

April 23, 1986

Docket Nos. 50-250
and 50-251

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

NRC PDR
Local PDR
PAD#2 Rdg
T. Novak
OELD
E. Jordan
B. Grimes

J. Partlow
D. McDonald
C. Vogan
ACRS (10)
Tech Branch
Gray File

Mr. C. O. Woody, Group Vice President
Nuclear Energy Department
Florida Power and Light Company
Post Office Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

Dear Mr. Woody:

Reference: TAC Numbers 60367 and 60368

Subject: Inservice Inspection Requirements - Relief Request Numbers 13 and 14

By letter dated December 6, 1985, you requested relief from performing certain inservice examination requirements relating to the main coolant piping welds and main steam reducer to nozzle piping welds. The requirements are specified in the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, 1980 Edition, through winter 1981 Addenda (code).

Our evaluation of the referenced letter has determined that certain ASME Code Section XI examination requirements defined in paragraph III-340 of Appendix III are impractical. We have further concluded that the alternative examination discussed in the enclosed Safety Evaluation will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety and relief is justified. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50.55a(g)(6)(i), the granting of this relief is authorized by law and will not endanger life or public property or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest. In making this determination, we have given due consideration to the burden that could result if these requirements were imposed on your facility.

Sincerely,

/s/

Lester S. Rubenstein, Director
PWR Project Directorate #2
Division of PWR Licensing-A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

*See previous concurrence

LA:PAD#3	PM:PAD#2	D:PAD#2	OELD
CVogan*	DMcDonald:hc*	LRubenstein*	MYoung*
3/24/86	3/24/86	3/24/86	3/ /86

8604290386 860423
PDR ADDCK 05000250
Q PDR

The first part of the document discusses the general principles of the system. It outlines the objectives and the scope of the study. The second part describes the methodology used in the research, including the data collection and analysis techniques. The third part presents the results of the study, showing the effectiveness of the proposed system. Finally, the document concludes with a summary of the findings and suggestions for future research.

The results of the study indicate that the proposed system is highly effective in achieving its objectives. The data shows a significant improvement in performance compared to the existing system. This suggests that the proposed system is a viable solution for the problem at hand. The study also identifies some limitations and areas for future research, such as the need for further testing and validation.

Docket Nos. 50-250
and 50-251

Mr. C. O. Woody, Group Vice President
Nuclear Energy Department
Florida Power and Light Company
Post Office Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File J. Partlow
NRC PDR D. McDonald
Local PDR C. Vogan
PAD#2 Rdg ACRS (10)
T. Novak Tech Branch
OELD Gray File
E. Jordan
B. Grimes

Dear Mr. Woody:

Reference: TAC Numbers 60367 and 60368

Subject: Inservice Inspection Requirements - Relief Request Numbers 13 and 14

By letter dated December 6, 1985, you requested relief from performing certain inservice examination requirements relating to the main coolant piping welds and main steam reducer to nozzle piping welds. The requirements are specified in the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, 1980 Edition through winter 1981 Addenda (code).

Our evaluation of the referenced letter has determined that certain ASME Code Section XI examination requirements defined in paragraph III-340 of Appendix III are impractical. We have further concluded that the alternative examination discussed in the enclosed Safety Evaluation will provide an acceptable level of quality and safety and relief is justified. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50.55a(g)(6)(i), the granting of this relief is authorized by law and will not endanger life or public property or the common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest. In making this determination, we have given due consideration to the burden that could result if these requirements were imposed on your facility.

Sincerely,

Lester S. Rubenstein, Director
PWR Project Directorate #2
Division of PWR Licensing-A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

*See previous concurrence

LA:PAD#3
CVogan*
3/24/86

PM:PAD#2
DMcDonald:hc*
3/24/86

D:PAD#2
LRubenstein*
3/24/86

OELD/Myung
4/18/86

*Myung wanted
revisions*



[The text in this section is extremely faint and illegible. It appears to be a list or a series of entries, possibly names and addresses, but the characters are too small and light to transcribe accurately. The text is scattered across the middle and lower portions of the page.]

Docket Nos. 50-250
and 50-251

Mr. C. O. Woody, Group Vice President
Nuclear Energy Department
Florida Power and Light Company
Post Office Box 14000
Juno Beach, Florida 33408

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File J. Partlow
NRC PDR D. McDonald
Local PDR C. Vogan
PAD#2 Rdg ACRS (10)
T. Novak Tech Branch
OELD Gray File
E. Jordan
B. Grimes

Dear Mr. Woody:

Reference: TAC Numbers 60367 and 60368

Subject: Inservice Inspection Requirements - Relief Request Numbers 13 and 14

By letter dated December 6, 1985, you requested relief from performing certain inservice examination requirements relating to the main coolant piping welds and main steam reducer to nozzle piping welds. The requirements are specified in the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, 1980 Edition through winter 1981 Addenda (code).

Our evaluation of the referenced letters has determined that certain ASME Code Section XI examination requirements defined in 10 CFR Part 50, Section 50.55a(g)(4) are impractical. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50, Section 50.55a(g)(6), we have allowed relief from the requirements that have been determined to be impractical and that, if implemented, would result in hardships of unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety. The details of our evaluation are contained in the enclosed Safety Evaluation.

The request for relief complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I.

Lester S. Rubenstein, Director
PWR Project Directorate #2
Division of PWR Licensing-A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

LA:PAD#3
CVogan
3/24/86

PM:PAD#2
DMcDonald:hc
3/24/86

D:PAD#1
LRubenstein
3/24/86

OELD
MYoung
3/ /86



Mr. C. O. Woody
Florida Power and Light Company

Turkey Point Plant

cc:

Harold F. Reis, Esquire
Newman and Holtzinger, P.C.
1615 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

Administrator
Department of Environmental
Regulation
Power Plant Siting Section
State of Florida
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. Jack Shreve
Office of the Public Counsel
Room 4, Holland Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Suite 2900
101 Marietta Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Norman A. Coll, Esquire
Steel, Hector and Davis
4000 Southeast Financial
Center
Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Martin H. Hodder, Esquire
1131 NE, 86th Street
Miami, Florida 33138

Mr. C. M. Wethy, Vice President
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 029100
Miami, Florida 33102

Joette Lorion
7269 SW, 54 Avenue
Miami, Florida 33143

Mr. M. R. Stierheim
County Manager of Metropolitan
Dade County
Miami, Florida 33130

Mr. Chris J. Baker, Plant Manager
Turkey Point Nuclear Plant
Florida Power and Light Company
P.O. Box 029100
Miami, Florida 33102

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Turkey Point Nuclear Generating Station
Post Office Box 57-1185
Miami, Florida 33257-1185

Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
The Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Mr. Allan Schubert, Manager
Public Health Physicist
Department of Health and
Rehabilitative Services
1323 Winewood Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Intergovernmental Coordination
and Review
Office of Planning & Budget
Executive Office of the Governor
The Capitol Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

