

Official

SEP 26 1988

Florida Power and Light Company
ATTN: Mr. W. F. Conway
Senior Vice President - Nuclear
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: DOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251, CONFIRMATORY MEASUREMENT RESULTS,
SUPPLEMENT TO INSPECTION REPORT NOS. 50-250/88-05 AND 50-251/88-05

As part of the NRC Confirmatory Measurements Program, spiked liquid samples were sent on June 2, 1988, to your Turkey Point facility for selected radiochemical analyses. We are in receipt of your analytical results transmitted to us by your letter dated August 15, 1988, and the following comparisons of your results to the known values are presented in Enclosure 1 for your information. The acceptance criteria for the comparisons are listed in Enclosure 2.

In our review of these data all comparative results were in agreement. These data should be reviewed in greater detail by cognizant staff members for any significant trends in the data among successive years in which samples have been analyzed by your facility.

Mr. R. Steinke of your Turkey Point facility staff, was informally notified of these results by telephone conversation on September 19, 1988.

These results and any results from previous years pertaining to these analyses will be discussed at further NRC inspections.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By
D. M. Collins
Douglas M. Collins, Chief
Emergency Preparedness and
Radiological Protection Branch
Division of Radiation Safety
and Safeguards

- Enclosures:
1. Confirmatory Measurement Comparison
 2. Criteria For Comparing Analytical Measurements

cc w/encls: (See page 2)

8810070378 880926
PDR ADOCK 05000250
Q PNU

IE06



cc w/encls:

- ✓ J. S. Odom, Vice President
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant
- ✓ J. E. Cross, Plant Manager
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant
- ✓ L. W. Bladow, Plant QA Superintendent
- ✓ J. Arias, Jr., Regulatory and Compliance
Supervisor
- ✓ R. Steinke, Acting Chemistry Supervisor
State of Florida

bcc w/encl:

- ✓ G. E. Edison, Project Manager, Project
Directorate II-2, Division of Reactor
Projects, NRR
- ✓ NRC Resident Inspector
DRS Technical Assistant
Document Control Desk

RII *gts*
PStoddart
9/21/88

RII *JK*
JKahle
9/21/88

RII *BAW for*
RCrlenjak
9/24/88



ENCLOSURE 1

CONFIRMATORY MEASUREMENT COMPARISONS OF H-3, Fe-55 Sr-89 AND
Sr-90 ANALYSES FOR TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR PLANT ON AUGUST 15, 1988

<u>Isotope</u>	<u>Licensee (uCi/ml)</u>	<u>NRC (uCi/ml)</u>	<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Ratio (Licensee/NRC)</u>	<u>Comparison</u>
H-3	2.09 E-05	2.36±0.05E-05	47	0.89	Agreement
Fe-55	2.17E-05	2.32±0.05E-05	46	0.94	Agreement
Sr-89	1.66E-04	1.78±0.05E-04	36	0.93	Agreement
Sr-90	9.01E-06	1.07±0.04E-05	27	0.84	Agreement



ENCLOSURE 2

Criteria for Comparing Analytical Measurements

This enclosure provides criteria for comparing results of capability tests and verification measurements. The criteria are based on an empirical relationship which combines prior experience and the accuracy needs of this program.

In these criteria, the judgement limits denoting agreement or disagreement between licensee and NRC results are variable. This variability is a function of the NRC's value relative to its associated uncertainty, referred to in this program as "Resolution"¹ increases, the range of acceptable differences between the NRC and licensee values should be more restrictive. Conversely, poorer agreement between NRC and licensee values must be considered acceptable as the resolution decreases.

For comparison purposes, a ratio ² of the licensee value to the NRC value for each individual nuclide is computed. This ratio is then evaluated for agreement based on the calculated resolution. The corresponding resolution and calculated ratios which denote agreement are listed in Table 1 below. Values outside of the agreement ratios for a selected nuclide are considered in disagreement.

$$^1\text{Resolution} = \frac{\text{NRC Reference Value for a Particular Nuclide}}{\text{Associated Uncertainty for the Value}}$$

$$^2\text{Comparison Ratio} = \frac{\text{Licensee Value}}{\text{NRC Reference Value}}$$

TABLE 1

Confirmatory Measurements Acceptance Criteria
Resolutions vs. Comparison Ratio

<u>Resolution</u>	Comparisons Ratio for <u>Agreement</u>
<4	0.4 - 2.5
4 - 7	0.5 - 2.0
8 - 15	0.6 - 1.66
16 - 50	0.75 - 1.33
51 - 200	0.80 - 1.25
>200	0.85 - 1.18

