PROGRA[redacted] DAILY REPORT	Page 1

John Patterson
(Observer)

Shift: Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 - mode 5, Unit 4 - defueled
- 0600 Plan of the Day meeting
- 0730 shift turnover/briefing
- 1530 shift turnover/briefing
- Tour of intake structure
- 4-OP-041.1 Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) "C" one hour run
- 3-OSP-059.2 Nuclear Instrumentation Intermediate Range Analog Operational Test
- Tour of unit 4 containment

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvment

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Routine shift operations

(6)

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: K W Peterson Date: 12/12/88
Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Management Review By: JEC 12/12/88 / SVP 12/12/88 / _____ / _____
PM-N *Date* *SVP* *Date* *VP* *Date*

★MOS:1-md 11/02/88

Date: 12/09-10/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

Roy Sackschewsky (Observer) **Shift:** Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 - mode 5, unit 4 defueled
- Tour:
  -Turbine building
  -Intake structure
  -Containment, unit 4
- 2330 shift turnover meeting
- Performance of Diesel Generator Operability Test (0-OSP-023.1)
  Response to decreased instrument air pressure
- 2330 shift turnover meeting
- Observed control room operations

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism , Summary of Shift, Comments

Most activities were associated with routine operations attempting to return unit 3 to power. The goals and expectations were clearly defined during the shift turnover meeting.

At approximately 01:30 a loose wire in the emergency diesel room was discovered. The APSN verified the problems and confirmed the analysis. Appropriate notifications and decision to write the significant event were made by the PSN.

RCOs responded to an alarm for low instrument air pressure. Pressure was monitored and notification to operators were made. Pressure decreased to approximately 82 psig before returning to normal.

7

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: L W Pearce Date: 12/12/88
Operations Superintendent- Nuclear

Management Review By: JEC 12/12/88 / SVP 12/12/88 / VP / Date
PM-N *Date* *SVP* *Date* *VP* *Date*

Date: 12/10/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

John Patterson (Observer) **Shift:** Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 - mode 5, unit 4 - defueled
- 0800 Plan of the Day meeting
- 0730 shift turnover/briefing
- 1530 shift turnover/briefing
- Tour of unit 3 containment
- Tour of radiation controlled area

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Routine shift operations

8

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: L W Pearce Date: 12/12/88
Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Management Review By: M C 12/12/88 / S V P 12/12/88 / _____ / _____
PM-N *Date* *SVP* *Date* *VP* *Date*

Date: 12/10-11/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

Roy Sackschewsky (Observer) **Shift:** Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 - mode 5, unit 4 - defueled
- Toured turbine building
- Toured radiation control area
- Toured containment, unit 3
- Toured Main Steam Isolation Valve (MSIV) turbine valve operability test (3-OSP-089, Section 7.2)
- Observed turbine operator rack in heater drain pump breakers
- Observed main turbine valve operability test (3-OSP-089, Section 7.2)
- Observed 2330 shift briefing

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Operations were routine in nature, preparing for heat-up of unit 3 and continued outage operations for unit 4.

Tour of walkway to Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) pump steam supply Motor Operated Valves (MOVs) indicated a need for additional "house cleaning" in the adjoining work area. The Nuclear Watch Engineer was informed who notified the Shift Director and Construction Supervisor of the problem area.

During my tour of unit 3 containment, I noted discrepancies in the insulation of vertical component cooling water (CCW) piping to the 3B emergency containment cooler. The PSN noted the apparent problem and identified the area for inspection.

(9.)

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: L W Pearce Date: 12/12/88
Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Management Review By: JEC 12/12/88 SVP 12/12/88 _____ / _____
PM-N Date SVP Date VP Date

Date 12/11/88

Shift Report

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Schimkus APSN Haley NWE Newton

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

Please quickly review the attached* procedure with OTSCs incorporated and form your own opinion of what each shift is receiving as guidance to perform unit 4 RCP motor runs. It has now been used once by at least one shift, however, I have received numerous complaints from all shifts that they felt a T.O.P. should have been used which would be clean and concise until a testing procedure is developed. This change (OTSC) is requested to be incorporated per OTSC 6644.

Recommend: Procedures that involve massive changes to support off-normal testing sequences, use a T.O.P. or a "clean OTSC."
(88-3184)

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Good response and participation of all shifts of operators to accomplish required procedures needed for unit 3 heatup. Making excellent progress.

* NOTE: ATTACHMENTS REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT TURKEY POINT

3

Reviewed By K W Pearce Date 12/12/88

Date 12/1-1/88

Shift Report

Shift Peaks

Shift Management

PSN Anderson APSN Dallau NWE Spence

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

We just performed OP 0204.2 App. E to test the containment post accident Hydrogen monitors. They failed to meet the acceptance criteria in step O-12 (both channels) then in the next few steps it has you dial in the adjustment pot so that it does meet the acceptance criteria. This leaves us with the question of operability of the channels. According to the RCOs everytime this test is done, the channels have to be dialed in to read what they are supposed to read with the test gas. The "B" channel was just tested and set in by I&C at 4:30 P.M. yesterday and now only about 28 hours later it is 1.1% out from what it should be. How is this test proving operability when the channels drift out of acceptance criteria in a little over a day and we perform the surveillance only once per month. I think that by using this procedure to adjust the instrument everytime we find it drifted out of tolerance is not addressing the root cause of the problem.
(88-3216)

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

4

Reviewed By [signature] Date 12/12/88

Date: 12/11/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

John Patterson (Observer) **Shift:** Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Unit 3 - mode 5, unit 4 - defueled
- 0800 Plan of the Day meeting
- 0730 shift turnover/briefing
- 1530 shift turnover/briefing
- Tour of intake structure
- Tour of unit 3 containment

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Routine shift operations

Turkey Point has a very effective labeling program. The liberal use of information labels makes it easy for personnel to take the correct actions or steps for a given situation. An example is the Emergency Diesel Generator area north entrance, the sign states: "....ensure gate/door closes securely behind you." A similar sign would be effective at the unit 3 and 4 intake structure gate NR 108. On Thursday I found this door ajar as I toured the plant site. A corporate representative, from Management Services, had entered the intake structure and failed to close the gate (apparently assuming that all security doors and gates have an automatic closure feature). Security infractions of this type can be reduced for non-routine and infrequent site personnel by additional direction.

Another candidate for labeling is the "transfer switch handles storage" case located on the alternate shutdown panel. The requirements for case entry should be clearly spelled out on the door to control access to these keys.
(88-3185)

10

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: _____ Date: 12/12/88
Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Management Review By: _____ 12/12/88 _____ 12/12/88 _____ /
PM-N *Date* *SVP* *Date* *VP* *Date*

Date: 12/11-12/88	O[redacted]HIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRA[redacted] DAILY REPORT	Page 1

Roy Sackschewsky (Observer) Shift: Night

A. **Plant Evolutions Observed**

- Unit 3 - mode 5, unit 4 - defueled
- Toured:
  -Intake structure
  -Turbine building
  -Auxiliary building
  -Containment, unit 3
- Observed:
  - "B" condensate pump - 3-OP-073, Section 5.2 "
  -"Periodic Tests, Checks and Operating Evolutions" 3-OP-0204.2, Appendix E for Post Accident Hydrogen Monitor System

B. **Immediate Safety Problems**

None

C. **Questionable Work Practices**

None

D. **Areas for Improvement**

None

E. **Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments**

Routine operations in preparation for heating up unit 3 above 200° F. Work on unit 4 was minimal due to most construction workers off for Sunday.

PWO has been issued for the removal of the insulation on the Component cooling Water piping for the Emergency Fan Cooler.

The area by the Auxiliary Feedwater pump steam supply MOVs has also been cleaned up.

11

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: [signature] Date: 12/12/88
Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Management Review By: [signature] 12/12/88 [signature] 12/12/88 _____ / _____
PM-N *Date* *SVP* *Date* *VP* *Date*

Date 12/12/88

Shift Report

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Schimkus APSN Hollinger NWE Newton

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

1. This clearance request (attached)* made its way to the control room. It appears "harmless" but in fact could place the RHR system out-of-service. It is a drain valve on the common suction line to both RHR pumps on unit 3 - (RHR currently in service). The request is to place 3-741 E on backseat to allow repack. On 12/4/88 a backseat failed to hold on MOV-3-863B to allow repack. It was fortunate that this is a double disk type gate valve which when closed, isolated the leak to allow repack.

   Action: Refuse permission to hang clearance.

   Recommend: All clearances sent to control room are screened for mode applicability prior to being sent to the control room.
   (88-3217)

2. This is a ditto to Tom Andersons shift report on 12/11/88 concerning PAHMS. The entire control room licensed staff on midshift and peak shift feel that we are overlooking an instrument drift problem. Possibly the procedural guidelines which require a 45 minute stabilization period prior to reading H2 indication, is too short of a period. This problem has recurred everytime we test these monitors. When the monitor fails the acceptance criteria, the next step in procedure has operator adjust the percentage hydrogen span to read what is required. I have attached the* original test, plus a re-test I required, to prove this point. Tests were 2 hours apart and another failure did occur. There is a problem with our equipment or our method.

   Actions: Re-submit PWOs on defects.
   (88-3216)

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

NOTE: ATTACHMENTS REFERRED TO IN THIS REPORT ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT TURKEY POINT

Reviewed By [signature] Date 12/12/88

Date: 12/12/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

Dennis Borgmann (Observer) **Shift:** Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Plan of the Day meeting
- Day shift briefing
- Walk through the unit 3 and 4 turbine building
- Peak shift briefing
- Control room operations

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvment

No comments

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Towards the end of the shift, QC called the ANPS and reported a crack was found in the 3B Intake Cooling Water pump discharge. This could possibly result in a hold in heatup.

No other comments

(1)

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: _____ Date: 12/13/88
Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

Management Review By: _____ / _____ *PM-N* *Date* _____ / 12/13/88 *SVP* *Date* _____ / 12/13/88 *VP* *Date*

*MOS:1-mod 11/02/88

Date: 12/12-13/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

J. P. Brannin (Observer) **Shift:** Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Shift turnover and briefing
- Toured Turbine building
- Routine control room operations for shutdown

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None

E. Professionalism , Summary of Shift, Comments

None

(2)

Completed By: _____ Date: _____
Observer

Reviewed By: L W Plaus Date: 12/13/88
Operations Superintendent- Nuclear

Management Review By: _____ / _____ *PM-N* / *Date* NJO / 12/13/88 *SVP* / *Date* _____ / 12/13/88 *VP* / *Date*

*MOS:1-md-11/02/88

Date 12/13/88

Shift Report

Shift Days

Shift Management

F _____ AP'SN Haley NWE _____

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

On midshift last night the Electrical Department worked limit switches on unit 4 turbine control valve which had failed the night before. Maintenance was complete on the midshift and Operations performed a turbine valve test to verify operability which passed satisfactory. On day shift today Electrical Maintenance worked the same control valve for the same problem. Why? This work requires Operations to perform another turbine valve test for unnecessary maintenance.
(88-3220)

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

(1)

Reviewed By K W Pence Date 12/14/88

Date: 12/13/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

Dennis Borgmann (Observer) **Shift:** Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Morning meeting
- Day shift briefing
- Intake structure work
- Turbine building work
- Control room operations
- Cosntruction lift HP turbine casing

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvment

No comments

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

1. Dayshift APSN noted Electrical Maintenance was working turbine valve position indication. This work was tested "sat" last night. During the peak shift briefing, Electrical Maintenance was questioned on this and the Supervisor stated the work was still progressing. Somewhere in this path communications have broken down.
(88-3220)
2. OTSCs were written to run a Reactor Coolant Pump on unit 4. One deleted the other and had initial conditions that were not relevant. The first OTSC was very busy with numerous cross outs and arrows. Care must be taken to ensure content and intention is clear to the operator using the operating procedure. This time the OTSC was turned into a TP.
(88-3184)

(3)

Reviewed By: R W Pence *Operations Superintendent-Nuclear* **Date:** 12/14/88

Management Review By: R W Pence for JEC 12/14/88 *PM-N* *Date* B M Sink for 12/14/88 *SVP* *Date* _____ *VP* *Date*

*MOS:1-mod-11/02/88

Date: 12/13-14/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

J. P. Brannin
(Observer)

Shift: Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Shift turnover and preshift briefing
- Normal shutdown evolution

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvement

None at present

E. Professionalism , Summary of Shift, Comments

No unprofessional activity observed

(4)

Reviewed By: L W Pearce — *Operations Superintendent-Nuclear* **Date:** 12/14/88

Management Review By: L W Pearce for JFC / 12/14/88 — *PM-N* *Date* / D. M. Taylor / 12/14/88 — *SVP J. S. Boon* *Date* / _____ / _____ — *VP* *Date*

★MOS:1-md-11/02/88

Date 12/14/88 | **Shift Report** | Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Anderson APSN Dallau NWE Spence

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

1. Today 4-OP-041.1 was changed to provide for running the RCP motors uncoupled. The final product, (PNSC reviewed and approved procedure revision) has several typo errors that are so apparent that the procedure could not have ever been proofread or they would have been seen. Also, an outstanding OTSC to the procedure was not incorporated with this revision and now does not fit the procedure due to step numbers changed and also page numbers changed. Now Operations is left with writing even another OTSC to repair the revision and to also repair the outstanding OTSC. This is a gross inattentiveness to detail, but I think the root cause is putting on the "push" again and hurrying people. I have seen a real tendancy for people to push to get jobs done in a hurry in the last couple of weeks. I know the unit 3 outage has gone ridiculously long and is getting close to an end which tends to get people in a hurry to get it on the line. The only thing we have to think about, though, is that to have to rework a job usually causes a lot more delay than taking the time to do it right the first time.
(88-3221)

2. During this and previous outages, Operations has a very hard time keeping track of the status of equipment in the plant. This equipment could be 1) out-of-service and being worked 2) out-of-service on clearance but not worked yet 3) maintenance complete but awaiting IST testing 4) maintenance complete but awaiting post maintenance testing (0190.28) 5) maintenance complete but on a partial lift on clearance.

   Recommend: 1) The department responsible for the work being done on a component should log in the EOOS book when maintenance is complete 2) Operations should log in EOOS when clearance is actually lifted (not partially released) 3) the department responsible for the work being done, log in the EOOS book, if work is done, but component is awaiting post maintenance testing. With these changes, the other items will be obvious.
   (88-3222)

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Routine operations

(2)

eviewed By [signature] Date 12/14/88

Date: 12/14/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

Dennis Borgmann (Observer) **Shift:** Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Morning meeting
- Day shift briefing
- Turbine building maintenance
- Cable spreading room maintenance
- Control room operations
- Peak shift briefing

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvment

No comments

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Control room operations were conducted very smoothly even with a lot of clearances being handled. Everyone was working towards getting the units on the line.

(1)

Reviewed By: L W Pearce *Operations Superintendent-Nuclear* **Date:** 12/15/88

Management Review By:

PM-N	Date	SVP	Date	VP	Date
	12/15/88		12/15/88		

*MOS:1-md-11/02/88

Date: 12/14-15/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

J. P. Brannin
(Observer)

Shift: Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Shift turnover and preshift briefing
- Toured turbine building
- Observed work on Intake Cooling Water (ICW) flange
- Toured Radiation Control Area (RCA)
- Routine shutdown activities

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None observed

D. Areas for Improvement

None at present

E. Professionalism , Summary of Shift, Comments

No unprofessional activity observed

(2)

Reviewed By: L W Pearce — Date: 12/15/88
Operations Superintendent- Nuclear

Management Review By:

PM-N	Date	SVP	Date	VP	Date
	12/15/88		12/15/88		/

Date: 12/15/88	ON[illegible]IFT OVERSIGHT PROGRA[illegible] DAILY REPORT	Page 1

Dennis Borgmann (Observer) **Shift:** Day

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Morning meeting
- Unit 3 containment tour with PSN
- 2:00 P.M. meeting
- Peak shift briefing
- Intake area maintenance

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None

D. Areas for Improvment

No comments

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

1. Observation - A lot of clearance requests, requests for partial release and clearance releases are coming into the control room at this time. All persons dealing with clearances should be reminded to allow as much lead time as possible when funneling these items to the control room. The NWE is the single focal point on clearances.

2. Toured containment with PSN, he checked on work in progress and discrepancies he had noted on previous tours.

(2)

Reviewed By: R W Pocock *Operations Superintendent- Nuclear* **Date:** 12/16/88

Management Review By: [illegible] for JEC / 12/16/88 *PM-N* *Date* · JJO / 12/16/88 *SVP* *Date* · [illegible] / 12/16/88 *VP* *Date*

Date: 12/15-16/88	ON SHIFT OVERSIGHT PROGRAM DAILY REPORT	Page 1

J. P. Brannin
(Observer)

Shift: Night

A. Plant Evolutions Observed

- Shift turnover and preshift briefing
- Toured turbine building
- Work on unit 3 Intake Cooling Water (ICW) flange
- Routine control room operation for dual unit outage

B. Immediate Safety Problems

None

C. Questionable Work Practices

None observed

D. Areas for Improvement

None at present

E. Professionalism , Summary of Shift, Comments

No unprofessional activity observed

(3)

Reviewed By: L.W. Pearce — *Operations Superintendent- Nuclear* **Date:** 12/16/88

Management Review By: for JEC / 12/16/88 — *PM-N* *Date*; JO 12/16/88 — *SVP* *Date*; [illegible] / 12/16/88 — *VP* *Date*

*MOS:1-md-11/02/88

Date 12/16/88

Shift Report

Shift Mids

Shift Management

PSN Anderson APSN Dallau NWE Spence

A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations

None

B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Normal for dual unit outage

(1)

Reviewed By [signature] Date 12/16/88