ATLANTA CETON TO MAY 16 A 8: 56



Mr. James P. O'Reilly, Director, Region II Office of Inspection and Enforcement U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, Suite 3100 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dear Mr. O'Reilly:

Re: RII:RWZ

50-250/79-10 50-251/79-10

Florida Power & Light Company has reviewed the subject inspection report and a response is attached.

There is no proprietary information in the report.

Very truly yours,

Robert E. Uhrig Vice President

Advanced Systems & Technology

REU/MAS/cph

Attachment

cc: Robert Lowenstein, Esquire

cq

Same of the same of the

#### ATTACHMENT

Re: RII:RWZ

50-250/79-10 50-251/79-10

### Finding A

As required by Technical Specification 6.11 in conjunction with Health Physics Procedure HP-60, "Respiratory Protection Manual", no detectable removable contamination is allowed on respirators made available for reissuance.

Contrary to the above, on March 21, 1979, a respirator, Number F68-B, showed levels of removable contamination greater than 3000 disintegrations per minute.

# Response A

As indicated in the inspection report details, corrective action was taken to remove the respirator from service for the purpose of further decontamination. Compliance was achieved by this action.

To prevent recurrence, all Health Physics shift supervisors have been verbally instructed to ensure that respirators are decontaminated, as required by procedure, prior to their being reissued. In addition, we are considering a procedure revision to provide a numerical contamination limit instead of the present "less than detectable activity" limit on reissued respiratory protection equipment. In order to verify the effectiveness of corrective action, this area will be audited during the last half of 1979.

# Finding B

As required by 10 CFR 20.203(d) each airborne radioactivity area shall be conspicuously posted with a sign bearing the radiation caution symbol and the words "Caution Airborne Radioactivity Area".

Contrary to the above, on March 22, 1979, the entrance to the Unit 4 containment was not posted as an airborne radioactivity area and the concentration of radionuclides exceeded the limits of 10 CFR 20 Appendix B, Table 1, column 1.

#### Response B

As indicated in the inspection report details, corrective action was taken to properly post the entrance to the Unit 4 containment. Compliance was achieved by this action.

To prevent recurrence, all Health Physics shift supervisors have been verbally instructed to ensure that the containments are posted, when required, as airborne radioactivity areas. In order to verify the effectiveness of corrective action, the posting of containments will be audited during the last half of 1979.

