Official

AUG 21 1986

Docket Nos. 50-250, 50-251, 50-335 and 50-389
License Nos. DRP-31, DRP-41, DPR-67 and NPF-16

Florida Power and Light Company
ATTN: Mr. C. O. Woody
Group Vice President
Nuclear Energy Department
P. O. Box 14000
Juno Beach, FL 33408

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT: REPORT NOS. 50-250/86-27, 50-251/86-27, 50-335/86-13 AND 50-389/86-12

The NRC Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP) Board has completed its periodic evaluation of your Turkey Point and St. Lucie facilities. These facilities were evaluated for the period November 1, 1984, through April 30, 1986. The results of the evaluation are documented in the enclosed SALP Board Reports. These reports will be discussed with you at the NRC Region II office at 1:00 p.m. on September 11, 1986.

The performance of your Turkey Point and St. Lucie facilities was evaluated in the functional areas of plant operations, radiological controls, maintenance, surveillance, fire protection, emergency preparedness, security and safeguards, outages, quality programs, and administrative controls affecting quality, licensing activities, and training and qualification effectiveness.

The overall Florida Power and Light Company performance was satisfactory. Performance at the St. Lucie plant continued to be excellent and performance at the Turkey Point plant improved, but higher numerical ratings were not justified for the period covered.

As noted by the SALP Board, you will need to continue your intense management involvement in activities associated with your Turkey Point facility. Your major personnel, material and financial commitments are recognized and are having a positive effect, but weaknesses in facility performance have been noted in the areas of plant operations, maintenance, the quality program and administrative controls affecting quality, and training and qualification effectiveness. The emergency preparedness area has improved to become a major strength. The various parts of the Performance Enhancement Program (PEP) have been pursued vigorously as have your other initiatives to improve performance. While the general trend is improving, and the plant and its staff are in better condition, a number of individual significant events and inspection findings during the period covered have precluded higher ratings at this time. Two of your especially significant

IE40 1/0
~~IE01~~

initiatives were commenced during this period. These are the reconstitution of safety system design bases and changeover to modified Standard Technical Specifications. These initiatives will not only benefit Turkey Point but are expected to serve as a model for other utilities. We recognize that these initiatives are being closely managed and are scheduled to be completed during the next SALP period. We believe that they are the key to improved performance since they will provide your people--your most important resource--with better tools on which to base decisions on.

The level of performance of your St. Lucie facility, as evaluated by the SALP Board, remains high. St. Lucie continues to be a well-managed site with a technically competent and professional staff. Major strengths were identified in the areas of plant operations, maintenance, surveillance, licensing activities, and training and qualification effectiveness. No major weaknesses were identified. Management involvement at all levels contributed to the sustained high level of plant performance during the assessment period. The Board noted one common weakness, however, which occurred in both the radiological controls area (specifically, the transportation of radioactive waste) and the surveillance area during periods of personnel reassignment. The fact that performance suffered during those periods is indicative of a possible procedural weakness in those areas. Excessive reliance may have been placed on individual expertise in those areas at the expense of developing and maintaining explicit procedures to adequately govern the programs despite changes in personnel. Management attention should be devoted to ensuring that future personnel changes do not adversely affect program performance in other areas.

Any comments which you have concerning our evaluation of the performance of your facilities should be submitted to this office within 30 days following the date of our meeting in Atlanta, Georgia. Your comments, a summary of our September 11, 1986 meeting, and my disposition of your comments will be issued as appendices to the enclosed SALP Board report.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

/s/ J. N. Grace

J. Nelson Grace
Regional Administrator

Enclosures:
1. SALP Board Report - Turkey Point Plant
2. SALP Board Report - St. Lucie Plant

cc w/encls: (See page 3)

AUG 21 1986

cc w/encls:
K. N. Harris, Vice President
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant
D. A. Sager, Plant Manager
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant
J. B. Harper, Site QA Superintendent
C. M. Wethy, Vice President
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant
C. J. Baker, Plant Manager
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant
L. W. Bladow, Plant QA Superintendent
J. Arias, Jr., Regulatory and Compliance
Supervisor

bcc w/encls:
NRC Resident Inspectors
Document Control Desk
State of Florida

RII	RII	RII	RII
N Chaet			
~~FGuenther~~:er	SAElrod	DMVerrelli	KDLandis
08/21/86	08/21/86	08/21/86	08/21/86
RII	RII	RII	RII
LAReyes	RDWalker	JPStohr	GRJenkins
08/21/86	08/21/86	08/21/86	08/21/86