October 7, 1998

Mr. Robert P. Powers, Senior Vice President Indiana Michigan Power Company **Nuclear Generation Group** 500 Circle Drive Buchanan, MI 49107-1395

SUBJECT:

EVALUATION ON THE ALTERNATIVE TO 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A),

AUGMENTED REACTOR PRESSURE VESSEL EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT,

UNIT 2 (TAC NO. M93614)

Dear Mr. Powers:

By letter dated July 29, 1996, you submitted a request to the NRC staff for an alternative to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A), augmented reactor pressure vessel examination requirements for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Unit 2.

The NRR staff, with technical assistance from its contractor, the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), has reviewed and evaluated the information provided in support of an augmented reactor pressure vessel examination. The staff adopts the evaluation and conclusions presented in the Technical Letter Report (TLR) prepared by INEL. The enclosed safety evaluation incorporates the TLR and its findings. Based on the information submitted, the staff concludes that you have maximized examination coverage to the extent practical, and that imposing additional examinations would result in a considerable hardship without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety. Therefore, your proposed alternative, the augmented reactor pressure vessel examination of the accessible weld volumes from the inside surface in combination with future reactor pressure vessel examinations, provides an acceptable level of quality and safety and is authorized pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) and 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A)(5).

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Cynthia A. Carpenter, Director

Project Directorate III-1

Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-316

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation w/att.

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

EGA1

**PUBLIC** 

PD3-1 r/f

**CCarpenter** GHill (4)

OGC

**ACRS** 

JCaldwell, RIII

TMcLellan THiltz, EDO

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DCCOOK\CO93614.REL

\*See Previous To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/egclosure

OFFICE	PM:PD31 ,	E	LA:PD32	E	PM	PDA	E	ECGB	OGC	
NAME	CNolan ned		EBarnhill S/	3-	JSta	W.		TMcLellan*	MYoung*	
DATE	10 <i>l51</i> 98		10/5/98		10/			9/21/98	10/01/98	

OFFICE	PD:PD31		Ε
NAME	CCarpenter	(	K
DATE	10///98		

MAG FILE CENTER

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9810150184 981007

October 7, 1998

Mr. Robert P. Powers, Senior Vice President Indiana Michigan Power Company Nuclear Generation Group 500 Circle Drive Buchanan, MI 49107-1395

SUBJECT:

EVALUATION ON THE ALTERNATIVE TO 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A),

AUGMENTED REACTOR PRESSURE VESSEL EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT,

UNIT 2 (TAC NO. M93614)

Dear Mr. Powers:

By letter dated July 29, 1996, you submitted a request to the NRC staff for an alternative to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A), augmented reactor pressure vessel examination requirements for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Unit 2.

The NRR staff, with technical assistance from its contractor, the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), has reviewed and evaluated the information provided in support of an augmented reactor pressure vessel examination. The staff adopts the evaluation and conclusions presented in the Technical Letter Report (TLR) prepared by INEL. The enclosed safety evaluation incorporates the TLR and its findings. Based on the information submitted, the staff concludes that you have maximized examination coverage to the extent practical, and that imposing additional examinations would result in a considerable hardship without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety. Therefore, your proposed alternative, the augmented reactor pressure vessel examination of the accessible weld volumes from the inside surface in combination with future reactor pressure vessel examinations, provides an acceptable level of quality and safety and is authorized pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) and 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A)(5).

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Cynthia A. Carpenter, Director

**Project Directorate III-1** 

Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-316

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation w/att.

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION

Docket File

PUBLIC

PD3-1 r/f

CCarpenter GHill (4)

10/01/98

EGA1

TMcLellan

OGC

**ACRS** 

9/21/98

JCaldwell, RIII

THiltz, EDO

10/5/98

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\DCCOOK\CO93614.REL //

\*See Previous

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE PM:PD31 E LA:PD32 E PM:PD3 E ECGB OGC

NAME CNolan no EBarnhill SO JStapp TMcLellan\* MYoung\*

10/⅓/98

	<u> </u>	
OFFICE	PD:PD31	E
NAME	CCarpenter	CHC
DATE	10///98	

10/5/98

DATE



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 7, 1998

Mr. Robert P. Powers, Senior Vice President Indiana Michigan Power Company Nuclear Generation Group 500 Circle Drive Buchanan, MI 49107-1395

SUBJECT:

EVALUATION ON THE ALTERNATIVE TO 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A),

AUGMENTED REACTOR PRESSURE VESSEL EXAMINATION REQUIREMENTS FOR DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT,

UNIT 2 (TAC NO. M93614)

Dear Mr. Powers:

By letter dated July 29, 1996, you submitted a request to the NRC staff for an alternative to 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A), augmented reactor pressure vessel examination requirements for the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant, Unit 2.

The NRR staff, with technical assistance from its contractor, the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), has reviewed and evaluated the information provided in support of an augmented reactor pressure vessel examination. The staff adopts the evaluation and conclusions presented in the Technical Letter Report (TLR) prepared by INEL. The enclosed safety evaluation incorporates the TLR and its findings. Based on the information submitted, the staff concludes that you have maximized examination coverage to the extent practical, and that imposing additional examinations would result in a considerable hardship without a compensating increase in the level of quality and safety. Therefore, your proposed alternative, the augmented reactor pressure vessel examination of the accessible weld volumes from the inside surface in combination with future reactor pressure vessel examinations, provides an acceptable level of quality and safety and is authorized pursuant to 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(3)(ii) and 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(6)(ii)(A)(5).

Sincerely,

Ç∳nthia A. Carpenter, Director

Project Directorate III-1

Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-316

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation w/att.

cc w/encl: See next page

Robert P. Powers Indiana Michigan Power Company

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region III U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 801 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Attorney General
Department of Attorney General
525 West Ottawa Street
Lansing, MI 48913

Township Supervisor Lake Township Hall P.O. Box 818 Bridgman, MI 49106

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector's Office 7700 Red Arrow Highway Stevensville, MI 49127

Jeremy J. Euto, Esquire 500 Circle Drive Buchanan, MI 49107

Mayor, City of Bridgman P.O. Box 366 Bridgman, MI 49106

Special Assistant to the Governor Room 1 - State Capitol Lansing, MI 48909 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2

Drinking Water and Radiological Protection Division Michigan Department of Environmental Quality 3423 N. Martin Luther King Jr Blvd P.O. Box 30630 CPH Mailroom Lansing, MI 48909-8130

Jeb Kingseed Indiana Michigan Power Company Nuclear Generation Group 500 Circle Drive Buchanan, MI 49107

David A. Lochbaum Union of Concerned Scientists 1616 P Street NW, Suite 310 Washington, DC 20036-1495

