PERFERENTIAL POST DATE (1)  PERFECTION  LLCENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)  FORUTY NAME (1)  Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1  Cook Verse						•															
Note: Specific and the second	NRC Form (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 998) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)											APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGRADING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND								
Adulty MARE(i)         Docket Number (i)         Process           TITLE (i)         Interim - Potential for Condition Outside Design Bases for Rod Control System           EVENT DATE (i)         LER NUMBER (i)         REPORT DATE (i)         OTHER ADULTES INVOLVED (i)           EVENT DATE (i)         LER NUMBER (i)         REPORT DATE (i)         OTHER ADULTES INVOLVED (ii)           ONTH         DAY         YEAR         VEAR         NUMBER (i)         REPORT DATE (i)           OPTIMINATIONE (i)         1998         1998         -         055         -         00           OPTIMINATIONE (i)         1998         1998         -         055         -         00         01         06         1999         Foldition (intermediation of the REGURENENTS OF 10 CFR (intermediation of the REGURENENT OF 10 CFR (intermediation of the REGURENE OR (intermediation of the REGUR	•	(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)													COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20355.0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503						
Interim - Potential for Condition Outside Design Bases for Rod Control System         EVENT DATE (6)       LER NUMBER (6)       REPORT DATE (7)       OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)         VONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION 12 07 1998 1998 - 055 - 00 01 06 1999       OCCULENTIAL REVISION 12 07 1998 1998 - 055 - 00 01 06 1999       OCCULENTIAL REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (11)         OPERATING 5       THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (12)         OPERATING 6       THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (12)         POWER 00 202203(a)(2)(0)       20230(a)(2)(0)       20373(a)(2)(0)       S0733(a)(2)(0)       S0733(a)(2	FACILITY	ACILITY NAME (1) Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1											DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000-315				page (3) 1 of 1				
EVENT DATE (s)         LER NUMBER (s)         REPORT DATE (r)         OTHER ACILITIES INVOLVED (s)           MONTH         DAY         YEAR         YEAR         NUMBER         SOTSIGUEND         NUMER         SOTSIGUEND         SOTSIGUEND         NUTHER         SOTSIGUEND         SOTSIGUEND         NUTHER         SOTSIGUEND         SOTSIGUEND         SOTSIGUEND	TITLE (4)	Interim - Potential for Condition Outside Design Bases for Rod Control System																			
UNITH         DAY         YEAR         SECUENTIAL NUMBER         NUMBER NUMBER         NONTH         DAY         YEAR         D.C.         Cook Unit 2         OS000-316           12         07         1998         1998         -         055         -         00         01         06         1999         FACULTY MAKE         DODCET FUNCTION           00FERATIVE         5         THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5; (Check one or more) (11)         MODE (9)         FOOT3(9)(2)(0)         150.73(9)(2)(0)         170.73         170.73            120.2203(6)(2)(0)         120.2203(6)(2)(0)         120.2203(6)(2)(0)         120.2203(6)(2)(0)         150.73(9)(2)(0) </td <td>EVE</td> <td>NT DAT</td> <td colspan="10">DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DA</td> <td colspan="5">(E (7) OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (</td> <td>D (8)</td>	EVE	NT DAT	DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DA										(E (7) OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (					D (8)			
12         07         1998         19	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	YEAR N		QUENTIAL F			NTH	DAY	,	YEAR		D.C. Cook Unit 2		05000-316				
OF JORNING       5       Intro the Submit CD FORMATION IS SUBMIT CD FORMATION IN THE RECORDURY SOFT OF 10 CPR (CHECK NIII & EMD (TH)         MODE (a)       20.2201 (b)       20.2203 (a) (1)       20.2203 (a) (2) (a)       50.73 (a) (2) (b)         POWER       000       20.2203 (a) (1)       20.2203 (a) (1)       20.2203 (a) (2) (b)       50.73 (a) (2) (b)       50.73 (a) (2) (b)         LEVEL (10)       120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       20.2203 (a) (2) (b)       50.33 (c) (2)       50.73 (a) (2) (b)       20.4203 (a) (2) (b)         120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       150.33 (c) (2)       50.73 (a) (2) (b)       50.73 (a) (2) (c)       50.73 (a) (2) (c)         120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       150.33 (c) (2)       50.73 (a) (2) (c)       50.73 (a) (2) (c)       50.73 (a) (2) (c)         120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       150.33 (c) (2)       150.73 (a) (2) (c)       50.73 (a) (2) (c)       50.73 (a) (2) (c)         120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       150.33 (c) (2)       150.73 (a) (2) (c)       150.73 (a) (2) (c)       150.73 (a) (2) (c)         120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       120.2203 (a) (2) (b)       150.33 (c) (2)       150.73 (a) (2) (c)       15	12 08584	07	1998	1998		055				)1 	06		1999								
POWER         000         20.2203(a)(1)         20.2203(a)(2)(i)         X         50.73(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(i)           LEVEL (10)         000         20.2203(a)(2)(i)         20.2203(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(i)         73.71           20.2203(a)(2)(i)         20.2203(a)(2)(i)         20.2203(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(i)         73.71           20.2203(a)(2)(i)         20.2203(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(i)           20.2203(a)(2)(ii)         20.2203(a)(2)(ii)         20.2203(a)(2)(ii)         50.73(a)(2)(i)         50.73(a)(2)(i)           Mr         LICENSEE CONTACT POR THIS LER (12)         LICENSEE CONTACT PORTHIS LER (12)         10.72         77.71           CAUSE	MODE	(9)	5	120.	2201 (t	)			20.220	20.2203(a)(2)(v)					150 73(a)(2)(i)		150.73(a)(2)(vii		)(2)(viji)		
LEVEL (10)       000       20.2203(a)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(0)       1       50.73(a)(2)(0)       75.71         20.2203(a)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(0)       50.38(c)(2)       50.73(a)(2)(0)       0.714ER         20.2203(a)(2)(0)       50.38(c)(2)       50.73(a)(2)(0)       0.714ER         20.2203(a)(2)(0)       50.38(c)(2)       50.73(a)(2)(0)       0.714ER         MR       J0.2203(a)(2)(0)       50.38(c)(2)       50.73(a)(2)(0)       0.714ER         MME       LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)       Decky in Albraid blow       MintPremixed Cool         MME       (616) 697-5147       COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         CAUSE       SYSTEM       COMPONENT       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO ESPX.         CAUSE       SYSTEM       COMPONENT       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO ESPX.         V FES       COMPONENT       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO ESPX.       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO ESPX.         V FES       COMPONENT       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO ESPX.       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO ESPX.         V FES       COMPONENT       FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS LER (12)       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO ESPX.         V FES       COMPORTABLE PORT EXPECTED (14)       EX	POW	ER		20.	2203(a	)(1)				20.2203(a)(3)(i)					( 50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50,73(a)(2)(x)		)(2)(x)		
20.2203(p)(2)(0)         20.2203(p)(2)(0)         50.73(p)(2)(0)         OTHER           20.2203(p)(2)(0)         50.36(p)(2)         50.73(p)(2)(0)         Sender (nature the	LEVEL	. (10)	000	20.	2203(a	)(2)(i)				20.2203(a)(3)(ii)					50.73(a)(2)(iii)		73.71				
20.2203(p)(2)(iii)         50.36(p)(2)         50.73(p)(2)(vi)         Seedyn Adstrate between additionation of the second additionation and control additionation and control additionation additionation and control additionation additionationation additionation additionationatis additionadditing additionation additionation additionationation		1997 - 1997 -		20		)(2)(ii)				20.2203(a)(4)					50.73(a)(2)(iv)		OTHER				
Image: 100-300(2)(10)       Image: 100-300(2)(2)       Image: 100-300(2)(2)       Image: 100-300(2)(2)       Image: 100-300(2)(2)       Image: 100-300(2)(2)       Image: 100-300(2)(2)       Image: 100-300(2)       Image: 100-30	1. 1. 1.		- 4	20.	2203(a	)(2)(iii)		<u> </u>		50.36(c)(1)					50.73(a)(2)(v)		Specify in Abstract below		act below		
Indext Control Certified Certeatified Certified Certified Certified Certified Certi			·	20.	2203(a	)(2)(10)	110	CENSEE C	00.30		RTHIS	1 5	R (12)		[50.73(a)(2)	(VII).	or n NF	C Form	366A		
Mr. Jay Kovarik, Electrical Instrumentation and Controls Engineering       (616) 697-5147         СОМРЕЕТЕ ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         СОМРОНЕНТ СОМРОНЕНТ FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         СОМРОНЕНТ МАНИРАСТИЛЕЯ         REPORTABLE TO EXPECTED COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         X VES (If Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).         NO         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         X VES (If Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).         NO         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         X VES (If Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).         NO         OD DATE (15)         Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, Le., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Assemblies (RCCAs), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawal circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-1, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 7	NAME									0110			······································	TEL	EPHONE NUMBI	ER (Include Are	a Code)				
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         COMPONENT       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO EPUX         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).       MO       SUBMISSION       03       01       1999         Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, Le., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAS), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawal circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spin). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-1, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.         The original Precautions, Limitations and	м	lr. Jay	Kovarik	, Electri	cal In	strumen	tatic	on and Co	ontrol	s Eng	gineeri	ing				(616) 697	-5147	7			
CONTREMENTAL CONCENTION CONTRACTOR PREPORTABLE         CAUSE       SYSTEM       COMPONENT       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO         CAUSE       CAUSE       SYSTEM       COMPONENT       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).       NO       DATE (15)       MONTH       DATE (15)         X       YES       MONTH       MANUFACTURER       REPORTABLE TO         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).       NO       DATE (15)         A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).       NO       DATE (15)         A Super		C	OMPL F				= Δ C	HCOM					DESCE					21			
CAUSE         SYSTEM         COMPONENT         MANUFACTURER         REPORTABLE TO EPX           SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED         MONTH         Day         YEAR           X         YES         SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED         MONTH         Day         YEAR           X         YES         ONDEMISSION DATE).         NO         SUBMISSION         03         01         1999           Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         NO         DATE (15)         NO         SUBMISSION         03         01         1999           Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         On         December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3, 1.2, Page 3, 1-11, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawing at aspeed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.           The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was al												<u>DL301</u>	ΝĻ				<del>3)</del>				
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED         MOMTH         DAY         YEAR           X         YES         (If Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)         NO         DATE (15)         03         01         1999           Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAs), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawal circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-11, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.           The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was also used by the accident analysis by postulating two banks of rods withdrawing at 72 spm. This resulted in a maximum rod speed used in the analysis that did not reflect the maximum possible rod withdrawal rate.           The completion of the condition report investigation and evaluation of the safety significance of this condition is ongoing. Once completed, this LER will be supplemented to include that information. The supplement is expected to be submitted by March 1, 1999.	CAUSE	SYSTE	м сом	PONENT	MANUFACTURER		R	TO EPIX		CA	USE	SYSTEM		COMPONENT		MANUFACTURE		REPORTABLE TO EPIX			
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)         MONTH 03         DAY         YEAR           X         YES (If Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).         NO         DATE (15)         03         01         1999           Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAs), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawal circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-11, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.           The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was also used by the accident analysis by postulating two banks of rods withdrawing at 72 spm. This resulted in a maximum rod speed used in the analysis that did not reflect the maximum possible rod withdrawal rate.           The completion of the condition report investigation and evaluation of the safety significance of this condition is ongoing. Once completed, this LER will be supplemented to include that information. The supplement is expected to be submitted by March 1, 1999.																					
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)         EXPECTED         MONTH         DAY         YEAR           X         YES         I(Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).         NO         DATE (15)         03         01         1999           Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         NO         DATE (15)         03         01         1999           On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAs), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawal circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-11, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.           The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was also used by the accident analysis by postulating two banks of rods withdrawing at 72 spm. This resulted in a maximum rod speed used in the analysis that did not reflect the maximum possible rod withdrawal rate.           The completion of the condition report investigation and evaluation of the safety significance of this condition is ongoing. Once completed, this LER					>				i rat	46											
X       YES (If Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).       NO       SUBMISSION DATE (15)       03       01       1999         Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, Le., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAs), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawal circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-11, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.         The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was also used by the accident analysis by postulating two banks of rods withdrawing at 72 spm. This resulted in a maximum rod speed used in the analysis that did not reflect the maximum possible rod withdrawal rate.         The completion of the condition report investigation and evaluation of the safety significance of this condition is ongoing. Once completed, this LER will be supplemented to include that information. The supplement is expected to be submitted by March 1, 1999.         TPDR       ADUCK 05000315 PDR         NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)			SUPPL	EMENTA	L REPORT EXPE			CTED (14)				EXPEC		CTED		MONTH	0/	NY	YEAR		
If Yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE:       INO       DATE (15)         Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)         On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAs), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawat circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-11, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant.         The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was also used by the accident analysis by postulating two banks of rods withdrawing at 72 spm. This resulted in a maximum rod speed used in the analysis that did not reflect the maximum possible rod withdrawal rate.         The completion of the condition report investigation and evaluation of the safety significance of this condition is ongoing. Once completed, this LER will be supplemented to include that information. The supplement is expected to be submitted by March 1, 1999.         YPO1130072       YPO106         PDR       ADBCK 05000315         NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)	Хү	ES			· · · · · · ·							SUBMI		SS	ION	03	03 0		1999		
On December 7, 1998, during a system readiness review of the Rod Control System by Instrumentation and Control Engineering, it was discovered that a potential calibration error in the rod speed control circuits for the Rod Cluster Control Assemblies (RCCAs), coupled with a single failure of the rod withdrawal circuit, could result in a rod cluster to withdraw up to a maximum rate of 77 steps per minute (spm). This condition is contrary to UFSAR Section 3.1.2, Page 3.1-11, which states that "No single credible mechanical or electrical control system malfunction can cause a rod cluster to be withdrawn at a speed greater than 72 steps per minute." In accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), this interim LER is being reported as a condition that is outside the design basis of the plant. The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was also used by the accident analysis by postulating two banks of rods withdrawing at 72 spm. This resulted in a maximum rod speed used in the analysis that did not reflect the maximum possible rod withdrawal rate. The completion of the condition report investigation and evaluation of the safety significance of this condition is ongoing. Once completed, this LER will be supplemented to include that information. The supplement is expected to be submitted by March 1, 1999. <u>\$75011300722 570106 pDR ADDCK 05000315</u> <u>PDR</u> NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)	Abstrac	Yes, co t (Limit I	mplete EX to 1400 sp	ACES, I.E.	o SUBN , appro	AISSION E ximately 1	DATE 5 sin	i). gle-spaced	typew	NO vritten I	lines) (1	6)	DATE	E (1	5)		1				
The original Precautions, Limitations and Setpoints (PLS) provided by Westinghouse included the recommendations for a maximum automatic rod speed of 72 spm, which was also used by the accident analysis by postulating two banks of rods withdrawing at 72 spm. This resulted in a maximum rod speed used in the analysis that did not reflect the maximum possible rod withdrawal rate. The completion of the condition report investigation and evaluation of the safety significance of this condition is ongoing. Once completed, this LER will be supplemented to include that information. The supplement is expected to be submitted by March 1, 1999. <b>PDR</b> ADUCK 05000315 PDR NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)	On Dec Engine Assem to a ma states t at a sp reporte	cembe ering, blies ( aximur that "N eed gr ed as a	r 7, 199 it was d RCCAs) n rate of lo single eater th conditio	8, durin iscover , couple f 77 ste e credibl an 72 s on that i	g a sy ed tha ed with os per le med teps p is outs	vstem re t a pote h a singl minute chanical ber minute side the	adir ntial e fa (spi or e te." desi	ess revie calibrati ilure of th m). This electrical In accor ign basis	ew of on error condi contro dance of the	the F ror in I with ition i ol sys e with e plar	Rod Co the ro drawa s cont stem m 10CF nt.	ontr d s l ci ran nalf	rol Syste peed co rcuit, co y to UFS function 50.73(a)	em ont ouk SA ca (2)	by Instrun rol circuits I result in a R Section n cause a (ii)(B), this	nentation for the Ro a rod clus 3.1.2, Pap rod cluste interim L	and C od Clu ter to ge 3.1 er to b ER is	Contro uster witho -11, be with bein	ol Control Iraw up which hdrawn g		
Once completed, this LER will be supplemented to include that information. The supplement is expected to be submitted by March 1, 1999. <b>9701130072 970106</b> <b>PDR ADBCK 05000315</b> <b>PDR</b> NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)	The ori maxim withdra possibl The co	iginal I um au awing a le rod <sup>y</sup> mpleti	Precauti tomatic at 72 spi withdrav on of the	ons, Lir rod spe m. This val rate e condit	nitatio ed of resul	ns and S 72 spm, ted in a port inve	Setp whi max	oints (PL ch was a kimum ro ation and	S) pr llso u d spe d eval	ovide sed b ed us luatio	ed by V by the a sed in	Ves acc the	stinghou cident ar analys safety si	use nal is t ign	included t ysis by pos hat did not	the recom stulating t t reflect th this cond	imend wo ba ie ma: ition i:	lation inks ( ximu) s ong	is for a of rods m joing.		
PDR ADBCK 05000315 S PDR NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)	by Mar	ch 1, 2	ited, this 1999. 1 1 3 0 0		ill De :	supplem	ente	ea to incl	ude ti	nat in	iormal	lon	n. Ine s	sup	piement is	expected.	a to be	e sub	mitted		
NRC FORM 366 (6-1998)	I	PDR	ADO	CK OS	010 000 Pl	315 DR															
	NRC FO	RM 366	(6-1998)										-								

· . • • • . . ·. . s . , . • • • • • • • • • • . ۸ ۲

r