

NRR-DMPSPEm Resource

From: O'Banion (Watford), Margaret
Sent: Friday, December 08, 2017 2:03 PM
To: 'Halter, Mandy'; 'Giddens, John'
Subject: Acceptance Review Results Re: Entergy Request No. EN-17-RR-1 [EPID No. L-2017-LLR-0141]

Good afternoon Ms. Halter,

By letter dated November 17, 2017 (Agencywide Documents and Access Management System Accession No. ML17321B081), Entergy Operations, Inc. and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy, the licensee) submitted a request for alternative for Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2, Indian Point Energy Center, Units 2 and 3, Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Palisades Nuclear Plant, River Bend Station, Unit 1, Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, and Waterford 3 Steam Electric Station. The purpose of this e-mail is to provide the results of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's acceptance review of this request for alternative. The acceptance review was performed to determine if there is sufficient technical information in scope and depth to allow the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review. The acceptance review is also intended to identify whether the application has any readily apparent information insufficiencies in its characterization of the regulatory requirements or the licensing basis of the plant.

The NRC staff has reviewed your application and concluded that it does provide technical information in sufficient detail to enable the NRC staff to complete its detailed technical review and make an independent assessment regarding the acceptability of the proposed alternative in terms of regulatory requirements and the protection of public health and safety and the environment. Given the lesser scope and depth of the acceptance review as compared to the detailed technical review, there may be instances in which issues that impact the NRC staff's ability to complete the detailed technical review are identified despite completion of an adequate acceptance review. If additional information is needed, you will be advised by separate correspondence.

Based on the information provided in your submittal, the NRC staff has estimated that this licensing request will take approximately 160 hours to complete. The NRC staff expects to complete this review in approximately 12 months, which is November 2018. If there are emergent complexities or challenges in our review that would cause changes to the initial forecasted completion date or significant changes in the forecasted hours, the reasons for the changes, along with the new estimates, will be communicated during the routine interactions with the assigned project manager.

These estimates are based on the NRC staff's initial review of the application and they could change, due to several factors including requests for additional information, and unanticipated addition of scope to the review. Additional delay may occur if the submittal is provided to the NRC in advance or in parallel with industry program initiatives or pilot applications.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Margaret O'Banion, Project Manager
Plant Licensing Branch IV
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Hearing Identifier: NRR_DMPS
Email Number: 36

Mail Envelope Properties (SN6PR0901MB240093C9F7838C58F78615D3AD300)

Subject: Acceptance Review Results Re: Entergy Request No. EN-17-RR-1 [EPID No. L-2017-LLR-0141]
Sent Date: 12/8/2017 2:03:01 PM
Received Date: 12/8/2017 2:03:01 PM
From: O'Banion (Watford), Margaret
Created By: Margaret.O'Banion@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Halter, Mandy" <mhalter@entergy.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Giddens, John" <jgidden@entergy.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: SN6PR0901MB2400.namprd09.prod.outlook.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2992	12/8/2017 2:03:01 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: