ACCELERATED GULA DI ORIBUTION DI DEMONSTRATION (RIDSYSTEM

ACCESSION NBR:8810130201DOC.DATE: 88/09/21NOTARIZED: NODOCKET #FACIL:50-250Turkey Point Plant, Unit 3, Florida Power and Light C0500025050-251Turkey Point Plant, Unit 4, Florida Power and Light C05000251AUTH.NAMEAUTHOR AFFILIATIONCONWAY,W.F.Florida Power & Light Co.RECIP.NAMERECIPIENT AFFILIATIONGRACE,J.N.Region 2, Ofc of the Director

SUBJECT: Forwards mgt on shift weekly rept per NRC order dtd 871119. Plant supervisor-nuclear shift repts also encl.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: D036D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR / ENCL / SIZE: 46 TITLE: Turkey Point Management Onshift Program

R

I

D

S

A

D

D

S

R

I

D

S

Å

D

D

Ξ

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	DRP/ADR-2	1 1	NRR/DRP-1/2	1 1
	PD2-2 PD	īī	EDISON,G	2 2
INTERNAL:	AEOD	1 1	DEDRO	1 1
	NRR DEPY	1 1	NRR DIR	1 1
	NRR MORISSEAU, D	1 1	NRR/ADP 12-G-18	1 1
	NRR/ADT 12-G-18	1 1	NRR/DLPQ/PEB	1 1
	NRR/DOEA DIR 11	īī	NRR/DRIS DIR 9A	$\cdot \overline{1} \overline{1}$
	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1 1	OE_LIEBERMAN, J	1 1
	OGC/HDS2	î î <	REG FILE 01	1 1
	RGN2 FILE	1 1		•
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	1 1	NRC PDR	1 1
	NSIC	1 1		

icon Region

ENCL



SEPTEMBER 2 1 1988

L-88-425

Dr. J. Nelson Grace Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N. W., Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Dear Dr. Grace:

Re: Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251 <u>Management-on-Shift Weekly Report</u>

Pursuant to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Order dated October 19, 1987, the attached summary of Management-on-Shift (MOS) reports is submitted. The Plant Supervisor-Nuclear Shift Reports are also being submitted.

Should there be any questions on this information, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

W. F. Conway Senior Vice President - Nuclear

WFC/SDF/gp

Attachment

cc: J. Lieberman, Director, Office of Enforcement, USNRC Dr. G. E. Edison, Project Manager, NRR, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC, Turkey Point Plant R. E. Tallon, President, FPL

mos001

3810130201 88097

un Region of

MANAGEMENT ON SHIFT (MOS)
WEEKLY SUMARY REPORT WEEK STARTING: 09/16/88 PAGE 1 OF 1
Six MOS Observers were on shift: Richard C. Coulthard, Westinghouse Electric Corporation (09/12-16/88, days); Joseph P. Brannin, Senior Engineer, Nuclear Licensing, Juno Beach (09/12- 16/88, nights); David Powell, Operating Experience Feedback Coordinator, Juno Beach (09/09-11/88, days); James E. Jones, Westinghouse Electric Corporation (09/09-12/88, nights); Virgil B. Wager, Procedure Upgrade Program Supervisor, Turkey Point Nuclear Plant (09/12-16/88, nights); and Wallace R. Williams, Jr., Assistant Superintendent, Planned Maintenance, Turkey Point Nuclear Plant (09/09-12/88, nights).
Units 3 and 4 operated in Mode 1 for the duration of the reporting period.
No immediate safety problems were noted by any observer during the reporting period.
The independent observers did not note any questionable work practices. They did note eight areas for improvement, as follows:
- Three items on procedures
- Two items on operator aids
- One item on inadequate communication
- One item on a strip chart scale
- One item updating a previous MOS observation on rain leakage
The Turkey Point observers noted one questionable work practice regarding insufficient corrective action on the spent fuel pit cooling pump vent valve. They also noted three areas for improvement, as follows:
- Two items on inadequate work controls
- One item on use of jumpers while testing
No questionable work practices were noted by the Plant Supervisors- Nuclear. They did note one area for improvement regarding late requests for fire protection impairments.

۰.

.

۰.

.

ATTACHMENT: MOS DAILY REPORTS

<u>.</u>



٠. •

•

x

•

•

•

ate	, 	 09/09/88_	Shift	Report	hift_	•	Mids
			Shift M.	anagement	, ,	•	
		Salkeld	_APSN	Guyer		_NWE	Eddinger
			•				······
	А.	Questionable Work Prac	ctices/Actions Ta	ken/Recommendation	-ne		
		None					
				•	•		
							÷
			a •				
	в.	Areas for Improvement	/Recommendation	ns/Actions Taken			
		None					
							•
	С.	Good Practices/Profess	ionalism Observe	đ			
		Routine operations	······································	_	• ,	<i>,</i> •	•
					(3	
				• •		,	
••						•	
				·			•
¢						• •	
			•			•	
				• •	·		
٩							
				•		•	
			•				•
			•	•••			
				•			•
				•		<u></u>	
		al lut	~	1 1 2:	 ,		•
vie	wed	B TW. Trus	Date 94	12/18	· •		•

		Shift Mana	gement			Newser
+	Anderson APSN		_		_NWE_	Newton/ Fernandez
	·	·				
						. `
٨.	Questionable Work Practices/A	ctions Taken/	Recommendatio	ns		
	None					
·						
в.	Areas for Improvement/Recom	mendations/A	ctions Taken	•		
	None					
					*	
c.	Good Practices/Professionalism	o Observed				
	Routine operations		•			
			• 、	•		
		•				
						• 8
			•		<i>.</i> ·	8
					•	
	•					•
	• •	•		-		
						۰ ـ
			• .			
	· ~	*	•		•	· -
	•	.• .			5	
	<u>, A A</u>					

۔ . د • • • • • • •

· · ·

. .

• • •

	,		. Shift N	Management		
-		Wogan	_APSN	· Singer .	NWE_	Vetromile
				··	······	
	λ.	Questionable Work Pro	actices/Actions 1	laken/Recommendation	ons	
		None				
			•			•
	B. .	Areas for Improvement Operations was requered were required 3 days so no other violation supervisor in charge sheet metal workers volt load center doors	ested to generat earlier. Operat ns occurred othe take accountab and iron worker	te 3 Fire Protection tions complied and th er than procedure no fility prior to non-co	ere were fire wate n-compliance. Recompliance. These l	thes all along commend the FPIs involved
					••••	
	C.	Good Practices/Profe	ssionalism Observ	ved		•
		Routine operations			•	
			• ,			·
	÷	· ,				• •
			,			
			• •			
		•	•	۰. •		
				_		
						. •
		· · ·			•	. •
	·	• • • •			•	. •

0-ADM-019	Management on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT
To: Op	erations Superintendent - Nuclear Date:09/09/88
From:	David Powell Shift: 🛛 Day (MOS Observer) 🗋 Night
" А.	Plant Evolutions Observed
	 Normal plant operations Units 3 and 4 at 100% power Plant tours 4A Intake Cooling (ICW)/Component Cooling Water (CW) Heat exchanger tube inspection Routine surveillances
В.	Immediate Safety Problems None noted
°C.	Questionable Work Practices
-	None noted
D.	Areas for Improvement
	None
E.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments
	Shift turnovers were thorough and conducted well. Operations were smooth throughout the day.
	-
Completed By	David Powell Date: 09/09/88
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclear Date: 9/12/16
Management Review By:	PM-N Date SVP Date VP Date 09/09/88

01 A7/6

.0-ADM-019	Management on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT				
v: Opera	ations Superintendent - Nuclear Date: 09/09-10/88				
From:	James Jones Shift: Day (MOS Observer)				
λ.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Units 3 and 4 at 100% power Unit 3 Steam Generator (SG) level periodic 3B Circulating Water Pump repair B Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) operability test Plant tours Routine operations 				
В.	Immediate Safety Problems None				
с.	Questionable Work Practices				
. D.	Areas for Improvement				
88-2277	If the primary containment temperature elements used to satisfy 0-ADM-021, section 3.6.1.5, were to fail and the backup containment temperatures were needed, the recorder strip charts on both units 3 and 4 indicate that the average of the three backup containment temperatures is greater than 120° F, which is the limit. However the actual containment temperature according to the recorder scale indicates less than 120° F. As a result of this:				
	a. Recommend a night order book entry or other means ensuring operators use the recorder scale and not the strip chart points when reading the backup containment temperatures or any recorder for that matter.				
· .	b. Could investigate having the strip chart scaling match the recorder scaling so the printed temperature is not higher than actual temperature. Joe Guyer and Pat Salkeld are both aware of the problem should further questions arise. PSN sent a memorandum for acquiring properly scaled paper.				
· .					

•

•

:

•

•

. , , ×

,

• . . .

.

,

·

•

. - _____а

• •

2

Page

E. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Peak shift I&C Supervisor uses a good practice during I&C testing by independent verifying the proper switch, etc., prior to it's actual use.

Completed By:	James Jones	Date: <u>09/09-10/88</u>
• •	MOS Observer	•
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent- Nu	Date: 9/12/18
Management Review By:	M.N Date SVA	9/2/88
#M(25 1 01407 bh		09/09-10/88

0∙ADM•	019	Management on Shift (MOS DAILY REPOR	Page 1	
):	Ope	rations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	· • 09/09-10/88
From:	<u></u>	W. R. Williams, Jr. (MOS Observer)	Shift:	🗋 Day . 🖾 Night
. .	•	 Plant Evolutions Observed Both units full power operation Bumping of 3B1 CWP Turbine oil pump test Start of Unit 3 Steam Generator (S 	G) periodic	
B	•	Immediate Safety Problems None	,	
· C	•	Questionable Work Practices	• • • •	
		None	· .	`:
ם) .	Areas for Improvement None		
		,	•	•
		· · · · ·	•	•
		:	• .	Å

:

0-ADM-019

AMON 1 01/07 5

2

Page

B. Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

Well-run shifts - peak shift observed the 3B Heater Drain Motor bottom bearing temperature starting to reach the alarm point again. This appears to be due to the high ambient temperature. Operations had Maintenance install an air mover which dropped the lower bearing temperature about 15° F.

When the 3B1 Circulating Water Pump (CWP) motor was bumped for rotation check, it could not be stopped until the 90 second timer in the stop circuit timed-out. Until it was realized that this was what had happened the level of concern was quite high. The 90 second delay is to allow the discharge Motor Operated Valve (MOV) to almost close prior to stopping the pump. But, for a bump, a second jumper (in addition to the one to start the motor in this situation) is needed to allow for immediate control of power to the motor. The crew working this job is giving feedback to their planning group to make this a part of future work packages.

The midshift operations did a good job of re-establishing Unit 4 after the turbine oil pump test. The unit had lost about 15 megawatts and was swinging load.

The midshift PSN did a review of the steam generator level periodic procedure with the RCO and l&C personnel prior to starting the test.

Talked with the Electrical and Mechanical foremen about tying-off the pump coupling prior to the motor bump. This was due to pump coupling several years ago rotating up into the motor coupling during a bump.

Completed By:	W	. R. William		<u> </u>	Date:	09/09-10/88
Reviewed By:	(A.W.			uclear	Date:	<u> 1/12/18</u>
Management Review By:	PM-N		۰ ۸ ۰	,9)12/88 Date	- Alb	<u>- 19/12/88</u> Date 09/09-10/88

с. Т

· _ _

n T

· · ·

Salkeld	Shift Ma	anagement			
·······	APSN	Guyer		NWÉ	Eddinger
	ATSN	·····		_n <u> </u>	
Questionable Work Practi	ices/Actions Tal		ions		
None .		•			
			·		,
Areas for Improvement/R	lecommendation	s/Actions Taken	•••		
None					
	•				
Good Practices/Profession	nalism Observed	1			٠
Routine operations					
	•		I		•
•		· ·			
· · ·				•	,
				v	
х.	•	•			
	•.			•	
		·	•		
· * [*]	•				
					•
-	• •		•		
<u> </u>					
	None Areas for Improvement/R None Good Practices/Profession	None Areas for Improvement/Recommendation None Good Practices/Professionalism Observed Routine operations	None . Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None Good Practices/Professionalism Observed Routine operations	None Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None Good Practices/Professionalism Observed Routine operations

•

•

•

1

Date	. 09/10/88	Shi	ft Repor	t shift		- Peaks	=
	Wegge		Management	······			
	Wogan	APSN	Singer		_NWE_	Vetromile	
	Ouestienshie West De			, , ,			
А.	Questionable Work Pra	ictices/Actions	aken/Recommenda	tions			

в.	Areas for Im	provement/Recommendations/Actions	Taken
		provonout/ noooninondations/ notions	AUACH

None

C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

Units 3 and 4 at 100% power

Date //2/81 Reviewed By 1.U. ixa

r > ١ 4 • A `

•

4

. •

× A •

-

an 1925 na ta ca · • 4 wri **...** 28 **4** 14 45 5 5 . .

,

0-AI)M-	0	19
-------------	-----	---	----

AMCK | 01.07/66

Magement on Shift (MOS)

Page

• .	MOS DAILY REPORT
То:	Operations Superintendent - Nuclear Date: 09/10/88
From:	David Powell Shift: 🔀 Day (MOS Observer) Dight
A.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Normal plant operations Units 3 and 4 at 100% steady state power Plant tours Emergency Diesel Generator (EDG) draining/filling - testing Steam Generator (SG) Taverage - troubleshooting
В.	Immediate Safety Problems None
c.	Questionable Work Practices
D.	Areas for Improvement None
- E.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments Well conducted shift turnovers and pre-shift briefings. Very quiet shift. no major problems experienced during the day. EDG engineer was on site - he did very well coordinating the diesel work.
, , ,	
Completed Reviewed	MOS Observer
Managem Review By	nt <u>M-N</u> Date SVP Date <u>VP</u> <u>Date</u> 09/10/88
A \$1751 1 111 117055	

0:A	DI	М.	0	1	9
-----	----	----	---	---	---

1

.

Page

	•	WOS DAILY REPOR		
ס:	Operation	s Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/10-11/88
From:	Jam	es Jones (MOS Observer)	Shift:	□ Day ⊠ Night
Α.	Plan - o o o o	units 3 and 4 at 100% power A Emergency Diesel Generator (E B Emergency Diesel Generator (E) PT-446 first stage impulse pressur Valve exercising procedure OSP-0	DG) operability to e troubleshooting	est
В.	Im m Non	ediate Safety Problems	•	-
C.	Que	stionable Work Practices e	•	· · · ·
, D.	Duri	as for Improvement ing the performance of OSP-0209 ts were noticed. When this procedure comes up for As Left/Required Position colum for critical valves to ensure they alignment for the existing plan	or it's scheduled in should be cha are repositioned t condition afte	review and rewrite the inged to the appendices to their normal system r the valve stroking is
	2.	completed. Ex List only open or Valves that may be in either pos conditions can still have both op could be used, marking valves to or closed) if plant conditions are exercise is done. The "fail-safe" column according	ition (sampling, open and closed, that could be in different from e to the procedur	etc.) depending on plant An asterik with a note another position (open expected when the valve re was confusing to the
•		operator in that simply stroking position, but only that the valve w		not verify the fail safe

_ * • 9 **6** 7 84

•

r

۲

er a som . .

• . . .

×.

• 1

-

• •

.

4

..... સ લાગ્ર થયું છે. ક

Page

E. • Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

A placard was found on both units 3 and 4 in process control rack no. 28 which said, power mismatch defeat switch-leave in the off position. this switch is the defeat switch for N-44 input to rod control. The switch was found in the "on" position and should be for auto rod control. The PSN was notified, he had the placards removed and requested new ones with the proper labeling.

Completed By:	James Jones	Date:09/10-11/88
-	MQS Observer	, ·
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date: 9/12/18
Management Review By:	Le 19/12/81	(b) <u>1/12/88</u> Date
• MOS 1 01/07 • • *		• 09/10-11/88

"

• •

. .

	0.	Δ	D	M		A	1	9	
-	V-	ഹ	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$		-	v		0	

Management on Shift (MOS)

. 0- A D M-01		Management on Shift (MOS)				
ʻi'o: C	Operations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/10-11/88			
From:	W. R. Williams Jr (MOS Observer)	Shift:	□ Day ⊠ Night			
λ.	Plant Evolutions Observed	×				
•	 Both unit full power operation "A" Diesel runs for chemical flushing Toured Auxiliary Feed Water (AFW) Draining of cleaning acid from "A" D 	area	、			
В.	Immediate Safety Problems	•				
	None					
с.	Questionable Work Practices					
	None					
D.	Areas for Improvement					
	None					
E	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comm	ents				
•	While watching draining of cleaning ac personnel draining acid were not using a the joreneyman, he was not aware of a on the chemical container required gogg showed this to the foreman who was at the job to obtain the proper protecti equipment for all working in the area. A to have this identified and in place for who	ny protective e ny requirement gles or face shi the job site; h ive. equipment. Also the forman	quipment. Talked with . Review of the label ield and rubber gloves; ie immediately stopped He obtained enough was making provisions			
	Other than this it was a quiet, efficiently	run shift.				
•	•					
	•					
		• •				
Completed	By: <u>W. R. Williams, Jr.</u> MOS Observer	Date	. 09/10-11/88			

Date: 9/12/

ate

Management Review By:

Reviewed By:

0 Date 09/10-11/88

<u>AU. JULL</u> Operations Superintendent-Nuclear

** .

. .

· ·

. · ·

, , ,

•

.

							والكر أخواص ومناوعها ومراجع
1	. An	derson	Shift A PSN	Management Guyer/Singe	: r	_NWE_	Spence
А.	Questionable V	Work Prac	ctices/Actions T	aken/Recommenda	tions		
	None		-				
•					•	•	
•							
в.		ovement/	/Recommendati	ons/Actions Taken			, ,
	None					•	
c.	Good Practice	s/Professi	ionalism Observ	ed			of .
	Routine operat				•		^
•	•			·			Ψ
•	•						
						•	•
					· _		•
				•			
				•			
						•	
		•		· .			
•	•		•				
	<u></u>						
	B. A.W.	1		9/12/98	•	• ,	

Salkeld	_APSN	Management Guyer 'aken/Recommendat	NWE	Eddinger
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Eddinger
onable Work Prac	tices/Actions T	'aken/Recommendat		· · ·
onable Work Prac	tices/Actions T	'aken/Recommendat		
		aren needinnendar	ione	
	•			
	•			
•		•		
for Improvement/	/Recommendari	ons/Actions Taken		
				<i></i>
	•		,	
				•
	· .			•
Practices/Professi	ionalism Observ	, veđ		¢.
e operations				٩
-				
				•
			,	
_		· .		
•				
		• •		
•	•		•	
				-
			i,	
		-		•
			_	•
$\alpha \alpha$			- 	
	D.A.	DA.	D.A.	Date 9/13/80

0-ADM-019	Meagement on Shift MOS DAILY REPOR	Page 1				
To: Ope	erations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/11/88			
From:	David Powell . (MOS Observer)	Shift:	⊠ Day [†]] Night			
А.	Plant Evolutions Observed	•				
	 Normal plant operations - Units 3 a Loop C - control Tave Rod Position Indication (RPI) axial Plant tours 	-	, .			
· В.	Immediate Safety Problems	•				
	None		•			
c.	Questionable Work Practices					
	None .					
D.	Areas for Improvement					
	None	•	•			
B.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Com	ments · ·				
-	Shift turnovers were thorough and co has been going smoothly although the adjustment to the schedule.	nducted well. water pump cha	The diesel work effort ange out has caused an			
	· ·	, `				
	· .					
			· ·			
l						
ľ						
		•				
Completed By:	David Powell	Date:	09/11/88			
- Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclear	Date:	9/12/92			
Management Review By:	PM-N Date SVP Do	ate VPZ	<u>5 19/12/88</u> Date 09/11/88			

٠	 ** *		117.54	

Date 09/11/88

0-ADM-019	Magement on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT 1				
fo: . Oper	rations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/11-12/88		
From:	James Jones (MOS Observer)	Shift: 🛛 🖾	Day Night		
A.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Units 3 and 4 at 100% power Rod Position Indication (RPI) test Radiation Control Area (RCA) to Plant tours "A" Emergency Diesel Generate to coolant 	pur	2000 chemical addition		
в.	Immediate Safety Problems	· · ·			
-	· None		•		
C.	Questionable Work practices	• •			
D.	Areas for Improvement Upon further investigation of where	the water is com	ing from that is leaking		
	into Unit 3 charging pump room, Hea between the wall and floor. See #WA880707132715 - attached. The work order needs to be corrected	Ith Physics inform CTRAC #88-1 ed to fix the leal	ed me it is from a joint 359 and work request		
•	wall at the joint and not from the roof	• • 、	-		

A MATINE 1 MAR 111/07/006

Β.

Menagement on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT

2

Puse

Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments

The number of OTSCs has definitely been reduced over the past year, but even so over the last 4 months approximately 200 OTSCs have been written. The review process in effect is not preventing unworkable procedures from getting on the street. If it was not for the Turkey Point personnel using the procedures, catching the problem spots, errors costly to the plant could occur and may in the future.

Realizing the PUP group cutback and the tremendous effort to support the entire Turkey Point staff in writing procedures, a thorough review by the appropriate group discipline is important. (Prior to in-plant use).

A thorough procedure review by the appropriate discipline in the long run will save time and money since on shift personnel will not need to waste plant time and research the many OTSCs sometimes written to perform the procedure.

Another OTSC was rewritten tonight on 4-PMI-028.2, the RPI monthly test. One page was completely missing and another page should not have been in the procedure.

The many OTSCs is a reflection of the lack of attention to ensuring procedure errors do not cause a future significant plant problem. Previous MOS items have addressed this item.

Completed By:	James Jones	Date:09/11-12/88
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuc	Date: <u>9/12/88</u>
Management Review By:	PM-N Date SVP	, 9/12/88 7/1/ , 9/12/88 Date V9 Date 09/11-12/88



0-ADM-019	Management on Shift (N MOS DAILY REPORT	Page 1	
"o: Oper	ations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/11-12/88
From:	W. R. Williams, Jr (MOS Observer)	Shift:	🗋 Day 🖾 Night
A	Plant Evolutions Observed		
	 Both units full power operation Toured Radiation Control Area (RCA "A" Diesel area toured I&C work Rod Position Indication (R) 		- 4-PMI-028.2
B.	Immediate Safety Problems		• • • •
	None		
C.	Questionable Work Practices		•
	None		•
D.	Areas for Improvement		
	None		
E.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Commo	ents	
1	Another quiet, well run shift.		
	During the mid shift briefing, the NWE walkdown all their areas and where they flanges) get PWOs turned in unless one now because the outage is only a few days	find problems is already tur	(such as leaky bonnets,
	1&C had to OTSC procedure 4-PMI-028, to have been deleted on the last change 17 was page 16 with the changes incorporate	. The change	
· .	The peak shift operations group stoppe they were in an action statement and tim so everyone could regroup and then the by the peak shift RCO.	e was running (out. A break was taken
	•		
Completed By:	W. R. Williams, Jr. MOS Observer	Date	<u>09/11-12/88</u>
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclear	Date	9/12/87
Management Review By:	PM-N Date SVP Date	ILH BB	<u> </u>

0-ADM-019	Meagement on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT				
To: Oper	ations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/12-13/88		
From:	Joseph P. Brannin (MOS Observer)	Shift: 🗋	Day Night		
А.	Plant Evolutions Observed				
	 Normal operations Shift turnover Shift briefing 	•	· ·		
В.	Immediate Safety Problems	•			
ŕ. ,	None				
· c.	Questionable Work Practices				
	None observed				
D.	Areas for Improvement Nothing new on this shift.		,		
Е.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Con	nments			
	Very quiet shift.				
-	· · ·	· ·.			
Completed By	Joseph P. Brannin MOS Observer	^ Date:	<u>. 09/12-13/88</u>		
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclea	Date:	1/13/82		
Management Review By:	PM-N Date SVP = 50 D	9/13/85	<u>Date</u> 09/12-13/88		

.

le	09/12/88	Shift Re	port	Shift	D	avs
		Shift Manager	ment		· ·	
N	Jones/SalkeldAPSN	lG	uyer/Haley	•	_NWE	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
А.	Questionable Work Practices/A	ctions Taken/Re	commendat	ions		
	None					
		•				
	·					•
		·	• 8	•		,
						,
в.	Areas for Improvement/Recom	mendations/Acti	ons Taken			
	None	•				
	`					
	• •					
	, , ,					
c.	Good Practices/Professionalism	a Observed				
	. Routine operations	•				
	·		,	•	•	•
						,
					••	
				•		
•						R
				•	•	
	•				•	
	,		•	•		
	•	•		٠		•
					•	
		Date <u>9/13/</u>			· · ·	

09/12/88	• Shi	ft Report	Shift	Peaks	•
	Shift	Management			
Jones	APSN	Haley	N	WE	
Questionable Work Pr	actices/Actions	Taken/Recommendat	ions	<u></u>	
		•			
•		•			,
		•			
Areas for Improvement	nt/Recommendat	ions/Actions Taken			
None					
•					`
					al
	-				•
·					**
Good Practices/Profe	ssionalism Observ	ved		•	
Routine operations					
	•				
• •					
		•			
	•		I	•	•
			·	•	
		• .		•	
	-		•	- 	
	Jones Questionable Work Pr None Areas for Improvement None Good Practices/Profe	Good Practices/Professionalism Observ	Shift Management Jones APSN Haley Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendat None Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	Shift Management Jones APSN Haley N Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations None Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	Feats Feats Shift Management Jones APSN Haley NWE Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations None Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None Good Practices/Professionalism Observed

:

ie	09/12/88		ft Rep	SI	nift	Mids
		Shift N	Managemen			
N	Salkeld	_APSN	Guyer	1	NŴE	Eddinger
· · ·						
Å.	Questionable Work Pro	actices/Actions '	Faken/Recom	mendations		
	None .				•	
					•	
		u .				
в.	Areas for Improvemen	t/Recommendat	ions/Actions	Taken		
	None .					
•						
al.						
•	·					
C.	Good Practices/Profes	ssionalism Observ	ved		* • •	•
	Routine operations ·					
			· .			
						• • •
			-	•- •		
-	,					
		•				
				4		
•						
				•		. ·
		2Date	1 1 .		*	

ŭ

r

u, 🛥

4 4 ۰. 6 a T

	······		·
0-ADM-019	Management on Shif MOS DAILY REPO		Page 1
To: Ope	rations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/12/88
From:	Craig Mowrey (MOS Observer)	Shift: 🗵	
Α.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Both units at 100% power Diesel testing following water provide the string following water provide the	ump replacement g	`````
В.	Immediate Safety Problems		•
C.	Questionable Work Practices None	• •	
. D.	Areas for Improvement None		
E.	Professionslism, Summary of shift, Co None	omments 	
	·		
Completed By: Reviewed By:	Craig Mowrey MOS Observer , Will Carce Operations Superintendent-Nucle	Date: Date:_	09/12/88 9/13/88
Management_ Review By:	PM-N Date SVP. 500	<u>1 - 9/13/88 JUS</u> Date VP	- <u>9/13/88</u> Date

09/12/88

I

•

. •

,

ч -```·

·

-•

• • • •

0-ADM-019

Meagement on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT

1

Date 09/12-13/88

Page

•		
To:	Operations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:09/12-13/88
From:	V. B. Wager (MOS Observer)	Shift: 🔲 Day 🗵 Night
Ā.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Units 3 and 4 at 100% power Plant tours Routine operations 	• •
В.	Immediate Safety Problems None observed	• •
c.	Questionable Work Practices None observed	
, D.	Areas for Improvement None observed	
, E.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comm	ents
	Shifts were conducted in a calm and con- was thorough and the Plan of the Da disciplines present.	
		· .
Completed	By: <u>V. B. Wager</u> MOS Observer	Date: 09/12-13/88
Reviewed 1	By: Operations Superintendent-Nuclear	Date: <u>9/13/88</u>
Manageme Review By	PM-N Date SVP 350 Da	13/23

.

~

	. Shii	ft Management	•
`SN <u>`</u>	Salkeld/JoneAPSN	Guyer/Haley	NWE
Δ.	Questionable Work Practices/Action	ns Taken/Recommendation	ns
	None		
			- •
		· · ·	· · ·
í n	1		
B.	Areas for Improvement/Recomment	lations/Actions Taken	
	None		
		•	
· C.	Good Practices/Professionalism Obs	Served	·
	Routine operations	···	
÷	• •	•	
•	, ,	•	
			,
		· ·	
	4		· ·
			· ·
	•		
		•	-
	•		•

•

			Shift	Management		· ·	
PSN_		· Jones	APSN	. Haley	NV	/E	
			· ·	• •	······································	·····	
	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Ă.	Questionable Work Prac	ctices/Action	s Taken/Recommendat	lons		ĸ
		None	•		, ,		
	в.	Areas for Improvement	/Recommenda	ations/Actions Taken			
		None				•	
				• .	*		•
		• •		.v. •••••••. •	,	•	•
	C.	Good Practices/Profess	ionalism Obse	erved		÷	
		Routine operations			•		
	•	· ·	*			•	
			¢				
		••					-
			•			ಕ	•
	•	•	,				•
		•		•			
•							•
•		•					
	•			•	-		
			,	· ·		•	
h		dlin		9/14/88			* <u></u>

• •

.

· ·

* * *

				Sh	ift Managem	ent	· · ·	ىلى كال اليوبية بين بين من اليوانيا •
SN_	• 	ي 	Wogan	APSN	Sin	ger	NWE	Vetromile
	А.	Question	able Work Pr	actices/Actio	ons Taken/Reco	ommendations		
		None	۲.					
			•			•	•	•
			·. ·					
							•	
	В.	•	r Improvemen	nt/Recommen	idations/Action	ns Taken		
		None						
							•	
		I						
				•	•			
	c.	Good Pra	actices/Profe	ssionalism Ob	served	•		
		Routine	operations	•.		۰.		
						x •		•
					,			
			• •		•			•
			i				•	
							·	
	•		•				_	
					-	•		
		-	<u> </u>					

0-ADM-01	9
----------	---

Magement on Shift (MOS)

Date 09/13/88.

1

Page

ч 	MOSDAILY REPORT
То: Оре	erations Superintendent - Nuclear Date:09/13/88
From:	Richard Coulthard Shift: Day (MOS Observer) Dight
َّ ک.	Plant Evolutions Observed
•	 Units 3 and 4 at 100% power Conduct of 4 OSP 049.1, Reactor Protection System logic test Start of shift meeting at 1535 Topping off of diesel fuel oil storage tank
В.	Immediate Safety Problems
	None .
C. ,	Questionable Work Practices
	None "
D	Areas for Improvement
, •	None
E.	Professionslism, Summary of shift, Comments
	1. Fairly quiet shift run in a professional manner.
•	2. The PSNs/APSNs are now requesting the status of all Plan of the Day Electrical, I&C, and Mechanical work items at the 1535 shift work meeting. Proper turnover and knowledge of the status of work items by back shift maintenance personnel has been the focus of MOS comments and an item in the Enercon report. Although all maintenance groups have not internally resolved how to get this status information to their supervisor at the 1535 meeting, it was encouraging to see the effort underway.
Completed By:	Richard Coulthard Date:09/13/88
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclear Date: 9/15/88
Management Review By:	Out tor sec 1 9/14/84 AU 1 7/14/88 1 PM-N Date SVP Date VP Date Date



τα ◆

...,

0-ADM-019	Management on Shift (M MOS DAILY REPORT		Page - 1
To: Opera	ations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/13-14/88
From:	Joseph P. Brannin (MOS Observer)	Shift: [] Day 3 Night
Α.	Plant Evolutions Observed		
· .	 Shift turnover Shift briefing Receipt and actions concerning the Relief Valves opening times as it app 	information abo lies to cold oper	out the Power Operator rations
в.	Immediate Safety Problems		
	None		
Ċ.	Questionable Work Practices		
•	None observed		
D.	Areas for Improvement		
	No new ones		
B. .	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comme	ents	
	Routine shift operations, both peak and mic	is, quiet profess	ional shifts.
	Information about PORVs made clear to all	personnel.	
	· · ·		
	•		
	•	•.•	
	· · ·		
Completed By:	Joseph P. Brannin MOS Observer	Date:_	
- Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclear	_ Date:_	9/14/14
Management Review By: 0	wf ta Sec 19/14/19 De 19/1 PM-N Date SVP Date	4/88 VP-	 Date

• .

•

•

I

••.

09/	13-14/88

•

-

•

0-ADM-019	Management on Shift () MOS DAILY REPORT		Page 1
То: .0	perations Superintendent - Nuclear	. Date:	09/12-13/88
From:	V. B. Wager (MOS Observer)	Shift: 🗌 🖾	Day Night
Α.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Both units at 100% power Plant tours Routine operations Significant Event of Unit 4 rep Operating Center (NRCOC) - Power times excessive 		
В.	Immediate Safety Problems None observed		
C.	Questionable Work Practices None observed		
D.	Areas for Improvement None observed No difficulties were encountered during t	he performance o	f the shift activities.
В.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comm This was the operating shift's first day good participation at the shift meeting to update the operating personnel on t excellent job of informing the operato stroke time) and it's effect on plant opera This was a relatively quiet shift.	on the graveyard y by the attended the work activitions about the Sig	es from all disciplines es. The APSN did an
Completed B Reviewed By	MOS Observer	Date: Date:	<u>09/13-14/88</u> <u>1/14/18</u>
Managemen Review By:	Min have 19/14/18 10 19 PM N Date C.P Da	1/14/88 Te VP	<i>Date</i> 09/13-14/88

Shift Management								
SN	Jones	APSN	Haley	NWE_				
Α.	Questionable Work	Practices/Actions	Taken/Recommendatio	•D8				
·	None							
	•				и			
	· · ·	1						
В.	Areas for Improvem	ent/Recommenda	tions/Actions Taken					
	None	× ·		v	,			
			,					
c.	Good Practices/Prop	fessionalism Obser	rved					
	Routine operations	,						
	• .							
					•			
					•			
			•					
-			•					
•		•						
			•	• •				
-	•	÷			-			
					•			

•

-

•

ate		S hi	ft Repor	t hift	Lyong.
		Shift	Management		
SN	Wogan	APSN	Singer	N₩	E Vetromile
А.	Questionable Work Prac	tices/Actions 3	raken/Recommenda	ations	
	None			:	
В.	Areas for Improvement/	/Recommendat	ions/Actions Taken		
	None				
	٩	•			
-					
	•••	•			
		•			
C.	Good Practices/Professi	ionalism Öbserv	ved .		
	Routine operations	•	•	· .	
					. ,
				· ·	
		•			
,	· .		·		
	·	Қ			
	,			. •	
					-
	-	•	• •		

0-ADM-019

Management on Shift (MOS MOS DAILY REPORT

1

Page

•	MOS DAILY REPORT
То:	Operations Superintendent - Nuclear Date: 09/14/88
From:	Richard Coulthard Shift: 🗵 Day (MOS Observer) 🗌 Night
`А.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Units 3 and 4 at 100% power 0715 Plan of the Day meeting 1535 shift meeting 3/4 OSP 041.1, Reactor Coolant System leak rate 4-OSP-075.2, Auxiliary Feedwater Train 2 operability verification. 0-OSP-062.2, Safety Injection pumps in-service test
В.	Immediate Safety Problems .
C. ,	Questionable Work Practices
	None -
D.	 Areas for Improvement The recently revised (8/26/88) Reactor Coolant System (RCS) leak rate procedure now requires a four hour period for data collection per step 7.1.5. This is in excess of the one or two hour periods most plants use. The Control Room operator can run a shorter test if he has good reason for suspending the test, which was done both on peaks and day shift.
	It is hard to hold off all things that effect an RCS leak rate for four hours (i.e., sampling; Auxiliary Feed pump testing to Steam Generators, etc.). The two shortened leak rate tests showed similar results (0.07 gpm and 0.06 gpm). It is suggested that the increase in the data collection period be reviewed with a goal of dropping back to two hours.
-	2. During the shift, the NRC expressed a concern about the consistent utilization of placards on various nitrogen bottles for the Main Steam Isolation Valves (MSIV), Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW), Atmospheric Steam Dump, etc. systems. The use of these loose slipover placards which state information such as in-service, stand by or empty do appear to be inconsistently used at various bottle stations. Some nitrogen bottle stations have no status placards on the bottles. Their use should be reviewed to determine if they are necessary and, if so, ensure they are uniformily used.

.

.

•

. •

dan i

0-A	D	M	-0	1	9
-----	---	---	----	---	---

۰.

2

Page

B. Professionslism, Summary of shift, Comments

Busy shift on Unit 4 and quiet shift on Unit 3.

	Completed By:		Richard Co MOS Ob			Date:	<u>09/14/88</u>	•
	Reviewed By:	Operati	ions Superi	ntendent-	Nuclear	Date:		•
:	Management Review By:	PM-N	/ Date	SVP	/ Date	VP	Date	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$
	AMON LUINT M		• • •	•	•	- 	09/14/88	

0-A	DM-	01	9
-----	-----	----	---

.

Management on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT

	1	

1

Page

'l'o: Op	erations Superintendent · Nuclear Date:09/14-15/88
From:	<u>v. B. Wager</u> — Shift: Day (MOS Observer)
А.	Plant Bvolutions Observed
•	 Both units at 100% power Routine operations OP 11204.1 ARMs monthly periodic test 4-OP-047 Chemical Volume Control System (CVCS) charging and letdown Routine plant tours
В.	Immediate Safety Problems
	None observed
с.	Questionable Work Practices
	During the peak shift the 4A Spent Fuel Pit cooling pump was turned over to Operations for a test run. During the lineup and venting of the pump, the operator noticed that valve 4-915A was the same valve that vibrated open and caused the Spent Fuel Pit spill event on August 16, 1988. Also, the vent line had not been capped It appears that no corrective action has been taken to preclude the event from reccurring. Operations established a valve watch on 4-915A for the test run. It is suggested that a DEEP to replace the valve or a TSA to cap the line be expedited. The same action should be extended to include the Unit 3 Spent Fuel Pit pumps, since they have the same configuration as Unit 4.
D.	Areas for Improvement
•	None observed
B.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments
	A quiet shift run in a professional manner.
v	
Completed By	<u>V. B. Wager</u> Date . 09/14-15/88 MOS Observer
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclear Date:
Management Review By:	PM-N Date
	09/14-15/88

•

•

•

· . . · •

. .

, , ,

.0-A	DM	-019

Management on Shift (MOS)

To: Oper	ations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/14-15/88
From:	Joseph P. Brannin	Shift:	 Day Night
• A.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Shift turnover Shift briefing Toured Radiation Control Area (RCA) 		· ` ` `
В.	Immediate Safety Problems	·	:
, C.	Questionable Work Practices None observed		
D.	Areas for Improvement No new ones	• •	· · ·
В.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comment Comment: I have read the other MOS report of the and agree with all of his observations and I believe we should have taken corrective uncapped pipe prior to attempting tonights was still in the exact same situation as i Operations is once again forced to operate an	Unit 4 Spe recommen e action c test run. it was whe	dations. I would add that on the vibrating value or After 28 days the system en the spill occurred and
Completed By:	MOS Observer	Dat	e:09/14-15/88
Reviewed By:	Operations Superintendent-Nuclear	Dat	e:
Management Review By:	PM-N Date SVP Date	- <u>.</u> VP	Date. 5

Shift Management PSNWogan/Jones_APSNSinger/HaleyN A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations None B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed Routine operations	- Days
 A. Questionable Work Practices/Actions Taken/Recommendations None B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed 	
None B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	WE
None B. Areas for Improvement/Recommendations/Actions Taken None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	•
None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	-
None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	
None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	
None C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	
C. Good Practices/Professionalism Observed	
·	
· · · · ·	
· · · · ·	. •
· · · · ·	•
· · · · ·	· .
Koutine operations	
	¢
	•
	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· .
eviewed By Nilli Learce Date 9/15/88	•

Date	09/15/88	Shi	ift Report	hift	- Peaks	
<u></u>		Shift	Management			
PSN <u>.</u>	Jones	_APSN	Haley	N₩	'E	
[•		,			
А.	Questionable Work Pract	ices/Actions I	aken/Recommendations			
	None	•				
] .						
			•	,		
				•		
В.	Areas for Improvement/I	Recommendati	ons/Actions Taken	·	• 1	
	None					
					•	
			· .		ι,	
	•		• .	۔	_	
					-	
C.	Good Practices/Professio	onalism Observ	red .		•	
	Routine operations	•			×	~
	• •		۰. <u>-</u>			
			•		•	
		,				
			-	•		
				•		
						٠
	-					
	• •	•				
L	<u>A:</u>			<u></u>		<u> </u>
•	in Viel Viel		9/15/18	<i>*</i>		
eview	ed By Y.W. TEar	<u>le</u> .Date	9/15/18	•		

ite	09/16/88		ft Report	Shift	Mids	
•		Shift	Management			
5N	Wogan	APSN	Singer	NV	VE_Vetromile	
		·		·	·	
Δ. Q	uestionable Work Pra	ctices/Actions T	aken/Recommendatio			
	one			-		
111	Jiie					
•			•	•		
					•	
	·					
B. A	reas for Improvement	t/Recommendation	ons/Actions Taken			
. No	, one		,			
	,			÷		
			•			
•	ood Practices/Profess	sionalism Observe	ed			
Ro	outine operations					
		-	•	*		
	,					
			•			
				•		
	•		•		•	
				•		
•			•			
•		. •				•
•			•			
			•		••	

. .

•

r t

• .

•••• •• ••

0-ADM-01\$	Management on Shift (MOS) Page 1
То: О	perations Superintendent - Nuclear Date:09/15/88
From:	Richard Coulthard Shift: 🛛 Day (MOS Observer) 🗌 Night
. A.	 Plant Evolutions Observed Units 3 and 4 at 100% power 0715 Plan of the Day meeting 1535 start of shift meeting Fire drill at Unit 4 B 4160 volt switchgear room 3/4 OSP 75.1, Auxiliary Feedwater Train 1 operability verification
В.	Immediate Safety Problems
с.	Questionable Work Practices None
D. -	Areas for Improvement None
E.	 Professionalism, Summary of shift, Comments Very quiet shift on both units. Five man fire brigade responded to location of fire drill in less than ten minutes attired in appropriate gear. The 1535 shift meeting was used to stress importance of both Operations and Maintenance personnel to communicate with each other when a problem is found in a clearance request or the issuance of a clearance seems unduly delayed:
Completed E Reviewed By	MOS Observer
Managemen Review By:	PM-N Date SVP Date VP Date

09/15/88

٠.

.

•

. .

-

•

-

. 、

*

.

•

Management on Shift (MOS) MOS DAILY REPORT

1

Page

		-		
To:	Operations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:	09/15-16/88	
From:	Joseph P. Brannin (MOS Observer)	Shift: [] Day Night	
А.	Plant Evolutions Observed		· .	
	• Routine shift operations		•	
в.	Immediate Safety Problems			
	None			
с.	Questionable Work Practices			
	None observed			
D.	Areas for Improvement			
	No new area observed			
	х	4	• ••	
B. ·	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comment	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comments		
	- Both shifts handled professionally.			
	The reference in the SALP report cover letter to plant operations going from a 2 to 3 despite a "definite upward trend" being observed during the first half of 1988 hurt the operators morale:			
	, •	·		
	•	• •		
Completed	d By: <u>Joseph P. Brannin</u> MOS Observer	Date:	09/15-16/88	
Reviewed	By: <u>Operations Superintendent-Nuclear</u>	Date:	9/15/88	
Management Review By: Auf for JEC 1G/11/W PM-N Date SVP Date VP. Date				

- 09/15-16/88

*0-ADM-01	9 Memagement on Shift (M MOS DAILY REPORT	OS)
. To: C	Operations Superintendent - Nuclear	Date:09/15-16/88
.From: _	V. B. Wager (MOS Observer)	Shift: 🗇 Day . 🖾 Night -
A. ,	 Plant Evolutions Observed Both units at 100% power Routine operations 4-OSP-92.1 Main Transformer periodic TP-474 Unit 3 Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) lev Unit 4 Emergency Containment coolers 	vel reduction for maintenance periodic
B.	Immediate Safety Problems None observed	•
с.	Questionable Work Practices None	
· D.	Areas for Improvement None observed	•
E.	Professionalism, Summary of Shift, Comment A busy but well run shift.	25 • • • •
Completed	MOSObserver	Date: <u>09/15-16/88</u> Date: <u>9/15-16/88</u>
Managemei Review By:		VP Date

09/15-16/88

.

ÿ

¥

`

· ·

.