# CCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:9101150352DOC.DATE: 91/01/11NOTARIZED: NODOCKET #FACIL:50-316Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316AUTH.NAMEAUTHOR AFFILIATIONCARTEAUX,P.F.Indiana Michigan Power Co. (formerly Indiana & Michigan EleBLIND,A.A.Indiana Michigan Power Co. (formerly Indiana & Michigan EleRECIP.NAMERECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 90-013-00:on 901215, during Tech Spec surveillance, plant battery declared inoperable when single cell voltage decreased below test limit.Caused by improper setpoint. Setpoints verified & corrected as needed.W/910111 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22T COPIES RECEIVED:LTR / ENCL / SIZE: <u>S</u>. TITLE: 50.73/50.9 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

#### NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD3-1 LA COLBURN,T.	COPIES LTTR EN 1 1 1 1	RECIPIENT CL ID CODE/NAME PD3-1 PD	COPIES LTTR ENCL 1 1
INTERNAL:	ACNW AEOD/DSP/TPAB NRR/DET/ECMB 9H NRR/DLPQ/LHFB11 NRR/DOEA/OEAB NRR/DST/SELB 8D NRR/DST/SPLB8D1 REG FILE 02 RGN3 FILE 01	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AEOD/DOA AEOD/ROAB/DSP NRR/DET/EMEB 7E NRR/DLPQ/LPEB10 NRR/DREP/PRPB11 NRR/DST/SICB 7E NRR/DST/SRXB 8E RES/DSIR/EIB	1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
EXTERNAL:	EG&G BRYCE,J.H NRC PDR NSIC MURPHY,G.A	3 3 1 1 1 1	L ST LOBBY WARD NSIC MAYS,G NUDOCS FULL TXT	1 1 1 1 1 ·1

#### NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM PI-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

FULL TEXT CONVERSION REQUIRED TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 31 ENCL 31 D D

S

А

R

1

D

S

Α

D

D

S

R

I

D

S

• 

Indiana Michigan Power Company Cook Nuclear Plant One Cook Place Bridgman. MI 49106 616 465 5901

INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER

January 11, 1991

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Rockville, Maryland 20852

> Operating Licenses DPR-75 Docket No. 50-316

Document Control Manager:

In accordance with the criteria established by 10 CFR 50.73 entitled <u>Licensee Event Reporting System</u>, the following report is being submitted:

90-013-00

Sincerely,

A. alan le

A.A. Blind Plant Manager

AAB:sb

Attachment

D.H. Williams, Jr. C: A.B. Davis, Region III M.P. Alexich P.A. Barrett J.E. Borggren R.F. Kroeger B. Walters - Ft. Wayne NRC Resident Inspector T. Colburn - NRC J.G. Keppler M.R. Padgett G. Charnoff, Esq. Dottie Sherman, ANI Library D. Hahn INPO S.J. Brewer/B.P. Lauzau B.A. Svensson at state Date

- TELL

256682



, .

v

NRC FOR (6-89)	(N) 366 /4	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		,	,	0	<u></u>	U.S. NUC	CLEAR RI	EGULATOR	Y COMMISS	ION	EST		BURD	EX EN PEF	PIRES: RESP	4/30/	TO CO	MPLY WT	H THIS
£	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (31500104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.																				
	ACILITY NAME (1)																				
	D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2 TITLE (4) Reactor Protection System Actuation While Performing a TS Required																				
TITLE (4)					ion Sy a Dec									rs re	equi	red					
EVE	ENT DATE		<u> </u>	_	ER NUMBER			_	PORT DAT					THER FA	CILITI	ES INV		(8)		<u> </u>	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		SEQUENTI		REVISION		DAY	YEAR		FA		Y NAME							
			<b> </b>	-	HUMBLE		NUMBER										0	5	0 1 0	101	1 1
				<u> </u>	{											<u> </u>				L	
1 2	1 5	90	90	)	01	3	0 0	0 1	11	91							0	51	0 1 0	101	11
	RATING		THIS R	EPORT	T IS SUBMIT	TED PUF	SUANT	TO THE R	LOUIREM	ENTS OF 1	0 CFR §: /(	Check (	one or	more of	the follo	owing) (	11)			••	
MC	(9) 300	1	2	0.402(1	a)			20.405	c)		X	50,7	73(+){2	2)(iv)				73.7	71(b)		
POWE			<b></b>	10.405 (	*)(1)()			50.38(c)	100			60,7	73(4)(2	2](v)				73.2	71 (c}		
$\begin{array}{c c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $		a3(1)(#)						50,7	,73(a)(2)(vii) OTHER (Spe below and in												
					•)(1)(iii)		X							2)(viii)(A)				366			
					a)(1)(Iv)			60,73(4)						5]{ <del>4</del>  1](E)							
		<u></u>	2	0.4061	a)(1)(v)			50.736		<u></u>		50.	73(4)(2	2)(x)	·						
			·				ا 	LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	ELER (12)										
NAME															ARE	A CODI		FROM	NE NUM	15H	
<b>Р</b> .	F. C	artea	iux -	- Sa	afety a	and A	sses	sment	Supe	rinte	ndent				6.	1,0	5 4.	i6.	5	. 5, 9	0,1
											DESCRIBE	DIN	THIS	REPORT				السلا	<u> </u>		
<u> </u>					MANUFAC.		ORTABLE				T					UFAC-	6				
CAUSE	SE SYSTEM COMPONENT TURER TO NPRDS			CAUSE SYSTEM COM			DMPONENT TURER				REPORTABLE TO NPROS										
					• • •							,	, <b>,</b>	,	ł						
	┟──┴──┤	┟──└──			بالمسالم الم	+-					╉━┺━					ليتبك			×		
										<u> </u>											
					SUPPLE	MENTAL	REPORT	T EXPECT	ED (14)							EXPEC			MONTH	DAY	YEAR
	S (if y <del>es</del> , c	complete {	XPECTE	:o sua	MISSION DA	ATEI		-	X NO						:	DATE	SION		1	,	
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 speces, I.e., epproximetely fifteen single-spece typewritten lines) (16)																					

On December 15, 1990, at 0840 hours during a Technical Specification (TS) Surveillance, the 2-AB plant battery was declared inoperable when a single cell's voltage decreased below a test limit. Efforts taken to restore the cell voltage were unsuccessful and a reactor shutdown was initiated. During the shutdown at approximately 35 percent rated thermal power, a reactor protection system actuation occurred due to a turbine trip from an unnecessary actuation of the Anticipated Transient Without Scram Mitigation System Actuation Circuitry (AMSAC). All equipment performed as expected and there were no component failures following the trip.

The reactor entered Mode 5 at 0600 hours on 12-16-90 to exit the battery TS Action Statement and the battery cell of concern was removed from service via a jumper. A proposed TS Amendment is being prepared to request changing the surveillance to align with industry standards and conform to Standard TS.

The AMSAC actuation occurred due to an improper setpoint. All AMSAC input setpoints were verified and corrected as needed.

NRC FORM 366A ( . U.S. (6-89)								
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)					
D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0  5  0  0  0  3   1  6	YEAR     SEQUENTIAL     REVISION       9     0     0     1     3     0     0						
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)								

#### Condition Prior to Occurrence

Unit 2 in Mode 1 at 100 percent Rated Thermal Power (RTP).

Description of Event

On December 15, 1990, at 0840 hours during a 92 day Technical Specification (TS) surveillance, the 2-AB plant battery (EIIS/EJ-BTRY) was declared inoperable when a single cell's voltage decreased by more than 0.05 volts from the original acceptance test value. The 2-AB plant battery consists of 116 connected cells. The TS 92-day surveillance requirements for the D.C. electrical power distribution system states, in part, the following:

> The voltage of each connected cell is greater than or equal to 2.10 volts under float charge and has not decreased more than 0.05 volts from the value observed during the original acceptance test.

All 2-AB battery cells were greater than the minimum 2.10 volt limit and all but one cell remained within the 0.05 volt decrease limit. Efforts taken to restore the cell voltage were unsuccessful and a conservative decision was made not to attempt repairs while at power. At 1245 hours a reactor shutdown was initiated in accordance with the TS Action Statement.

During the shutdown, at approximately 35 percent rated thermal power, a reaction protection system (EIIS/JE) actuation (reactor trip) occurred due to a turbine trip. The turbine trip resulted from the unnecessary actuation of the Anticipated Transient Without Scram (ATWS) Mitigation System Actuation Circuity (AMSAC). The AMSAC system is designed to protect against an ATWS coincident with a loss of main feedwater. It automatically trips the Main Turbine, initiates a feedwater conservation signal and starts all auxiliary feedwater pumps if less than 25 percent of full main feedwater flow is sensed (three out of four steam generator coincidence) with Turbine Impulse Pressure (TIP) greater than 40 percent of full power (P-20) or within 6 minutes after a TIP channel (1 out of 2 coincidence) is reduced below permissive P-20.

Following the AMSAC actuation the turbine trip/reactor trip sequence [turbine (EIIS/TA-TRB) trip, automatic starting of the motor-driven and turbine-driven auxiliary feedwater pumps (EIIS/BA-P), opening of the reactor trip breakers (EIIS/JE-BKR), insertion of reactor control rods and feedwater isolation (EIIS/JB)] the Operations Department personnel immediately performed Emergency

	NRC FORM 366A U.S. 1 (6-89)	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104				
			EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS				
į		LER)	INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS				
1	TEXT CONTINUATION		AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO				
			THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
ł	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)				
			VEAD 1000 ISEQUENTIAL 1000 REVISION				
ł	,	[	NUMBER NUMBER				
	D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0  5   0   0   0   3   1   6	910 - 011 3 - 010 0 3 0 0 4				
ł	TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 305A's) (17)						
·							
	Operating Procedures (EOP						
	automatic protection syste indicated appropriate recover	m and to assess	plant conditions for				
	expected and there were no	component failure	equipment performed as				
Ì	expected and there were no t	component ratture	is for the crip.				
ļ	The reactor entered Mode 5	(cold shutdown)	at 0600 hours on				
	12-16-90 to exit the 2-AB p						
	<u>Cause of the Event</u>						
	Mha Mashrigal Crossification	warningmant that	t the veltage much not				
	The Technical Specification decrease more than 0.05 vol						
	restrictive condition for b						
1	in:	accell oberantit					
	2						
1	Regulatory Guide 1	129, "Mainten	ance, Testing, and				
	Replacement of Large L	ead Storage Batte	ries for Nuclear Power				
	Plants"						
	Westinghouse Standardi	and Monthal Sa	ogifigationg				
	Weschighouse Scandardi	zeu recimicar sp	ectricacions				
	Manufacturer's Install	ation and Operati	ng Instructions Manual				
	(C&D Power Systems, #1						
	IEEE Standards 450-197	'5, 1980 and 1987					
	The cause of the AMSAC actu	ation was that th	e 25 percent feedwater				
	flow setpoints were set at a						
	(a conservative value for a	actuation of AMSA	AC). From the initial				
	system implementation unt	il the 1990 r	efueling outage, the				
	feedwater flow setpoints we	ere 25 percent of	f design flow. During				
j	this last refueling outage						
Į	values were changed, from th						
i.	to an actual flow value to a conditions. The setpoin						
	approximately 35 percent f		e mistakenly set at				
	setpoint control document's						
	feedwater flow setpoint in						
	greater than approximately	0.8 percent of R	TP per minute resulted				
	in the AMSAC actuation. The	plant is designed	d for a two percent per				
	minute decrease with all s	ystems in automa	tic in this operating				
	range.						

.

ji L

NRC FORM 366A (689)	U.S. 1	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92						
	ICENSEE EVENT REPORT ( TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3160.0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.							
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)					
D. C. Cook Nuclear	r Plant - Unit 2	0  5  0  0  0  3   1  6	VEAR SEQUENTIAL AEVISION NUMBER 90 - 011 3-010	0 4 OF 0   4					
TEXT (If more space is required, use add	Intional NRC Form 368A's) (17)								

### Analysis of Event

This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(A)(2)(I) and 10 CFR 50.73(A)(2)(IV) as an event that resulted in the completion of a Technical Specification required shutdown and an unplanned automatic actuation of the engineered safety features, including the reactor protection system, respectively.

The Technical Specification Limiting Condition of Operation and the associated Action Statement were complied with and the automatic protection responses, including reactor trip and its associated actuations, were verified to have functioned properly as a result of the reactor trip signal. Based on the above, it is concluded that the event did not constitute an unreviewed safety question as defined in 10 CFR 50.59 nor did it adversely impact the health and safety of the public.

#### Corrective Action

The 2-AB battery was removed from service for repairs which included electrically removing (via jumpers) the cell of concern, as well as, another cell that experienced voltages approaching the 0.05 differential voltage limit.

A proposed Technical Specification Amendment is being prepared to request changing the related 92-day surveillance to that found in Standard Technical Specifications which would remove the requirement of having cells within 0.05 of the their individual acceptance test.

Methods to safely jumper battery cells in Modes 1-4 while complying with the current TS are being researched.

All setpoints in the AMSAC system were verified and the inappropriate setpoints on the feedwater flow portion of the circuit were corrected. Similar setpoint corrections were made to the Unit 1 circuit (which was in a refueling outage and never operated with the inappropriate setpoints).

### Failed Components

None

## Previous Similar Events

None

NRC Form 366A (6-89)