Attachment 2 to AEP:NRC:1036

Proposed Revised Technical Specification Changes

8909070032 890830 PDR ADOCK 05000315 P

,

٤

40 41 14

et.

,

. 1

日本語をなるい

i si 1

۲

ø * 77

3

,

ай ;; ,

2 202 4⁶

e,

x

τņ

.

Ÿ

.

3/4 LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

à.

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

3.0.1 Compliance with the Limiting Conditions for Operation contained in the succeeding specifications is required during the OPERATIONAL MODES or other conditions specified therein; except that upon failure to meet the Limiting Conditions for Operation, the associated ACTION requirements shall be met.

 3.0.2 Noncompliance with a specification shall exist when the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation and associated ACTION requirements are not met within the specified time intervals. If the Limiting Condition for Operation is restored prior to expiration of the specified time intervals, completion of the ACTION requirements is not required.

3.0.3 When a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met, except as provide in the associated ACTION requirements, within 1 hour action shall be initiated to place it, as applicable, in:

- a. At least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours,
- b. At least HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours, and
- c. At least COLD SHUTDOWN within the subsequent 24 hours.

Where corrective measures are completed that permit operation under the ACTION requirements, the action may be taken in accordance with the specified time limits as measured from the time of failure to meet the Limiting Condition for Operation. Exceptions to these requirements are stated in the individual specifications.

This specification is not applicable in MODES 5 or 6.

3.0.4 Entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition shall not be made when the conditions for the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met and the associated ACTION requires a shutdown if they are not met within a specified time interval. Entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or specified condition may be made in accordance with ACTION requirements when conformance to them permits continued operation of the facility for an unlimited period of time. This provision shall not prevent passage through or to OPERATIONAL MODES as required to comply with ACTION requirements. Exceptions to these requirements are stated in the individual specifications.

3/4 0-1

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION '

3.0.5 When a system, subsystem, train, component or device is determined to be inoperable solely because its emergency power source is inoperable, or solely because its normal power source is inoperable, it may be considered OPERABLE for the purpose of satisfying the requirements of its applicable Limiting Condition for Operation, provided: (1) its corresponding normal or emergency power source is OPERABLE; and (2) all of its redundant system(s), subsystem(s), train(s), component(s) and device(s) are OPERABLE, or likewise satisfy the requirements of this specification. Unless both conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied, within 2 hours action shall be initiated to place the unit in a MODE in which the applicable Limiting Condition for Operation does not apply by placing it as applicable in:

- 1. At least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours,
- 2. At least HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours, and
- 3. At least COLD SHUTDOWN within the subsequent 24 hours.

This Specification is not applicable in MODES 5 or 6.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.0.1 Surveillance Requirements shall be met during the OPERATIONAL MODES or other conditions specified for individual Limiting Conditions for Operation unless otherwise stated in an individual Surveillance Requirement.

4.0.2 Each Surveillance Requirement shall be performed within the specified time interval with:

- a. A maximum allowable extension not to exceed 25% of the surveillance interval, but
- b. The combined time interval for any three consecutive surveillance intervals shall not exceed 3.25 times the specified surveillance interval.

4.0.3 Failure to perform a Surveillance Requirement within the allowed surveillance interval, defined by Specification 4.0.2, shall constitute noncompliance with the OPERABILITY requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation. (This does not apply to surveillances that have been delayed per exemption to Specification 4.0.4.) The time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at the time it is identified that a Surveillance Requirement has not been performed. The ACTION requirements may be delayed for up to 24 hours to permit completion of the surveillance when the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements are less than 24 hours. Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed on inoperable equipment.

4.0.4 Entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition shall not be made unless the Surveillance Requirement(s) associated with a Limiting Condition of Operation has been performed within the stated surveillance interval or as otherwise specified. This provision shall not prevent passage through or to OPERATIONAL MODES as required to comply with ACTION requirements.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

AMENDMENT NO.

•

.

¥ ٠ . <u>ц</u>

1

,

1⁶

.

.

÷

.

GV Y

Ŧ 11 1

Ł

21

~*

¥

松 し、

C test ,

,

** **

• *

APPLICABILITY

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.0.5 Surveillance Requirements for inservice inspection and testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components shall be applicable as follows:

- a. Inservice inspection of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components and inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda as required by 10 CFR 50, Section 50.55a(g), except where specific written relief has been granted by the Commission pursuant to 10 CFR 50, Section 50.55a(g)(6)(i).
- b. Surveillance intervals specified in Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda for the inservice inspection and testing activities required by the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda shall be applicable as follows in these Technical Specifications:

| ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel | Required frequencies |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Code and applicable Addenda | for performing inservice |
| terminology for inservice | inspection and testing |
| inspection and testing activities | activities |
| | |

| Weekly | At least once per 7 days |
|---|--|
| Monthly | At least once per 31 days |
| Quarterly or every 3 months | At least once per 92 days |
| Semiannually or every 6 months | At least once per 184 days |
| Every 9 months | At least once per 276 days |
| Yearly or annually | At least once per 366 days |
| Quarterly or every 3 months Semiannually or every 6 months Every 9 months Yearly or annually | At least once per 92 day At least once per 184 day At least once per 184 day At least once per 276 day At least once per 366 day |

- c. The provisions of Specification 4.0.2 are applicable to the above required frequencies for performing inservice inspection and testing activities.
- d. Performance of the above inservice inspection and testing activities shall be in addition to other specified Surveillance Requirements.
- e. Nothing in the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code shall be construed to supersede the requirements of any Technical Specification.
- 4.0.6 By specific reference to this section, those surveillances which must be performed on or before July 31, 1987, and are designated as 18-month surveillances (or required as outage-related surveillances) may be delayed until the end of the Cycle 9-10 refueling outage (currently scheduled to begin during the second quarter of 1987). For these specific surveillances under this section, the specified time intervals required by Specification 4.0.2 will be determined with the new initiation date established by the surveillance date during the Unit 1 1987 refueling outage.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

AMENDMENT NO.

APPLICABILITY

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.0.7 By specific reference to this section, those surveillances which must be performed on or before April 1, 1989, may be delayed until the end of the Cycle 10-11 refueling outage (currently scheduled to begin during the latter part of the first quarter of 1989). For these specific surveillances under this section, the specified time intervals required by Specification 4.0.2 will be determined with the new initiation date established by the surveillance date during the Unit 1 1989 refueling outage.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

3/4 0-4

C

ī

`. ``

<u>,</u>, ٠

•

۰.

.

٠ 9

म भू मु Same in the

と 人間の

,

.

1

3/4 LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

BASES

<u>Specification 3.0.1 through 3.0.4</u> establish the general requirements applicable to Limiting Conditions for Operation. These requirements are based on the requirements for Limiting Conditions for Operation stated in the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2):

"Limiting conditions for operation are the lowest functional capability or performance levels of equipment required for safe operation of the facility. When a limiting condition for operation of a nuclear reactor is not met, the licensee shall shut down the reactor or follow any remedial action permitted by the technical specification until the condition can be met."

<u>Specification 3.0.1</u> establishes the Applicability statement within each individual specification as the requirement for when (i.e., in which OPERATIONAL MODES or other specified conditions) conformance to the Limiting Conditions for Operation is required for safe operation of the facility. The ACTION requirements establish those remedial measures that must be taken within specified time limits when the requirements of a Limiting Condition for Operation are not met.

There are two basic types of ACTION requirements. The first specifies the remedial measures that permit continued operation of the facility which is not further restricted by the time limits of the ACTION requirements. In this case, conformance to the ACTION requirements provides an acceptable level of safety for unlimited continued operation as long as the ACTION requirements continue to be met. The second type of ACTION requirement specifies a time limit in which conformance to the conditions of the Limiting Condition for Operation must be met. This time limit is the allowable outage time to restore an inoperable system or component to OPERABLE status or for restoring parameters within specified limits. If these actions are not completed within the allowable outage time limits, a shutdown is required to place the facility in a MODE or condition in which the specification no longer applies. It is not intended that the shutdown ACTION requirements be used as an operational convenience which permits (routine) voluntary removal of a system(s) or component(s) from service in lieu of other alternatives that would not result in redundant systems or components being inoperable.

The specified time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable from the point in time it is identified that a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met. The time limits of the ACTION requirements are also applicable when a system or component is removed from service for surveillance testing or investigation of operational problems. Individual specifications may include a specified time limit for the completion of a Surveillance Requirement when equipment is removed from service. In this case, the allowable outage time

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1



2 学っ 教授、社会会

ゆう うちゃう

4

. , •

.

÷

î.) e

**

• :#1 .

i, 権 я., . e - 1 . €.

<u>ц</u>, •• 41

ъ.,

٢

• _ _ _ _ _ _ * 显放到前

¥

「「「「「「「「」」」」

4

*

、竹袋

. . . .

857 24 -24 -

* (**5**

....

BASES (Continued)

limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable when this limit expires if the surveillance has not been completed. When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the plant may have entered a MODE in which a new specification becomes applicable. In this case, the time limits of the ACTION requirements would apply from the point in time that the new specification becomes applicable if the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met.

<u>Specification 3.0.2</u> establishes that noncompliance with a specification exists when the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met and the associated ACTION requirements have not been implemented within the specified time interval. The purpose of this specification is to clarify that (1) implementation of the ACTION requirements within the specified time interval constitutes compliance with a specification and (2) completion of the remedial measures of the ACTION requirements is not required when compliance with a Limiting Condition of Operation is restored within the time interval specified in the associated ACTION requirements.

Specification 3.0.3 establishes the shutdown ACTION requirements that must be Implemented when a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met and the condition is not specifically addressed by the associated ACTION requirements. The purpose of this specification is to delineate the time limits for placing the unit in a safe shutdown MODE when plant operation cannot be maintained within the limits for safe operation defined by the Limiting Conditions for Operation and its ACTION requirements. It is not intended to be used as an operational convenience which permits (routine) voluntary removal of redundant systems or components from service in lieu of other alternatives that would not result in redundant systems or components being inoperable. One hour is allowed to prepare for an orderly shutdown before initiating a change in plant operation. This time permits the operator to coordinate the reduction in electrical generation with the load dispatcher to ensure the stability and availability of the electrical grid. The time limits specified to reach lower MODES of operation permit the shutdown to proceed in a controlled and orderly manner that is well within the specified maximum cooldown rate and within the cooldown capabilities of the facility assuming only the minimum required equipment is OPERABLE. This reduces thermal stresses on components of the primary coolant system and the potential for a plant upset that could challenge safety systems under conditions for which this specification applies.

If remedial measures permitting limited continued operation of the facility under the provisions of the ACTION requirements are completed, the shutdown may be terminated. The time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable from the point in time there was a failure to meet a Limiting Condition for Operation. Therefore, the shutdown may be terminated if the ACTION requirements have been met or the time limits of the ACTION requirements have not expired, thus providing an allowance for the completion of the required actions.

Sec. 二条管理学校などで、

「「「「「「「」」、 のう 1₂₁ 「観女」となり

4

, ۰ ' م ÷., *

,t ł A ⁶

.

, ł

* , **f** 1

ч

.

5 13

BASES (Continued)

The time limits of Specification 3.0.3 allow 37 hours for the plant to be in the COLD SHUTDOWN MODE when a shutdown is required during the POWER MODE of operation. If the plant is in a lower MODE of operation when a shutdown is required, the time limit for reaching the next lower MODE of operation applies. However, if a lower MODE of operation is reached in less time than allowed, the total allowable time to reach COLD SHUTDOWN, or other applicable MODE, is not reduced. For example, if HOT STANDBY is reached in 2 hours, the time allowed to reach HOT SHUTDOWN is the next 11 hours because the total time to reach HOT SHUTDOWN is not reduced from the allowable limit of 13 hours. Therefore, if remedial measures are completed that would permit a return to POWER operation, a penalty is not incurred by having to reach a lower MODE of operation in less than the total time allowed.

The same principle applies with regard to the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements, if compliance with the ACTION requirements for one specification results in entry into a MODE or condition of operation for another specification in which the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met. If the new specification becomes applicable in less time than specified, the difference may be added to the allowable outage time limits of the second specification. However, the allowable outage time limits of ACTION requirements for a higher MODE of operation may not be used to extend the allowable outage time that is applicable when a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met in a lower MODE of operation.

The shutdown requirements of Specification 3.0.3 do not apply in MODES 5 and 6, because the ACTION requirements of individual specifications define the remedial measures to be taken.

Specification 3.0.4 establishes limitations on MODE changes when a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met. It precludes placing the facility in a higher MODE of operation when the requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation are not met and continued noncompliance to these conditions would result in a shutdown to comply with the ACTION requirements if a change in MODES were permitted. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that facility operation is not initiated or that higher MODES of operation are not entered when corrective action is being taken to obtain compliance with a specification by restoring equipment to OPERABLE status or parameters to " specified limits. Compliance with ACTION requirements that permit continued operation of the facility for an unlimited period of time provides an acceptable level of safety for continued operation without regard to the status of the plant before or after a MODE change. Therefore, in this case, entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition may be made in accordance with the provisions of the ACTION requirements. The provisions of this specification should not, however, be interpreted as endorsing the failure to exercise good practice in restoring systems or components to OPERABLE status before plant startup.

6 X

.

۲

, + .A ,

۰, ...

lange in

· 公安公司。

πę

,

福祉

a

ŗ

1. 1.

•

۴,

٠

٠

BASES (Continued)

When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the provisions of Specification 3.0.4 do not apply because they would delay placing the facility in a lower MODE of operation.

3.0.5 This specification delineates what additional conditions must be satisfied to permit operation to continue, consistent with the ACTION statements for power sources, when a normal or emergency power source is not OPERABLE. It specifically prohibits operation when one division is inoperable because its normal or emergency power source is inoperable and a system, subsystem, train, component or device in another division is inoperable for another reason.

The provisions of this specification permit the ACTION statements associated with individual systems, subsystems, trains, components, or devices to be consistent with the ACTION statements of the associated electrical power source. It allows operation to be governed by the time limits of the ACTION statement associated with the Limiting Condition for Operation for the normal or emergency power source, not the individual ACTION statements for each system, subsystem, train, component or device that is determined to be inoperable solely because of the inoperability of its normal or emergency power source.

For example, Specification 3.8.1.1 requires in part that two emergency diesel generators be OPERABLE. The ACTION statement provides for a 72 hour out-ofservice time when one emergency diesel generator is not OPERABLE. If the definition of OPERABLE were applied without consideration of Specification 3.0.5, all systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices supplied by the inoperable emergency power source would also be inoperable. This would dictate invoking the applicable ACTION statements for each of the applicable Limiting Conditions for Operation. However, the provisions of Specification 3.0.5 permit the time limits for continued operation to be consistent with the ACTION statement for the inoperable emergency diesel generator instead, provided the other specified conditions are satisfied. In this case, this would mean that the corresponding normal power source must be OPERABLE, and all redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components, and devices must be OPERABLE, or otherwise satisfy Specification 3.0.5 (i.e., be capable of performing their design function and have at least one normal or one emergency power source OPERABLE). If they are not satisfied, action is required in accordance with this specification.

As a further example, Specification 3.8.1.1 requires in part that two physically independent circuits between the offsite transmission network and the onsite Class IE distribution system be OPERABLE. The ACTION statement provides a 24-hour out-of-service time when both required offsite circuits are not OPERABLE. If the definition of OPERABLE were applied without consideration of Specification 3.0.5, all systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

1944 - SA <u>ц</u>, 学等なられていてい、

そう 御史を言い ひたい みち

-ţ;

,

Ŀ

ī

=

いていたいない

*** 3**1** 7

Ľ

a

1

a!



BASES (Continued)

supplied by the inoperable normal power sources, both of the offsite circuits, would also be inoperable. This would dictate invoking the applicable ACTION statements for each of the applicable LCOs. However, the provisions of Specification 3.0.5 permit the time limits for continued operation to be consistent with the ACTION statement for the inoperable normal power sources instead, provided the other specified conditions are satisfied. In this case, this would mean that for one division the emergency power source must be OPERABLE (as must be the components supplied by the emergency power source) and all redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices in the other division must be OPERABLE, or likewise satisfy Specification 3.0.5 (i.e., be capable of performing their design functions and have an emergency power source OPERABLE). In other words, both emergency power sources must be OPERABLE and all redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices in both divisions must also be OPERABLE. If these conditions are not satisfied, action is required in accordance with this specification.

In MODES 5 or 6, Specification 3.0.5 is not applicable, and thus the individual ACTION statements for each applicable Limiting Condition for Operation in these MODES must be adhered to.

<u>Specifications 4.0.1 through 4.0.5</u> establish the general requirements applicable to Surveillance Requirements. These requirements are based on the Surveillance Requirements stated in the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3):

"Surveillance requirements are requirements relating to test, calibration, or inspection to assure that the necessary quality of systems and components is maintained, that facility operation will be within the safety limits, and that the limiting conditions of operation will be met."

<u>Specification 4.0.1</u> establishes the requirement that surveillances must be performed during the OPERATIONAL MODES or other conditions for which the requirements of the Limiting Conditions for Operation apply unless otherwise stated in an individual Surveillance Requirement. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that surveillances are performed to verify the operational status of systems and components and that parameters are within specified limits to ensure safe operation of the facility when the plant is in a MODE or other specified condition for which the associated Limiting Conditions for Operation are applicable. Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed when the facility is in an OPERATIONAL MODE for which the requirements of the associated Limiting Condition for Operation do not apply unless otherwise specified. The Surveillance Requirements associated with a Special Test Exception are only applicable when the Special Test Exception is used as an allowable exception to the requirements of a specification.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

B 3/4 0-5

ų. **x** s, 8; ÷, . R Þ A State of the sta

. n,

<u>ر</u>بو . 18

١

ч**н**

ı ,

٦ . F

the second of th TAX ALS ALS

1

.

•

BASES (Continued)

Γ.

<u>Specification 4.0.2</u> establishes the conditions under which the specified time interval for Surveillance Requirements may be extended. Item a. permits an allowable extension of the normal surveillance interval to facilitate surveillance scheduling and consideration of plant operating conditions that may not be suitable for conducting the surveillance; e.g., transient conditions or other ongoing surveillance or maintenance activities. Item b. limits the use of the provisions of item a. to ensure that it is not used repeatedly to extend the surveillance interval beyond that specified. The limits of Specification 4.0.2 are based on engineering judgment and the recognition that the most probable result of any particular surveillance being performed is the verification of conformance with the Surveillance Requirements. These provisions are sufficient to ensure that the reliability ensured through surveillance activities is not significantly degraded beyond that obtained from the specified surveillance interval.

Specification 4.0.3 establishes the failure to perform a Surveillance Requirement within the allowed surveillance interval, defined by the provisions of Specification 4.0.2, as a condition that constitutes a failure to meet the OPERABILITY requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation. Under the provisions of this specification, systems and components are assumed to be OPERABLE when Surveillance Requirements have been satisfactorily performed within the specified time interval. However, nothing in this provision is to be construed as implying that systems or components are OPERABLE when they are found or known to be inoperable although still meeting the Surveillance Requirements. This specification also clarifies that the ACTION requirements are applicable when Surveillance Requirements have not been completed within the allowed surveillance interval and that the time limits of the ACTION requirements apply from the point in time it is identified that a surveillance has not been performed and not at the time that the allowed surveillance interval was exceeded. Completion of the Surveillance Requirement within the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements restores compliance with the requirements of Specification 4.C.3. However, this does not negate the fact that the failure to have performed the surveillance within the allowed surveillance interval, defined by the provisions of Specification 4.0.2, was a violation of the OPERABILITY requirements of a Limiting Condition for Operation that is subject to enforcement action. Further, the failure to perform a surveillance within the provisions of Specification 4.0.2 is a violation of a Technical Specification requirement and is, therefore, a reportable event under the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) because it is a condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

μ

n '

•

۲

د د د بوط د بوت

4 L 25.

13

ı

ŀ

:

.,Л. ят .

* * *

,

.

BASES (Continued)

If the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements are less than 24 hours or a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, e.g., Specification 3.0.3, a 24-hour allowance is provided to permit a delay in implementing the ACTION requirements. This provides an adequate time limit to complete Surveillance Requirements that have not been performed. The purpose of this allowance is to permit the completion of a surveillance before a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements or before other remedial measures would be required that may preclude completion of a surveillance. The basis for this allowance includes consideration for plant conditions, adequate planning, availability of personnel, the time required to perform the surveillance, and the safety significance of the delay in completing the required surveillance. This provision also provides a time limit for the completion of Surveillance Requirements that become applicable as a consequence of MODE changes imposed by ACTION requirements. If a surveillance is not completed within the 24-hour allowance, the time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at that time. When a surveillance is performed within the 24-hour allowance and the Surveillance Requirements are not met, the time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at the time that the surveillance is terminated. For surveillances that may be delayed per exemption to Specification 4.0.4, it is expected that the surveillances will be performed expeditiously after entering the applicable mode. However, it is recognized that plant conditions may, at times, cause greater than 24 hours to be required to complete the surveillance.

Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed on inoperable equipment because the ACTION requirements define the remedial measures that apply. However, the Surveillance Requirements have to be met to demonstrate that inoperable equipment has been restored to OPERABLE status.

<u>Specification 4.0.4</u> establishes the requirement that all applicable surveillances must be met before entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other condition of operation specified in the Applicability statement. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that system and component OPERABILITY requirements or parameter limits are met before entry into a MODE or condition for which these systems and components ensure safe operation of the facility. This provision applies to changes in OPERATIONAL MODES or other specified conditions associated with plant shutdown as well as startup.

Under the provisions of this specification, the applicable Surveillance Requirements must be performed within the specified surveillance interval to ensure that the Limiting Conditions for Operation are met during initial plant startup or following a plant outage.

When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the provisions of Specification 4.0.4 do not apply because this would delay placing the facility in a lower MODE of operation.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

• 12 × 1 ٩ ů, t Let Zh

: د بر ۲ . .

,

41

.

教長 ひいと 日本部 となる

¥

، * م

a

' **Y** '

21. 10 4

BASES (Continued)

Specification 4.0.5 establishes the requirement that inservice inspection of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components and inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with a periodically updated version of Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and Addenda as required by 10 CFR 50.55a. These requirements apply except when relief has been provided in writing by the Commission.

This specification includes a clarification of the frequencies for performing the inservice inspection and testing activities required by Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda. This clarification is provided to ensure consistency in surveillance intervals throughout the Technical Specifications and to remove any ambiguities relative to the frequencies for performing the required inservice inspection and testing activities.

Under the terms of this specification, the more restrictive requirements of the Technical Specifications take precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda. The requirements of Specification 4.0.4 to perform surveillance activities before entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition takes precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code provision which allows pumps and valves to be tested up to one week after return to normal operation. The Technical Specification definition of OPERABLE does not allow a grace period before a component, that is not capable of performing its specified function, is declared inoperable and takes precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code provision which allows a valve to be incapable of performing its specified function for up to 24 hours before being declared inoperable.

B 3/4 0-8

÷

દારા મ ક ચ પ

. *****

•

. .

, **x**

×.

•

· · ·



TABLE 3.3-1

REACTOR TRIP_SYSTEM_INSTRUMENTATION

| FUNCTIONAL UNIT | TOTAL NO. OF CHANNELS | CHANNELS TO TRIP | MINIMUM CHANNELS <u>OPERABLE</u> | APPLICABLE MODES | ACTION |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Manual Reactor Trip | · 2 | 1 | 2 | 1, 2 and * | 12 |
| 2. Power Range, Neutron Flux | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1, 2 and * | 2 |
| 3. Power Range, Neutron Flux, High Positive Rate | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1, 2 | 2 |
| 4. Power Range, Neutron Flux, High Negative Rate | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1, 2 | 2 |
| 5. Intermediate Range, Neutron Flux | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1, 2 and * | 3 |
| 6. Source Range, Neutron Flux A. Startup B. Shutdown | 2 2 | 1 0 | 2 1 | 2 ^{##} and * 3, 4 and 5 | 4 5 |
| 7. Overtemperature AT Four Loop Operation | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1, 2 | 6 |
| 8. Overpower AT Four Loop Operation | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1,2 · | 6 |



REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

| D. C. | FUNC | TIONAL UNIT | TOTAL NO. OF CHANNELS | CHANNELS TO TRIP | MINIMUM CHANNELS 2 OPERABLE | APPLICABLE MODES | ACTION |
|---------|------|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------|
| COOK | 9. | Pressurizer Pressure-Low | 4 | 2 | 3 | l, 2 | 6 |
| । द | 10. | Pressurizer PressureHigh | 4 | 2 | 3. | l, 2 | 6 |
| NIT | 11. | Pressurizer Water LevelHigh | 3 | 2 | 2 | l, 2 | · 7 [#] |
| 4 | 12. | Loss of Flow - Single Loop (Above P-8) | 3/100p | 2/loop in any opera- ting loop | 2/loop in each opera- ting loop | l | 7 [#] |
| | 13. | Loss of Flow - Two Loops (Above P-7 and below P-8) | 3/100p | 2/loop in two opera- ting loops | 2/loop in each opera- ting loop | 1 | 7 [#] |
| 3/4 3-4 | 14. | Steam Generator Water LevelLow-Low | 3/loop | 2/loop in any opera- ting loop | 2/loop in each opera ting loop | 1, 2 | 7# |
| • | 15. | Steam/Feedwater Flow Mismatch and Low Steam Generator Water Level | 2/loop-level and 2/loop-flow mismatch in same loop | <pre>l/loop-level coincident with l/loop-flow mismatch in same loop</pre> | l/loop-lev and 2/loop-flo mismatch o 2/loop-lev and 1/loop-flo mismatch | vel 1, 2 ww vel ww | 7 [#] |

· · ·

,

TABLE 3.3-1 (Continued)

REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

| FUN | CTIONAL UNIT | TOTAL NO. OF CHANNELS | CHANNELS TO TRIP | MINIMUM CHANNELS <u>OPERABLE</u> | APPLICABLE <u>MODES</u> | CTION |
|-----|---|--------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------|
| 16. | Undervoltage-Reactor Coolant Pumps | 4-1/bus | 2 | 3 | l | 6 |
| 17. | Underfrequency-Reactor Coolant Pumps | 4-1/bus | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| 18. | Turbine Trip A. Low Fluid Oil Pressure B. Turbine Stop Valve Closure | 3 4 | 2 4 | 2 4 | 1 1 | 7# 7# |
| 19. | Safety Injection Input from ESF | 2 | l | 2 | 1, 2 | l |
| 20. | Reactor Coolant Pump Breaker Position Trip A. Above P-8 B. Above P-7 and below P-8 | l/breaker l/breaker | 1 2 | l/breaker l/breaker per oper- ating loop | 1 1, | 10 11 |
| 21. | Reactor Trip Breakers | 2 | 1 | 2 | l, 2 3*,4*,5* | 1, 13 14 |
| 22. | Automatic Trip Logic | 2 | l | 2 | l, 2 3*.4*.5* | 1 14 |

. . ۵. .

• • 2 •

۹ د · · ·

·

.



TABLE 3.3-3 (Continued)

ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

| FUN | CTION | NAL UNIT | TOTAL NO. OF CHANNELS | CHANNELS TO TRIP | MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE | APPLICABLE MUDES | ACTION | | |
|-----|-------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| б. | MOI | FOR DRIVEN AUXILIARY FEED | NATER PUMPS | | | | | | |
| | a. | Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low | 3/Stm. Gen. | 2/Stm. Gen. any Stm. Gen. | 2/Stm. Gen. | 1, 2, 3 | 14* | | |
| | b. | 4 kv Bus Ioss of Voltage | , 2/Bus | 2/Bus | 2/Bus | 1, 2, 3 | 14* | | |
| | c. | Safety Injection | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1, 2, 3 | 18* | | |
| v | d. | Loss of Main Feedwater Pumps | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1, 2 | 18* | | |
| 7. | TUR | TURBINE DRIVEN AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMPS | | | | | | | |
| | a. | Steam Generator Water Level Low-Low | 3/Stm. Gen. | 2/Stm. Gen. any 2 Stm. Gen. | 2/Stm. Gen. | 1, 2, 3 | · 14 [*] | | |
| | b. | Reactor Coolant Pump Bus Undervoltage | 4-1/Bus | 2 | 3 | 1, 2, 3 | 19 | | |
| 8. | LOS | SS OF POWER | | | | | | | |
| | a. | 4 kv Bus Loss of Voltage | 3/Bus | 2/Bus | 2/Bus | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 14* | | |
| | b. | 4 kv Bus Degraded Voltage | 3/Bus | 2/Bus | 2/Bus | 1, 2, 3, 4 | 14* | | |

3/4 3-21a

t F

,

\$∕ 33' å, ғы. 41,,

•

ba

• •

ł

11

12

à

1871 14 k

-

5

INSTRUMENTATION

3/4.3.3 MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.1 The radiation monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-6 shall be OPERABLE with their alarm/trip setpoints within the specified limits.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-6.

ACTION:

- a. With a radiation monitoring channel alarm/trip setpoint exceeding the value shown in Table 3.3-6, adjust the setpoint to within the limit within 4 hours or declare the channel inoperable.
- b. With one or more radiation monitoring channels inoperable, take the ACTION shown in Table 3.3-6.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable, ,

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.1 Each radiation monitoring instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations during the modes and at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-3.

1

,

.

• • •

.

.

n ,

.



TABLE 3.3-6 RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION (OPERABILITY BASES DISCUSSED IN BASES SECTION 3/4.3.3.1)

| OPERATION MODE/INSTRUMENT | MINIMUM CHANNELS | ALARM SETPOINT | TRIP SETPOINT | ACTION |
|--|------------------|-------------------|--|--------|
| 1. Modes 1, 2, 3, & 4 | | | - | |
| A) Area Monitors | | | | |
| i) Upper Containment ⁺ (VRS 1101/1201) | 1 . 1 | N/A | <u> 54</u> mR/hr | 21 |
| B) Process Monitors | • | | • | |
| i) Particulate Channel ⁺ (ERS 1301/1401) | 1 | N/A | <u> </u> | 20* |
| ii) Noble Gas Channel ⁺ (ERS 1305/1405) | 1. | N/A | $\leq 4.4 \times 10^{-3} \underline{\text{uCi}}$ | 20* |
| C) Noble Gas Effluent Monitors | • | | | |
| i) Unit Vent Effluent Monit | or . | | | |
| a) Low Range (VRS 1505) | ******** | (See T/S Sect | ion 3.3.3.10) | |

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

-

• • • • .

` •

• n. ,

•

٤

. . ι

TABLE 3.3-6 (Cont'd)

RADIATION MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION (OPERABILITY BASES DISCUSSED IN BASES SECTION 3/4.3.3.1)

| OPE | RATION MODE/INSTRUMENT | MINIMUM CHANNELS OPERABLE | ALARM SETPOINT | TRIP SETPOINT | ACTION |
|-----|---|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| | iii) Noble Gas Channel ⁺ (ERS 1405) | | N/A | $\frac{4.4 \times 10^{-3}}{cc}$ | 22 |
| 3. | Mode *** | | | | |
| | A) Spent Fuel Storage (RRC-330) | . 1 | ∠ 15 mR/hr | ≤ 15 mR/hr | 21 |

*. The provisions of Specification 3.0.4 are not applicable.
*** With fuel in storage pool or building.
+ This specification applies only during purge.

Ч
INSTRUMENTATION

MOVABLE INCORE DETECTORS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

- 3.3.3.2 The movable incore detection system shall be OPERABLE with:
 - a. At least 75% of the detector thimbles,
 - b. A minimum of 2 detector thimbles per core quadrant, and
 - c. Sufficient movable detectors, drive, and readout equipment to map these thimbles.

APPLICABILITY: When the movable incore detection system is used for:

- a. Recalibration of the axial flux difference detection system,
- b. Monitoring the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO, or
- c. Measurement of $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$ and $F_{Q}(Z, 2)$

ACTION:

With the movable incore detection system inoperable, do not use the system for the above applicable monitoring or calibration functions. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.2 The movable incore detection system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by normalizing each detector output to be used during its use when required for:

- a. Recalibration of the excore axial flux difference detection system, or
- b. Monitoring the QUADRANT POWER TILT RATIO, or
- c. Measurement of $F_{\Delta H}^{N}$ and $F_{O}(Z, 2)$.

-

ø

1

¥j ₽į

K 4

ł.

۰,

9

.

外見歌雪きなど、

,

. ۱۹۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ ۱۹۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ Ļ

. a

INSTRUMENTATION

SEISMIC INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.3 The seismic monitoring instrumentation shown in Table 3.3-7 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the number of OPERABLE seismic monitoring instruments less than required by Table 3.3-7, restore the inoperable instrument(s) to OPERABLE status within 30 days.
- b. With one or more seismic monitoring instruments inoperable for more than 30 days, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 10 days outlining the cause of the malfunction and the plans for restoring the instrument(s) to OPERABLE status.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.3.1 Each of the above seismic monitoring instruments shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-4.

4.3.3.3.2 Each of the above seismic monitoring instruments actuated during a seismic event shall be restored to OPERABLE status and a CHANNEL CALIBRATION performed within 24 hours following the seismic event. Data shall be retrieved from actuated instruments and analyzed to determine the magnitude of the vibratory ground motion. A Special report shall be prepared and submitted to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 10 days describing the magnitude, frequency spectrum and resultant effect upon facility features important to safety.

М. 94 . ۲ 2) 41 37

,

¥.

', e

'8/ ∿¥¢ ŧ

ł

4

1. v 3. 1. 1. .

,

.

这时



INSTRUMENTATION

METEOROLOGICAL INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.4 The meteorological monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-8 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the number of OPERABLE meteorological monitoring channels less than required by Table 3.3-8, suspend all release of gaseous radioactive material from the radwaste gas decay tanks until the inoperable channel(s) is restored to OPERABLE status.
- b. With one or more required meteorological monitoring channels inoperable for more than 7 days, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 10 days outlining the cause of the malfunction and the plans for restoring the channel(s) to OPERABLE status.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.4 Each of the above meteorological monitoring instrumentation channels shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK and CHANNEL CALIBRATION operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-5.

D. C. COOK-UNIT 1

3/4 3-43

P A. 475 alter person

' _{'h}te

, , · , a segre a ser a

.

.

•

í,

14

. Ý

125

INSTRUMENTATION

REMOTE SHUTDOWN INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.5 The remote shutdown monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-9 shall be OPERABLE with readouts displayed external to the control room.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3.

ACTION:

With the number of OPERABLE remote shutdown monitoring channels less than required by Table 3.3-9, either:

- a. Restore the inoperable channel to OPERABLE status within 30 days, or
- b. Be in HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.4 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.5 Each remote shutdown monitoring instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, and CHANNEL CALIBRATION operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-6.

D. C. COOK-UNIT 1

ы

1) 1)

÷

42 42

ti.

Į+

Ÿ

· z

松田子 中下,

,

.

. 13

のちょうちない -

A.1 やおちらい

INSTRUMENTATION

FIRE DETECTION INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.7 As a minimum, the fire detection instrumentation for each fire detection zone shown in Table 3.3-10 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: Whenever equipment protected by the fire detection instrument(s) is required to be OPERABLE.

ACTION:

With the number of OPERABLE fire detection instrument(s) less than the minimum number OPERABLE requirement of Table 3.3-10:

- a. Within 1 hour establish a fire watch patrol to inspect the zone(s) with the inoperable instrument(s) at least once per hour, unless the instrument(s) is located inside the containment, then inspect the containment at least once per 8 hours or monitor the containment air temperature at least once per hour at the locations listed in Specification 4.6.1.5.
- b. Restore the inoperable instrument(s) to OPERABLE status within 14 days, or in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the instrument(s) to OPERABLE status.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.7.1 Each of the above required fire detection instruments which are accessible during plant operation shall be demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 6 months by performance of a CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST. Fire detectors which are not accessible during plant operation shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of a CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST during each COLD SHUTDOWN exceeding 24 hours unless performed in the previous 6 months.

4.3.3.7.2 The NFPA Standard 72D Class B supervised circuits supervision associated with the detector alarms of each of the above required fire detection instruments shall be demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 6 months.

INSTRUMENTATION

RADIOACTIVE LIQUID EFFLUENT INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.9 The radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-12 shall be OPERABLE with their alarm/trip setpoints set to ensure that the limits of Specification 3.11.1.1 are not exceeded.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-12.

ACTION:

- a. With a radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channel alarm/trip setpoint less conservative than a value which will ensure that the limits of 3.11.1.1 are met, without delay suspend the release of radioactive liquid effluents monitored by the affected channel, reset, or declare the channel inoperable.
- b. With one or more radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channels inoperable, take the applicable ACTION shown in Table 3.3-12.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE 'REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.9.1 The setpoints shall be determined in accordance with methodology as described in the ODCM and shall be recorded.

4.3.3.9.2 Each radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, SOURCE CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-8.

3/4 3-57

AMENDMENT NO.

4

14 14

.

4

. F

> ië H

> > 6**6**8

, , ,

INSTRUMENTATION

RADIOACTIVE GASEOUS PROCESS AND EFFLUENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.10 The radioactive gaseous process and effluent monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-13 shall be OPERABLE with their alarm/trip setpoints set to ensure that the limits of 3.11.2.1 are not exceeded.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-13.

ACTION:

- a. With a radioactive gaseous process or effluent monitoring instrumentation channel alarm/trip setpoint less conservative than a value which will ensure that the limits of 3.11.2.1 are met, without delay suspend the release of radioactive gaseous effluents monitored by the affected channel, reset, or declare the channel inoperable.
- b. With less than the minimum number of radioactive gaseous effluent monitoring instrumentation channels OPERABLE, take the ACTION shown in Table 3.3-13.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.10.1 The setpoints shall be determined in accordance with methodology as described in the ODCM and shall be recorded.*

4.3.3.10.2 Each radioactive gaseous process or effluent monitoring instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, SOURCE CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION, and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-9.

* This surveillance requirement does not apply to the Waste Gas Holdup System Hydrogen and Oxygen Monitors, as their setpoints are not addressed in the ODCM.

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

OVERPRESSURE PROTECTION SYSTEMS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.4.9.3 At least one of the following overpressure protection systems shall be OPERABLE:

- a. Two power operated relief valves (PORVs) with a lift setting of less than or equal to 400 psig, or
- b. One power operated relief valve (PORV) with a lift setting of less than or equal to 400 psig and the RHR safety valve with a lift setting of less than or equal to 450 psig, or
- c. A reactor coolant system vent of greater than or equal to 2 square inches.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: When the temperature of one or more of the RCS cold legs is less than or equal to 170°F, except when the reactor vessel head is removed.

ACTION:

- a. With two PORV's inoperable or with one PORV inoperable and the RHR safety value inoperable, either restore the inoperable PORV(s) or RHR safety value to OPERABLE status within 7 days or depressurize and vent the RCS through an at least 2 square inch vent(s) within the next 8 hours; maintain the RCS in a vented condition until the inoperable PORV or RHR safety value has been restored to OPERABLE status.
- b. With both PORVs inoperable, depressurize and vent the RCS through an at least 2 square inch vent(s) within 8 hours; maintain the RCS in a vented condition until both PORVs or one PORV and the RHR safety valve have been restored to OPERABLE status.
- c. In the event either the PORVs, the RHR safety valve or the RCS vent(s) are used to mitigate a RCS pressure transient, a Special Report shall be prepared and submitted to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 30 days. The report shall describe the circumstances initiating the transient, the effect of the PORVs or vent(s) on the transient and any corrective action necessary to prevent recurrence.

D.C. COOK - UNIT 1

16 , , .

a t

Þ.

,

,

۰**۸** -

,

,

۰,

η.,

-1

् 2

上、なな

,

,

ø

.

.

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

3/4.4.10. STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

ASME CODE CLASS 1, 2 and 3 COMPONENTS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.4.10.1 The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components shall be maintained in accordance with Specification 4.4.10.1.

APPLICABILITY: ALL MODES

ACTION:

- a. With the structural integrity of any ASME Code Class 1 component(s) not conforming to the above requirements, restore the structural integrity of the affacted component(s) to within its limit or isolate the affected component(s) prior to increasing the Reactor Coolant System temperature more than 50°F above the minimum temperature required by NDT considerations.
- b. With the structural integrity of any ASME Code Class 2 component(s) not conforming to the above requirements, restore the structural integrity of the affected component(s) to within its limit or isolate the affected component(s) prior to increasing the Reactor Coolant System temperature above 200°F.
- c. With the structural integrity of any ASME Code Class 3 component(s) not conforming to the above requirements, restore the structural integrity of the affected component(s) to within its limit or isolate the affected component(s) from service.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.4.10.1 In addition to the requirements of Specification 4.0.5, each reactor coolant pump flywneel shall be inspected per the recommendations of Regulatory Position C.4.b of Regulatory Guide 1.14, Revision 1, August 1975.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

. .

• •

Ĺ

ч -

.

n. U

 $[\tilde{a}]$ • •

14

⊾tà Japos el

• · •

1 «

• •

. ,

.

. •

3/4.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.1 TURBINE CYCLE

SAFETY VALVES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.1.1 All main steam line code safety valves associated with each steam generator shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3.

ACTION:

- a. With 4 reactor coolant loops and associated steam generators in operation and with one or more main steam line code safety valves inoperable, operation in MODES 1, 2 and 3 may proceed provided, that within 4 hours, either the inoperable valve is restored to OPERABLE status or the Power Range Neutron Flux High Setpoint trip is reduced per Table 3.7-1; otherwise, be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.
- b. With 3 reactor coolant loops and associated steam generators in operation and with one or more main steam line code safety valves associated with an operating loop inoperable, operation in MODE 3 may proceed provided, that within 4 hours, either the inoperable valve is restored to OPERABLE status or the reactor trip breakers are opened; otherwise, be in COLD SHUTDOWN within the next 30 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.1.1 Each main steam line code safety valve shall be demonstrated OPERABLE, with lift settings and orifice sizes as shown in Table 4.7-1, in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, 1974 Edition.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

AMENDMENT NO.

Ş

* 235 • <u>ت</u>

* ,

ŧ

• •

يد. د ر

26

.

1

л, .

۴.

19

-1 5

e]

.

11

е 4 л

*

. ZL

김 등 대

.

,

PLANT SYSTEMS

STEAM GENERATOR STOP VALVES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.1.5 Each steam generator stop valve shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3.

ACTION:

- MODE 1 With one steam generator stop valve inoperable but open, POWER OPERATION may continue provided the inoperable valve is restored to OPERABLE status within 4 hours; otherwise, reduce power to less than or equal to 5 percent of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 2 hours.
- MODES 2 With one steam generator stop valve inoperable, subsequent and 3 operation in MODES 2 or 3 may proceed provided the stop valve is maintained closed. Otherwise, be in HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.1.5.1 Each steam generator stop valve that is open shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by:

- a. Part-stroke exercising the valve at least once per 92 days, and
- b. Verifying full closure within 5 seconds on any closure actuation signal while in HOT STANDBY with T greater than or equal to 541°F during each reactor shutdown except that verification of full closure within 5 seconds need not be determined more often than once per 92 days.

4.7.1.5.2 The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for entry into MODE 3.

4.7.1.5.3 The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for entry into MODE 2 when performing PHYSICS TESTS at the beginning of a cycle provided the steam generator stop valves are maintained closed.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

3/4 7-10 ·

AMENDMENT NO.

×2 ≠, Ŷ . 81 34

. ,

*

ß

. ..

Ň 4 4 羧 ÷. 44: * ş, R ٠,

٠

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.7 SEALED SOURCE CONTAMINATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.7.1 Each sealed source containing radioactive material either in excess of 100 microcuries of beta and/or gamma emitting material or 5 microcuries of alpha emitting material, shall be free of \geq 0.005 microcuries of removable contamination.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION

- a. Each sealed source with removable contamination in excess of the above limits shall be immediately withdrawn from use and:
 - 1. Either decontaminated and repaired, or
 - 2. Disposed of in accordance with Commission Regulations.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.7.1.1 <u>Test Requirements</u> - Each sealed source shall be tested for leakage and/or contamination by:

- a. The licensee, or
- b. Other persons specifically authorized by the Commission or an Agreement State.

The test method shall have a detection sensitivity of at least 0.005 microcuries per test sample.

4.7.7.1.2 <u>Test Frequencies</u> - Each category of sealed sources shall be tested at the frequency described below.

a. <u>Sources in use (excluding startup sources previously</u> <u>subjected to core flux</u>) - At least once per six months for all sealed sources containing radioactive materials.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

is Refe , My Mar & Martha

,

2-

.

i

¥

2 de

Ŧ

¥.

в Ļ.

61

* **

ŧ.

ĉ

15 5

1.

,

PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.9 FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS

FIRE SUPPRESSION WATER SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.1 The fire suppression water system shall be OPERABLE with:

- a. Two* high demand pumps, one of which shall be a diesel driven pump, with their discharge aligned to the fire suppression header.
- b. An OPERABLE flow path capable of taking suction from Lake Michigan and transferring the water through distribution piping with OPERABLE sectionalizing control or isolation valves to the yard hydrant curb valves and the first valve ahead of the hose standpipe or spray system riser or water flow alarm device on each sprinkler, required to be OPERABLE per Specifications 3.7.9.2 and 3.7.9.5.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With one pump inoperable, restore the inoperable equipment to OPERABLE status within 7 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the plans and procedures to be used to provide for the loss of redundancy in this system. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.
- b. With the fire suppression water system otherwise inoperable:
 - 1. Establish a backup fire suppression water system within 24 hours, and
 - 2. Submit a Special Report in accordance with Specification 0.9.2;
 - a) By celephone within 24 hours,
 - b) Confirmed by telegram, mailgram or facsimile transmission no later than the first working day following the event, and

*Shared system with D.C. COOK - UNIT 2.

• >

•,• * 1.

\$û ,

۴

. • •

1 n' . 11 ÷

1 を読むです。

a)' I

ı

'n ø

∝′ ₹⊾ 今後になる

31 ^{له} الم

PLANT SYSTEMS

SPRAY AND/OR SPRINKLER SYSTEMS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.2 The spray and/or sprinkler systems located in the areas shown in Table 3.7-5 shall be OPERABLE:

APPLICABILITY: Whenever equipment in the spray/sprinkler protected areas is required to be OPERABLE.

ACTION:

- a. With one or more of the above required spray and/or sprinkler systems inoperable, within 1 hour establish a continuous fire watch with backup fire suppression equipment for those areas* in which redundant safe shutdown systems or components could be damaged. Restore the system to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the system to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.2 Each of the above required spray and/or sprinkler systems shall be demonstrated to be OPERABLE:

a. At least once per 12 months by cycling each testable valve in the flow path through at least one complete cycle of full travel.

*- For the reactor coolant pumps preaction sprinklers inside the containment, a closed circuit television located in the lower containment, with periodic monitoring (and hourly logging) of the CCTV screen, shall be an acceptable substitute to an hourly fire watch patrol. For high radiation areas where closed circuit television coverage does not exist, an hourly fire watch patrol will be instituted.

PLANT SYSTEMS

LOW PRESSURE CO, SYSTEMS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.3 The low pressure CO_2 systems located in the areas shown in Table 3.7-6 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: Whenever equipment in the low pressure CO₂ protected areas is required to be OPERABLE.

ACTION:

- a. With one or more of the above required low pressure CO₂ systems isolated for personnel protection, to permit entry for routine tours, maintenance, construction or surveillance testing, verify the operability of the fire detection system as per Specification 4.3.3.7 in the affected area(s) and establish a Roving Fire Watch Patrol (as defined in the Bases Section) in those areas affected by the isolated CO₂ system(s). In the event that the Roving Fire Watch Patrol cannot be maintained in the affected areas, then personnel must be evacuated and the CO₂ system returned to its normal condition.
- b. With one or more of the above required low pressure CO₂ systems inoperable, within 1 hour establish a continuous fire watch with backup fire suppression equipment for those areas in which redundant safe shutdown systems or components could be damaged; for other areas ensure that back-up fire suppression equipment is available and establish an hourly fire watch patrol. Restore the system to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the system to OPERABLE status.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.3 Each of the above required low pressure CO₂ systems shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 7 days by verifying the CO₂ storage tank level to be \geq 50% and pressure to be \geq 285 psig, and
- b. At least once per 18 months by verifying:
 - 1. The system values, associated ventilation dampers and self closing fire doors actuate manually and automatically, upon receipt of a simulated actuation signal, and
 - 2. Flow from each nozzle during a "Puff Test".

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

3/4 7-47

5

Å,

×. .

÷

4 4

je te

1 * '

\$-,

影响

H '

¢

,

,

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

5

.

4

9 . .

¥

ø

***{* , et :

••

•

6

PLANT SYSTEMS

HALON SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.4 The Halon system located in the Unit 1 Control Room Cable Spreading Area shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: Whenever equipment in the Halon protected areas is required to be OPERABLE.

ACTION:

- a. With the above required Halon system inoperable, within 1 hour verify that the fire detection system and the backup CO₂ fire suppression system are OPERABLE; otherwise, establish a continuous fire watch with backup fire suppression equipment for the Control Room Cable Spreading Area. Restore the system to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the system to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification. 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.4 The above required Halon system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- At least once per 6 months by verifying each Halon storage tank to be ≥ 95% of full charge weight and to be ≥ 90% of full charge pressure.
- b. At least once per 18 months by:
 - Verifying the system, including associated ventilation dampers, actuates manually and automatically to a simulated test signal.
 - 2. Performance of an air flow test or CO₂ puif test through beaders and nozzles to assure no blockage.

.7 · * * * 13 24 7 ۶١ • 下の

174 174

р 1.16

• ..

i.

v.

, č あれる 第4 ~ i de PLANT STSTENS

FIRE HOSE STATIONS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.5 The fire hose standpipe connections in the following locations shall be OPERABLE:

- 1. Auxiliary Building (minimum 12).
- 2. Access to Diesel Generators (minimum 1).
- 3. Access to Switchgear Rooms (minimum 1).
- 4. Access to Control Room (minimum 1).

APPLICABILITY: Whenever equipment in the areas protected by the fire hose stations is required to be OPERABLE.

ACTION:

- a. With one or more of the above required hose standpipe connections inoperable, route an additional equivalent capacity fire hose to the unprotected area(s) from an OPERABLE hose standpipe connection within 1 hour if the inoperable fire hose is the primary means of fire suppression; otherwise, route the additional hose within 24 hours. Restore the fire hose station to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability, and plans and schedule for restoring the station to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.5 Each of the above required fire hose standpipe connections shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days by a visual inspection of the fire hose standpipe connections to assure all required equipment is at the connection.
- b. At least once per 18 months by:
 - 1. Removing the bose for inspection and re-racking, and 2. Replacement of all degraded gaskets in couplings.
- c At least once per 3 years by:
 - 1. Partially opening each hose standpipe connection valve to verify valve OPERABILITY and no flow blockage.
 - 2. Conducting a hose hydroscatic cest at a pressure at least 50 psig greater than the maximum pressure available at that hose standpipe connection.

D C COOK - UNIT 1

<u>م</u> م

. -. . .

.,

•

, •y 4 [−] • • يو م ب 3 5 0 g 6 I

2¹⁴ 4

• 18 µ

8

.

1

т •**4**, · .

11 12 **1**2

,

بها ري

4 ¥

1). 25'

177

8. j

e

1

. 87. 4

e .

+

•

ı

PLANT STSTERS

3/4.7.10 FIRE RATED ASSEMELIES

LINITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.10 All fire rated assemblies (walls, floor/ceilings, cable tray enclosures) separating safety related fire areas or separating portions of redundant systems important to safe shutdown within a fire area and all sealing devices in fire rated assembly penetrations (fire doors, fire dampers, cable and piping penetration seals, and ventilation seals) shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACT ION:

- a. With one or more of the above required fire rated assemblies and/or sealing devices inoperable, within one hour either establish a continuous fire watch on at least one side of the affected assembly, or verify the OPERABILITY of fire detectors per Specification 4.3.3.7 on at least one side of the inoperable assembly and establish an hourly fire watch patrol or secure in the closed position the inoperable sealing device⁴ and establish an hourly fire watch patrol. Restore the inoperable fire rated assembly and sealing device to OPERABLE status within 7 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperable fire rated assembly and/or sealing device to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVE ILLANCE REQUIRENENTS

4.7.10.1 At least once per 18 nonths the above required fire rated assemblies and penetration sealing devices shall be verified OPERABLE by:

- a. Performing a visual inspection of the exposed surfaces of each fire rated assemblies: -
- b. Performing a visual inspection of each fire damper and associated hardware.

Except fire doors on Turbine Oriven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump and Hallway enclosures which cannot be locked closed for HELB considerations.

D C COOK - UNIT 1

Amendment No.

24

ŕ

-ši - ,

• /

1 J

••

8

si.

, ,

۰.

と言語を言うの大学の

大部計行 2.... 1....

5

19

۶.

Hall.

49

ци 1-4 ${\bf Q}^{\dagger}$ ζ_{P} ļ

રુ.' જ

j, ł

REFUELING OPERATIONS

STORAGE POOL VENTILATION SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9.12 The spent fuel storage pool exhaust ventilation system shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: Whenever irradiated fuel is in the stroage pool.

ACTION:

- a. With no fuel storage pool exhaust ventilation system OPERABLE, suspend all operations involving movement of fuel within the storage pool or crane operation with loads over the storage pool until at least one spent fuel storage pool exhaust ventilation system is restored to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.12 The above required fuel storage pool ventilation system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days by initiating flow through the HEPA filter and charcoal adsorber train and verifying that the train operates for at least 15 minutes.
- b. At least once per 18 months or (1) after any structural maintenance on the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber housings, or (2) following painting, fire or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system, by:
 - 1. Deleted.
 - 2. Verifying that the charcoal adsorbers remove greater than or equal to 99% of a halogenated hydrocarbon refrigerant test gas when they are tested in-place in accordance with ANSI N510-1980 while operating the exhaust ventilation system at a flow rate of 30,000 cfm + 10%.
- * The crane bay roll-up door and the drumming room roll-up door may be opened under administrative control during movement of fuel within the storage pool or crane operation with loads over the storage pool.

**Shared system with Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 2.

+ This does not include the main load block. For purposes of this specification, a deenergized main load block need not be considered a load.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

3/4 9-13

AMENDMENT NO.

「お」の

ĸ × ү я-К ¥ ર્કના સંગટ

.

ام د 1

5 34

.". d

۴

, ٠ ų.

4

With the second , प्र भ 11 · · ·

#

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

COSE

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.1.2 The dose or dose commitment to an individual from radioactive material in liquid effluents released to unrestricted areas (see Figure 5.1-3) shall be limited:

- a. During any calendar quarter to ≤ 1.5 mrem to the total body and to $\leq \text{fmrem}$ to any organ, and
- b. During any calendar year to ≤ 3 mrem to the total body and to ≤ 10 mrem to any organ.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the calculated dose from the release of radioactive materials in liquid effluents exceeding any of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause (s) for exceeding the limit(s) and defines the corrective actions taken to reduce the releases and the proposed corrective actions to be taken to assure that subsequent releases will be within the above limits. This Special Report shall also include (1) the results of radiological analyses of the drinking water source, and (2) the radiological impacts on finished drinking water supplies with regard to the requirements of 40 CFR 141, Safe Drinking Water Act. (Applicable only if drinking water supply is taken from the receiving water body.)
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.1.2 <u>Dose Calculations</u>. Cumulative dose contributions from liquid effluents shall be determined in accordance with the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) at least once per 31 days.
12 23

- **A**... F... F... F... F... F... F...

к 1

- **L**

к^і 1

*

I.

. اد

and the second sec

.

t v ي ده . د د کمه به مرد می مرد می مرد که در محمد به مرد مرد مرد مرد م

t

. .

čŧ ŗŧ

4

. .

23

Radioactive Effluents

Liquid Waste Treatment

Limiting Condition For Operation

3.11.1.3 The liquid radwaste treatment system shall be used to reduce the radioactive materials in liquid wastes prior to their discharge when the projected doses due to the liquid effluent from the sita (see Figure 5.1-3) when averaged over 31 days, would exceed 0.06 mrem to the total body or 0.2 mrem to any organ.

Apolicability: At all times.

Action:

- a. With radioactive liquid waste being discharged without treatment and in excess of the above limits, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days pursuant to Specification 6:9.2 a Special Report which includes the following information:
 - 1. Identification of the inoperable equipment or subsystems and the reason for inoperability,
 - 2. Action(s) taken to restore the inoperable equipment to operable status, and
 - 3. Summary description of action(s) taken to prevent recurrence.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

Surveillance Requirements

4.11.1.3 Doses due to liquid releases to UNRESTRICTED AREAS shall be projected at least once per 31 days, in accordance with the ODCM, whenever liquid releases are being made without being processed by the liquid radwaste treatment system.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

3/4 11-5

. .

5

2 iu 1 iu

かるない

₽ **j**

(

·

.

Radioactive Effluents

Liquid Holdup Tanks*

Limiting Condition For Operation

3.11.1.4 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each of the following tanks shall be limited to less than or equal to 10 curies, excluding tritium and dissolved or entrained noble gases. a. Outside temporary tanks.

Apolicability: At all times.

Action:

- a. With the quantity of radioactive material in any of the above listed tanks exceeding the above limit, without delay suspend all additions of radioactive material to the tank and within 48 hours reduce the tank contents to within the limit.
- b. The provisions of Specification s 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

Surveillance Requirements

4.11.1.4 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each of the above listed tanks shall be determined to be within the above limit by analyzing a representative sample of the tank's contents at least once per 7 days when radioactive materials are being added to the tank.

1

* Tanks included in this Specification are those outdoor tanks that are not surrounded by liners, dikes, or walls capable of holding the tank contents and that do not have tank overflows and surrounding area drains connected to the liquid radwaste treatment system.

44 15 şď **-1** 6

\$-1 ભા

•

6 , - **, 6** . . .

47 X

ar R ٩t. ş.,

e

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

DOSE, NOBLE GASES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.2 The air dose in UNRESTRICTED AREAS due to noble gases released in gaseous effluents shall be limited to the following:

- a. During any calendar quarter, to \leq 5 mrad for gamma radiation and \leq 10 mrad for beta radiation;
- b. During any calendar year, to ≤ 10 mrad for gamma radiation and ≤ 20 mrad for beta radiation.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTICN:

- a. With the calculated air dose from radioactive noble gases in gaseous effluents exceeding any of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause(s) for exceeding the limit(s) and defines the corrective actions to be taken to reduce the releases and the proposed corrective actions to be taken to assure that subsequent releases will be within the above limits.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.2 <u>Dose Calculations</u> Cumulative dose contributions for the total time period shall be determined in accordance with the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) at least once every 31 days.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

3/4 11-10

,

.

卢赵

4) 0

• 1 .

三字商業

4

•

ŕ ۹ -, 重要目

からい たれてい

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

DOSE, RADIOIODINES, RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL IN PARTICULATE FORM, AND RADIONUCLIDES OTHER THAN NOBLE GASES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.3 The dose to A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC from radioiodine, radioactive materials in particulate form, and radionuclides other than noble gases with half-lives greater than 8 days in gaseous effluents released to unrestricted areas shall be limited to the following:

- a. During any calendar quarter to less than or equal to 7.5 mrem to any organ;
- b. During any calendar year to less than or equal to 15 mrem to any organ;
- c. Less than 0.1% of the 3.11.2.3 (a) and (b) limits as a result of burning contaminated oil.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the calculated dose from the release of radioiodines, radioactive materials in particulate form, or radionuclides other than noble gases in gaseous effluents exceeding any of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause(s) for exceeding the limit and defines the corrective actions taken to reduce the releases and the proposed corrective action to be taken to assure that subsequent release will be within the above limits.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.3 <u>DOSE CALCULATIONS</u> Cumulative dose contributions for the total time period shall be determined in accordance with the ODCM at least once every 31 days.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

. . . , 1 4

ı

- 10

4

いい ちゅうせい ない

٠,

- 1 a . . .
- と 試: ゴ.

8

h 1

1. . . . а Ф .

.

A

Å. re:

-

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

GASEOUS RADWASTE TREATMENT

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.4 The gaseous radwaste treatment system and the ventilation exhaust treatment system shall be used to reduce the radioactive materials in gaseous waste prior to their discharge when the projected gaseous effluent air doses due to gaseous effluent releases to unrestricted areas (See Figure 5.1.3) when averaged over 31 days, would exceed 0.2 mrad for gamma radiation and 0.4 mrad for beta radiation. The ventilation exhaust treatment system shall be used to reduce radioactive materials in gaseous waste prior to their discharge when the projected doses due to gaseous effluent releases to unrestricted areas (See Figure 5.1-3) when averaged over 31 days would exceed 0.3 mrem to any organ.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With gaseous waste being discharged without treatment and in excess of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which includes the following information:
 - 1. Identification of the inoperable equipment or subsystems and the reason for inoperability.
 - Action(s) taken to restore the inoperable equipment to operable status.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.4 Doses due to gaseous releases to UNRESTRICTED AREAS shall be projected at least once per 31 days in accordance with the ODCM, whenever the gaseous waste treatment system or ventilation exhaust treatment system is not operational.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

3/4 11-12

* *** *** .'

91⊧ 91⊧

ት እ

• آ ^مينو

ı

.

ı

•

٠

4

5 W 15 W 4

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

EXPLOSIVE GAS MIXTURE

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.5 The concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system shall be limited to ≤ 3 % by volume if the hydrogen in the system is ≥ 4 % by volume.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system > 3% by volume but \leq 4% by volume and containing \geq 4% hydrogen, restore the concentration of oxygen to \leq 3% or reduce the hydrogen concentration to < 4% within 96 hours.
- b. With the concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system or tank > 4% by volume and > 4% hydrogen by volume without delay suspend all additions of waste gases to the system or tank and reduce the concentration of oxygen to ≤ 3 % or the concentration of hydrogen to ≤ 4 % within 96 hours in the system or tank.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.5 The concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system shall be determined to within the above limits by continuously monitoring the waste gases in the waste gas holdup system with the oxygen monitors required OPERABLE by Table 3.3-13 of Specification 3.3.3.10.

3/4 11-13

641 1994

. . [#

--

. .

· · **.**. .

, ,

: :: :: ь

٢.

•

-

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

GAS STORAGE TANKS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.6 The quantity of radioactivity contained in each gas storage tank shall be limited to 43,800 curies noble gas (considered as Xe-133).

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the quantity of radioactive material in any gas storage tank exceeding the above limit, without delay suspend all additions of radioactive material to the tank and within 48 hours reduce the tank contents to within the limit.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.6 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each gas storage tank shall be determined to be within the above limit at least once per 4 days by analysis of the Reactor Coolant System noble gases.

ā, 帅 ¥, 2

2. 53-

A STATE

8

14.11 å 1917, 411, \$,



۰,

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

3/4.11.3 SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.3 The solid radwaste system shall be used as applicable in accordance with a PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM for the SOLIDIFICATION and packaging of radioactive wastes to ensure meeting the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and of 10 CFR Part 71 prior to shipment of radioactive wastes from the site.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the packaging requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and/or 10 CFR Part 71 not satisfied, suspend shipments of defectively packaged solid radioactive wastes from the site.
- b. With the solid radwaste system inoperable for more than 31 days, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 a Special Report which includes the following information:
 - 1. Identification of the inoperable equipment or subsystems and the reason for inoperability,
 - 2. Action(s) taken to restore the inoperable equipment to operable status,
 - 3. A description of the alternative used for SOLIDIFICATION and packaging of radioactive wastes, and
 - 4. Summary description of action(s) taken to prevent a recurrence.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

3/4 11-15

. ** и 1 г () () () () 博学言

「ない ٠

\$1 -

L

,

ن ي ا ر ۲ **77** 's

1 % 151 , " .

, v ^s .

د ، **)** 1 i

.

ेन इन्द्र इन्द्र

~~~

٠

.

ų

4

7\*

计读号 异子

### RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

3/4 11.4 TOTAL DOSE

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.4 The dose or dose commitment to a real individual from all uranium fuel cycle sources is limited to  $\leq 25$  mrem to the total body or any organ (except the thyroid, which is limited to  $\leq 75$  mrem) over a period of 12 consecutive months.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

a. With the calculated doses from the release of radioactive materials in liquid or gaseous effluents exceeding twice the limits of Specification 3.11.1.2.a, 3.11.1.2.b, 3.11.2.2.a, 3.11.2.2.b, 3.11.2.3.a, or 3.11.2.3.b. in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Director, Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D. C. 20555, within 30 days, which defines the corrective action to be taken to reduce subsequent releases to prevent recurrence of exceeding the limits of Specification 3.11.4. This Special Report shall include an analysis which estimates the radiation exposure (dose) to a member of the public from uranium fuel cycle sources (including all effluent pathways and direct radiation) for a 12 consecutive month period that includes the release(s) covered by this report. If the estimated dose(s) exceeds the limits of Specification 3.11.4, and if the release condition resulting in violation of 40 CFR 190 has not already been corrected. the Special Report shall include a request for a variance in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 190 and including the specified information of \$ 190.11(b). Submittal of the report is considered a timely · request, and a variance is granted until staff action on the request is complete. The variance only relates to the limits of 40 CFR 190, and does not apply in any way to the requirements for dose limitation of 10 CFR Part 20, as addressed in other sections of this Technical Specification.

b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.4 <u>Dose Calculations</u> Cumulative dose contributions from liquid and gaseous affluents shall be determined in accordance with Specifications 4.11.1.2, 4.11.2.2, and 4.11.2.3 and with the OBCM.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

-

t.

うなだい

25 53

١.

- N. 1

٩:

ι

יז ø

1

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (CONTINUED)

- c. With milk or fresh leafy vegetable samples unavailable from any of the sample locations required by Table 3.12-1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause of the unavailability of samples and identifies locations for obtaining replacement samples. The locations from which samples were unavailable may then be deleted from Table 3.12-1 provided the locations from which the replacement samples were obtained are added to the environmental monitoring program as replacement locations, if available.
- d. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.12.1 The radiological environmental monitoring samples shall be collected pursuant to Table 3.12-1 from the locations given in the table and figures in the ODCM and shall be analyzed pursuant to the requirements of Table 3.12-1 and 4.12-1.



以送室出 之

म

7 24 . . ۰. . t bex •



л. 1919 - 19



142

÷ ~

· Alter and a second

**n** a

ų

.

3

\* あたまないます

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

### 3/4.12.2 LAND USE CENSUS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.12.2 A land use census shall be conducted and shall identify the location of the nearest milk animal, the nearest residence and the nearest garden\* of greater than 500 square feet producing fresh leafy vegetables in each of the 9 land covering meterological sectors within a distance of five miles.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With a land use cansus identifying a location(s) which yields a calculated dose or dose commitment greater than the values currently being calculated in Specification 4.11.2.3, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the new location(s).
- b. With a land use census identifying a location(s) which yields a calculated dose or dose commitment (via the same exposure pathway) 20 percent dreater than at a location from which samples are currently being obtained in accordance with Specification 3.12.1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the new location. The new location shall be added to the radiological environmental monitoring program within 30 days, if possible. The sampling location having the lowest calculated dose or dose commitment (via the same exposure pathway) may be deleted from this monitoring program after (October 31) of the year in which this land use census was conducted.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.12.2. The land use census shall be conducted at least once per 12 months between the dates of June 1 and October 1, by door-to-door survey, aerial survey, or by consulting local agriculture authorities.

Broad leaf vegetation sampling may be performed at the site boundary in the direction sector with the highest D/Q in lieu of the garden cansus.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 1

•

¥') <sup>2</sup>44

1.0 }\_ и - - - - - -

. \* • а 4

a **\*\***\*

. . 4 . r

.

· · · •

. . , · · · 

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

### 3/4 12.3 INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.12.3 Analyses shall be performed on radioactive materials supplied as part of an Interlaboratory Comparison Program which has been approved by the Commission.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With analyses not being performed as required above, report the corrective actions taken to prevent a recurrence to the Commission in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.12.3 A summary of the results obtained as part of the above required Interlaboratory Comparison Program and in accordance with the ODCM (or participants in the EPA crosscheck program shall provide the EPA program code designation for the unit) shall be included in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report.

4 ÷.,

**3**. 27

<u>с</u>.

3

2

e

÷

• A . . . N. A. p. . , ¥

г, ц

zù √, m ∽ .

| k  |               |        |  |
|----|---------------|--------|--|
|    |               |        |  |
|    |               |        |  |
| ٥. |               | ,<br>_ |  |
|    | _Ann ∰i,ai ea | 4      |  |

| <b>K</b> 3 | <u>ن</u> ``                           |
|------------|---------------------------------------|
|            | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 15         |                                       |
| 191        | ¥                                     |
| v,         |                                       |
| ¥.         | *3                                    |
|            |                                       |
|            |                                       |

,

3/4 LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION

3.0.1 Compliance with the Limiting Conditions for Operation contained in the succeeding specifications is required during the OPERATIONAL MODES or other conditions specified therein; except that upon failure to meet the Limiting Conditions for Operation, the associated ACTION requirements shall be met.

3.0.2 Noncompliance with a specification shall exist when the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation and associated ACTION requirements are not met within the specified time intervals. If the Limiting Condition for Operation is restored prior to expiration of the specified time intervals, completion of the ACTION requirements is not required.

3.0.3 When a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met, except as provide in the associated ACTION requirements, within 1 hour action shall be initiated to place it, as applicable, in:

- a. At least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours,
- b. At least HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours, and
- c. At least COLD SHUTDOWN within the subsequent 24 hours.

Where corrective measures are completed that permit operation under the ACTION requirements, the action may be taken in accordance with the specified time limits as measured from the time of failure to meet the Limiting Condition for Operation. Exceptions to these requirements are stated in the individual specifications.

This specification is not applicable in MODES 5 or 6.

3.0.4 Entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition shall not be made when the conditions for the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met and the associated ACTION requires a shutdown if they are not met within a specified time interval. Entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or specified condition may be made in accordance with ACTION requirements when conformance to them permits continued operation of the facility for an unlimited period of time. This provision shall not prevent passage through or to OPERATIONAL MODES as required to comply with ACTION requirements. Exceptions to these requirements are stated in the individual specifications.

3/4 0-1

- ú

c

- ٩ • ~
- ?
- **'**¥
- - <u>, </u>

ŗ.

- \$ 11 #
  - - 8

ы

,

٠

```

۰.

4

33

12

3

- ا 🚓 ا

### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.0.5 When a system, subsystem, train, component or device is determined to be inoperable solely because its emergency power source is inoperable, or solely because its normal power source is inoperable, it may be considered OPERABLE for the purpose of satisfying the requirements of its applicable Limiting Condition for Operation, provided: (1) its corresponding normal or emergency power source is OPERABLE; and (2) all of its redundant system(s), subsystem(s), train(s), component(s) and device(s) are OPERABLE, or likewise satisfy the requirements of this specification. Unless both conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied, within 2 hours action shall be initiated to place the unit in a MODE in which the applicable Limiting Condition for Operation does not apply by placing it as applicable in:

- 1. At least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours,
- 2. At least HOT SHUTDOWN within the following 6 hours, and
- 3. At least COLD SHUTDOWN within the subsequent 24 hours.

This Specification is not applicable in MODES 5 or 6.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.0.1 Surveillance Requirements shall be met during the OPERATIONAL MODES or other conditions specified for individual Limiting Conditions for Operation unless otherwise stated in an individual Surveillance Requirement.

4.0.2 Each Surveillance Requirement shall be performed within the specified time interval with:

- a. A maximum allowable extension not to exceed 25% of the surveillance interval, but
- b. The combined time interval for any three consecutive surveillance intervals shall not exceed 3.25 times the specified surveillance interval.

4.0.3 Failure to perform a Surveillance Requirement within the allowed surveillance interval, defined by Specification 4.0.2, shall constitute noncompliance with the OPERABILITY requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation. (This does not apply to surveillances that have been delayed per exemption to Specification 4.0.4.) The time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at the time it is identified that a Surveillance Requirement has not been performed. The ACTION requirements may be delayed for up to 24 hours to permit completion of the surveillance when the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements are less than 24 hours. Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed on inoperable equipment.

4.0.4 Entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition shall not be made unless the Surveillance Requirement(s) associated with a Limiting Condition of Operation has been performed within the stated surveillance interval or as otherwise specified. This provision shall not prevent passage through or to OPERATIONAL MODES as required to comply with ACTION requirements.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

AMENDMENT NO.

сņ

۲

ря <sup>1</sup> ...

4.57 4.57

.

12 1

,

• ¥٢ ,

, ۰ ۱۰

7 ne. 1

۲

ł

۹۲ ۲

•

7. 2

4

۴ı

, M

.

APPLICABILITY

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.0.5 Surveillance Requirements for inservice inspection and testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components shall be applicable as follows:

- a. Inservice inspection of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components and inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda as required by 10 CFR 50, Section 50.55a(g), except where specific written relief has been granted by the Commission pursuant to 10 CFR 50, Section 50.55a(g)(6)(1).
- b. Surveillance intervals specified in Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda for the inservice inspection and testing activities required by the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda shall be applicable as follows in these Technical Specifications:

| ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel<br>Code and applicable Addenda<br>terminology for inservice<br>inspection and testing activities | Required frequencies<br>for performing inservice<br>inspection and testing<br>activities |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Weekly                                                                                                                           | At least once per 7 days                                                                 |  |  |
| Monthly                                                                                                                          | At least once per 31 days                                                                |  |  |
| Quarterly or every 3 months                                                                                                      | At least once per 92 days                                                                |  |  |
| Semiannually or every 6 months                                                                                                   | At least once per 184 days                                                               |  |  |
| Every 9 months                                                                                                                   | At least once per 104 days                                                               |  |  |
| Yearly or annually                                                                                                               | At least once per 366 days                                                               |  |  |

- c. The provisions of Specification 4.0.2 are applicable to the above required frequencies for performing inservice inspection and testing activities.
- d. Performance of the above inservice inspection and testing activities shall be in addition to other specified Surveillance Requirements.
- e. Nothing in the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code shall be construed to supersede the requirements of any Technical Specification.
- 4.0.6 By specific reference to this section, those surveillances which must be performed on or before March 31, 1986, and are designated as 18-month surveillances (or required as outage-related surveillances under the provisions of Specification 4.0.5) may be delayed until the end of the refueling outage scheduled to begin on or before February 28, 1986. For these specific surveillances under this section, the specified time intervals required by Specification 4.0.2 will be determined with the new initiation date established by the surveillance date during the Unit 2 1986 refueling outage.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

Amendment No.

1

ł

6 e

. ·

### APPLICABILITY

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.0.7 By specific reference to this section, those surveillances which must be performed on or before July 1, 1988 and are designated as 18-month surveillances (or required as outage-related surveillances under the provisions of Specification 4.0.5) may be delayed until the end of the cycle 6-7 refueling outage (currently scheduled to begin during the latter part of the second quarter of 1988). For these specific surveillances under this section, the specified time intervals required by Specification 4.0.2 will be determined with the new initiation date established by the surveillance date during the Unit 2 1988 refueling outage.

### 3/4 LIMITING CONDITIONS FOR OPERATION AND SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

### BASES

<u>Specification 3.0.1 through 3.0.4</u> establish the general requirements applicable to Limiting Conditions for Operation. These requirements are based on the requirements for Limiting Conditions for Operation stated in the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(2):

"Limiting conditions for operation are the lowest functional capability or performance levels of equipment required for safe operation of the facility. When a limiting condition for operation of a nuclear reactor is not met, the licensee shall shut down the reactor or follow any remedial action permitted by the technical specification until the condition can be met."

<u>Specification 3.0.1</u> establishes the Applicability statement within each individual specification as the requirement for when (i.e., in which OPERATIONAL MODES or other specified conditions) conformance to the Limiting Conditions for Operation is required for safe operation of the facility. The ACTION requirements establish those remedial measures that must be taken within specified time limits when the requirements of a Limiting Condition for Operation are not met.

There are two basic types of ACTION requirements. The first specifies the remedial measures that permit continued operation of the facility which is not further restricted by the time limits of the ACTION requirements. In this case, conformance to the ACTION requirements provides an acceptable level of safety for unlimited continued operation as long as the ACTION requirements continue to be met. The second type of ACTION requirement specifies a time limit in which conformance to the conditions of the Limiting Condition for Operation must be met. This time limit is the allowable outage time to restore an inoperable system or component to OPERABLE status or for restoring parameters within specified limits. If these actions are not completed within the allowable outage time limits, a shutdown is required to place the facility in a MODE or condition in which the specification no longer applies. It is not intended that the shutdown ACTION requirements be used as an operational convenience which permits (routine) voluntary removal of a system(s) or component(s) from service in lieu of other alternatives that would not result in redundant systems or components being inoperable.

The specified time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable from the point in time it is identified that a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met. The time limits of the ACTION requirements are also applicable when a system or component is removed from service for surveillance testing or investigation of operational problems. Individual specifications may include a specified time limit for the completion of a Surveillance Requirement when equipment is removed from service. In this case, the allowable outage time

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

## . ۲ 6 いていたのです。

Reading for the South of

ţî.

· .

۲ ۲

÷ a**' a**'a'

÷

ı

.

e

÷

9

1 - A • 新学、 子 英

٩

通探をから

, b

### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

### BASES (Continued)

limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable when this limit expires if the surveillance has not been completed. When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the plant may have entered a MODE in which a new specification becomes applicable. In this case, the time limits of the ACTION requirements would apply from the point in time that the new specification becomes applicable if the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met.

<u>Specification 3.0.2</u> establishes that noncompliance with a specification exists when the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met and the associated ACTION requirements have not been implemented within the specified time interval. The purpose of this specification is to clarify that (1) implementation of the ACTION requirements within the specified time interval constitutes compliance with a specification and (2) completion of the remedial measures of the ACTION requirements is not required when compliance with a Limiting Condition of Operation is restored within the time interval specified in the associated ACTION requirements.

Specification 3.0.3 establishes the shutdown ACTION requirements that must be implemented when a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met and the condition is not specifically addressed by the associated ACTION requirements. The purpose of this specification is to delineate the time limits for placing the unit in a safe shutdown MODE when plant operation cannot be maintained within the limits for safe operation defined by the Limiting Conditions for Operation and its ACTION requirements. It is not intended to be used as an operational convenience which permits (routine) voluntary removal of redundant systems or components from service in lieu of other alternatives that would not result in redundant systems or components being inoperable. One hour is allowed to prepare for an orderly shutdown before initiating a change in plant This time permits the operator to coordinate the reduction in operation. electrical generation with the load dispatcher to ensure the stability and availability of the electrical grid. The time limits specified to reach lower MODES of operation permit the shutdown to proceed in a controlled and orderly manner that is well within the specified maximum cooldown rate and within the cooldown capabilities of the facility assuming only the minimum required equipment is OPERABLE. This reduces thermal stresses on components of the primary coolant system and the potential for a plant upset that could challenge safety systems under conditions for which this specification applies.

If remedial measures permitting limited continued operation of the facility under the provisions of the ACTION requirements are completed, the shutdown may be terminated. The time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable from the point in time there was a failure to meet a Limiting Condition for Operation. Therefore, the shutdown may be terminated if the ACTION requirements have been met or the time limits of the ACTION requirements have not expired, thus providing an allowance for the completion of the required actions.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

## and the second sec

\*,

「おおな変化の、

۰.,

;

,

хњ •7

ŋ,

Ļ

.

214

'n, ъ.

.

やきかん 海豚、 豪勢 「「「「な鉄橋部門」」」、

.
#### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

#### BASES (Continued)

The time limits of Specification 3.0.3 allow 37 hours for the plant to be in the COLD SHUTDOWN MODE when a shutdown is required during the POWER MODE of operation. If the plant is in a lower MODE of operation when a shutdown is required, the time limit for reaching the next lower MODE of operation applies. However, if a lower MODE of operation is reached in less time than allowed, the total allowable time to reach COLD SHUTDOWN, or other applicable MODE, is not reduced. For example, if HOT STANDBY is reached in 2 hours, the time allowed to reach HOT SHUTDOWN is the next 11 hours because the total time to reach HOT SHUTDOWN is not reduced from the allowable limit of 13 hours. Therefore, if remedial measures are completed that would permit a return to POWER operation, a penalty is not incurred by having to reach a lower MODE of operation in less than the total time allowed.

The same principle applies with regard to the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements, if compliance with the ACTION requirements for one specification results in entry into a MODE or condition of operation for another specification in which the requirements of the Limiting Condition for Operation are not met. If the new specification becomes applicable in less time than specified, the difference may be added to the allowable outage time limits of the second specification. However, the allowable outage time limits of ACTION requirements for a higher MODE of operation may not be used to extend the allowable outage time that is applicable when a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met in a lower MODE of operation.

The shutdown requirements of Specification 3.0.3 do not apply in MODES 5 and 6, because the ACTION requirements of individual specifications define the remedial measures to be taken.

Specification 3.0.4 establishes limitations on MODE changes when a Limiting Condition for Operation is not met. It precludes placing the facility in a higher MODE of operation when the requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation are not met and continued noncompliance to these conditions would result in a shutdown to comply with the ACTION requirements if a change in MODES were permitted. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that facility operation is not initiated or that higher MODES of operation are not entered when corrective action is being taken to obtain compliance with a specification by restoring equipment to OPERABLE status or parameters to specified limits. Compliance with ACTION requirements that permit continued operation of the facility for an unlimited period of time provides an acceptable level of safety for continued operation without regard to the status of the plant before or after a MODE change. Therefore, in this case, entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition may be made in accordance with the provisions of the ACTION requirements. The provisions of this specification should not, however, be interpreted as endorsing the failure to exercise good practice in restoring systems or components to OPERABLE status before plant startup.

**د** الم 4. \$ φ 4 ۰<sup>1</sup>, . 7/ 8 ~a. 1., · . が変換す . . ۰,

,

,

٠

.

,

#### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

#### BASES (Continued)

When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the provisions of Specification 3.0.4 do not apply because they would delay placing the facility in a lower MODE of operation.

3.0.5 This specification delineates what additional conditions must be satisfied to permit operation to continue, consistent with the ACTION statements for power sources, when a normal or emergency power source is not OPERABLE. It specifically prohibits operation when one division is inoperable because its normal or emergency power source is inoperable and a system, subsystem, train, component or device in another division is inoperable for another reason.

The provisions of this specification permit the ACTION statements associated with individual systems, subsystems, trains, components, or devices to be consistent with the ACTION statements of the associated electrical power source. It allows operation to be governed by the time limits of the ACTION statement associated with the Limiting Condition for Operation for the normal or emergency power source, not the individual ACTION statements for each system, subsystem, train, component or device that is determined to be inoperable solely because of the inoperability of its normal or emergency power source.

For example, Specification 3.8.1.1 requires in part that two emergency diesel generators be OPERABLE. The ACTION statement provides for a 72 hour out-ofservice time when one emergency diesel generator is not OPERABLE. If the definition of OPERABLE were applied without consideration of Specification 3.0.5, all systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices supplied by the inoperable emergency power source would also be inoperable. This would dictate invoking the applicable ACTION statements for each of the applicable Limiting Conditions for Operation. However, the provisions of Specification 3.0.5 permit the time limits for continued operation to be consistent with the ACTION statement for the inoperable emergency diesel generator instead, provided the other specified conditions are satisfied. In this case, this would mean that the corresponding normal power source must be OPERABLE, and all redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components, and devices must be OPERABLE, or otherwise satisfy Specification 3.0.5 (i.e., be capable of performing their design function and have at least one normal or one emergency power source OPERABLE). If they are not satisfied, action is required in accordance with this specification.

As a further example, Specification 3.8.1.1 requires in part that two physic cally independent circuits between the offsite transmission network and the onsite Class IE distribution system be OPERABLE. The ACTION statement provides a 24-hour out-of-service time when both required offsite circuits are not OPERABLE. If the definition of OPERABLE were applied without consideration of Specification 3.0.5, all systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

5

## And all share in the set of the

The second and the second of the

ť

٤.

Þ

151

.

\* 「「「「「」」」

٠

v

, 13 4 2

\$

۹

۰.

ь **•** •

.

.

[ชีย] • มา • เ

N.

#### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

#### BASES (Continued)

supplied by the inoperable normal power sources, both of the offsite circuits, would also be inoperable. This would dictate invoking the applicable ACTION statements for each of the applicable LCOs. However, the provisions of Specification 3.0.5 permit the time limits for continued operation to be consistent with the ACTION statement for the inoperable normal power sources instead, provided the other specified conditions are satisfied. In this case, this would mean that for one division the emergency power source must be OPERABLE (as must be the components supplied by the emergency power source) and all redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices in the other division must be OPERABLE, or likewise satisfy Specification 3.0.5 (i.e., be capable of performing their design functions and have an emergency power source OPERABLE). In other words, both emergency power sources must be OPERABLE and all redundant systems, subsystems, trains, components and devices in both divisions must also be OPERABLE. If these conditions are not satisfied, action is required in accordance with this specification.

In MODES 5 or 6, Specification 3.0.5 is not applicable, and thus the individual ACTION statements for each applicable Limiting Condition for Operation in these MODES must be adhered to.

<u>Specifications 4.0.1 through 4.0.5</u> establish the general requirements applicable to Surveillance Requirements. These requirements are based on the Surveillance Requirements stated in the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 50.36(c)(3):

"Surveillance requirements are requirements relating to test, calibration, or inspection to assure that the necessary quality of systems and components is maintained, that facility operation will be within the safety limits, and that the limiting conditions of operation will be met."

<u>Specification 4.0.1</u> establishes the requirement that surveillances must be performed during the OPERATIONAL MODES or other conditions for which the requirements of the Limiting Conditions for Operation apply unless otherwise stated in an individual Surveillance Requirement. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that surveillances are performed to verify the operational status of systems and components and that parameters are within specified limits to ensure safe operation of the facility when the plant is in a MODE or other specified condition for which the associated Limiting Conditions for Operation are applicable. Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed when the facility is in an OPERATIONAL MODE for which the requirements of the associated Limiting Condition for Operation do not apply unless otherwise specified. The Surveillance Requirements associated with a Special Test Exception are only applicable when the Special Test Exception is used as anallowable exception to the requirements of a specification.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

## い「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、「日本語」では、

**€**3

,

a

•

•

•

.

۲ ۲۰۰ ۱۲ ... ?

3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

BASES (Continued)

<u>Specification 4.0.2</u> establishes the conditions under which the specified time interval for Surveillance Requirements may be extended. Item a. permits an allowable extension of the normal surveillance interval to facilitate surveillance scheduling and consideration of plant operating conditions that may not be suitable for conducting the surveillance; e.g., transient conditions or other ongoing surveillance or maintenance activities. Item b. limits the use of the provisions of item a. to ensure that it is not used repeatedly to extend the surveillance interval beyond that specified. The limits of Specification 4.0.2 are based on engineering judgment and the recognition that the most probable result of any particular surveillance being performed is the verification of conformance with the Surveillance Requirements. These provisions are sufficient to ensure that the reliability ensured through surveillance activities is not significantly degraded beyond that obtained from the specified surveillance interval.

Specification 4.0.3 establishes the failure to perform a Surveillance Requirement within the allowed surveillance interval, defined by the provisions of Specification 4.0.2, as a condition that constitutes a failure to meet the OPERABILITY requirements for a Limiting Condition for Operation. Under the provisions of this specification, systems and components are assumed to be OPERABLE when Surveillance Requirements have been satisfactorily performed within the specified time interval. However, nothing in this provision is to be construed as implying that systems or components are OPERABLE when they are found or known to be inoperable although still meeting the Surveillance Requirements. This specification also clarifies that the ACTION requirements are applicable when Surveillance Requirements have not been completed within the allowed surveillance interval and that the time limits of the ACTION requirements apply from the point in time it is identified that a surveillance has not been performed and not at the time that the allowed surveillance interval was exceeded. Completion of the Surveillance Requirement within the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements restores compliance with the requirements of Specification 4.0.3. However, this does not negate the fact that the failure to have performed the surveillance within the allowed surveillance interval, defined by the provisions of Specification 4.0.2, was a violation of the OPERABILITY requirements of a Limiting Condition for Operation that is subject to enforcement action. Further, the failure to perform a surveillance within the provisions of Specification 4.0.2 is a violation of a Technical Specification requirement and is, therefore, a reportable event under the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) because it is a condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

### i 11 161 <sup>1</sup> \$\*\* \$2 \$2

A Start is a to the start

vet K

.

.

8 ి. క హి

.

4

1 S.

2) 7) r

#### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

#### BASES (Continued)

If the allowable outage time limits of the ACTION requirements are less than 24 hours or a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, e.g., Specification 3.0.3, a 24-hour allowance is provided to permit a delay in implementing the ACTION requirements. This provides an adequate time limit to complete Surveillance Requirements that have not been performed. The purpose of this allowance is to permit the completion of a surveillance before a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements or before other remedial measures would be required that may preclude completion of a surveillance. The basis for this allowance includes consideration for plant conditions, adequate planning, availability of personnel, the time required to perform the surveillance, and the safety significance of the delay in completing the required surveillance. This provision also provides a time limit for the completion of Surveillance Requirements that become applicable as a consequence of MODE changes imposed by ACTION requirements. If a surveillance is not completed within the 24-hour allowance, the time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at that time. When a surveillance is performed within the 24-hour allowance and the Surveillance Requirements are not met, the time limits of the ACTION requirements are applicable at the time that the surveillance is terminated. For surveillances that may be delayed per exemption to Specification 4.0.4, it is expected that the surveillances will be performed expeditiously after entering the applicable mode. However, it is recognized that plant conditions may, at times, cause greater than 24 hours to be required to complete the surveillance.

Surveillance Requirements do not have to be performed on inoperable equipment because the ACTION requirements define the remedial measures that apply. However, the Surveillance Requirements have to be met to demonstrate that inoperable equipment has been restored to OPERABLE status.

<u>Specification 4.0.4</u> establishes the requirement that all applicable surveillances must be met before entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other condition of operation specified in the Applicability statement. The purpose of this specification is to ensure that system and component OPERABILITY requirements or parameter limits are met before entry into a MODE or condition for which these systems and components ensure safe operation of the facility. This provision applies to changes in OPERATIONAL MODES or other specified conditions associated with plant shutdown as well as startup.

Under the provisions of this specification, the applicable Surveillance Requirements must be performed within the specified surveillance interval to ensure that the Limiting Conditions for Operation are met during initial plant startup or following a plant outage.

When a shutdown is required to comply with ACTION requirements, the provisions of Specification 4.0.4 do not apply because this would delay placing the facility in a lower MODE of operation.

p

۰. ••; n

÷ . 5

н Н

.

÷

ī

孝治 二番類 こうごうかい

. •

r

**, A** 

#### 3/4.0 APPLICABILITY

#### BASES (Continued)

Specification 4.0.5 establishes the requirement that inservice inspection of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components and inservice testing of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 pumps and valves shall be performed in accordance with a periodically updated version of Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and Addenda as required by 10 CFR 50.55a. These requirements apply except when relief has been provided in writing by the Commission.

This specification includes a clarification of the frequencies for performing the inservice inspection and testing activities required by Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda. This clarification is provided to ensure consistency in surveillance intervals throughout the Technical Specifications and to remove any ambiguities relative to the frequencies for performing the required inservice inspection and testing activities.

Under the terms of this specification, the more restrictive requirements of the Technical Specifications take precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda. The requirements of Specification 4.0.4 to perform surveillance activities before entry into an OPERATIONAL MODE or other specified condition takes precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code provision which allows pumps and valves to be tested up to one week after return to normal operation. The Technical Specification definition of OPERABLE does not allow a grace period before a component, that is not capable of performing its specified function, is declared inoperable and takes precedence over the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code provision which allows a valve to be incapable of performing its specified function for up to 24 hours before being declared inoperable.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

#### TABLE 3.3-1

#### REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

| FU | ACTIONAL UNIT                                | total no.<br><u>Of channels</u> | CHANNELS<br>TO TRIP | CHANNELS<br>OPERABLE | APPLICABLE                | ACTION |
|----|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Hanual Reactor Trip                          | 2                               | 1                   | 2                    | 1, 2 and $*$              | 12     |
| 2. | Pover Range, Neutron F                       | lux 4                           | 2                   | 3                    | 1, 2 and *                | 2      |
| 3. | Power Range, Neutron F<br>High Positive Rate | lux 4                           | 2                   | 3                    | 1, 2                      | 2      |
| 4. | Power Range, Neutron F<br>High Negative Rate | lux, 4                          | 2                   | 3                    | 1, 2                      | 2      |
| 5. | Intermediate Range,<br>Neutron Flux          | 2                               | 1                   | 2                    | 1; 2 and *                | 3      |
| 6. | Source Range, Neutron 3<br>A. Startup        | Flux 2                          | 1                   | 2                    | $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $*$ | 4      |
|    | B. Shutdown                                  | 2                               | 0                   | 1                    | 3, 4 and 5                | 5      |
| 7. | Overtemperature AT<br>Four Loop Operatio:    | n 4                             | 2                   | 3                    | 1, 2                      | 6      |
| 8. | Overpower AT<br>Four Loop Operation          | n 4                             | 2                   | 3                    | 1, 2                      | 6      |

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

3/4 3-2

...

AMENDMENT NO.

• · · · · · , -

. .

v

•

.

= 4

, · · · ·



. -- -- - .

#### REACTOR\_TRIP\_SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

| <u>FUN</u> | CTIONAL UNIT                                                            | TOTAL NO.<br>OF CHANNELS                                       | CHANNELS<br>TO TRIP                                                          | MINIMUM<br>CHANNELS<br>OPERABLE                                                                | APPLICABLE<br>MODES          | ACTION           |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 9.         | Pressurizer Pressure-Low                                                | 4                                                              | 2                                                                            | 3                                                                                              | 1, 2                         | 6                |
| 10.        | Pressurizer PressureHigh                                                | 4                                                              | 2                                                                            | 3 ·                                                                                            | 1, 2·                        | 6                |
| 11.        | Pressurizer Water LevelHigh                                             | 3                                                              | 2                                                                            | 2                                                                                              | 1, 2                         | 7 <sup>#</sup>   |
| 12.        | Loss of Flow - Single Loop<br>(Above P-8)                               | 3/loop                                                         | 2/loop in<br>any opera-<br>ting loop                                         | 2/loop in<br>each opera-<br>ting loop                                                          | l                            | 7 <sup>#</sup>   |
| 13.        | Loss of Flow - Two Loops<br>(Above P-7 and below P-8)                   | 3/loop                                                         | 2/loop in<br>two opera-<br>ting loops                                        | 2/loop in<br>each opera-<br>ting loop                                                          | l                            | 7 <sup>#</sup>   |
| 14.        | Steam Generator Water<br>LevelLow-Low                                   | 3/loop                                                         | 2/loop in<br>any opera-<br>ting loop                                         | 2/loop in<br>each opera<br>ting loop                                                           | · 1, 2<br>-                  | 7 <sup>#</sup>   |
| 15.        | Steam/Feedwater Flow<br>Mismatch and Low Steam<br>Generator Water Level | 2/loop-level<br>and<br>2/loop-flow<br>mismatch in<br>same loop | <pre>l/loop-level coincident    with l/loop-flow mismatch in same loop</pre> | l l/loop-lev<br>and<br>2/loop-flo<br>mismatch o<br>2/loop-lev<br>and<br>l/loop-flo<br>mismatch | el 1, 2<br>w<br>r<br>el<br>w | · 7 <sup>#</sup> |

2

#### TABLE 3.3-1 (Continued)

#### REACTOR TRIP SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

| <u>FUN</u> | CTIONAL UNIT                                                                                | TOTAL NO.<br>OF CHANNELS | CHANNELS<br>TO TRIP | CHANNELS<br>OPERABLE                              | APPLICABLE<br>MODES | ACTION              |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 16.        | Undervoltage-Reactor Coolant<br>Pumps                                                       | 4-1/bus                  | 2                   | 3                                                 | 1                   | 6                   |
| 17.        | Underfrequency-Reactor<br>Coolant Pumps                                                     | 4-1/bus                  | 2                   | 3                                                 | 1                   | 6                   |
| 18:        | Turbine Trip<br>A. Low Fluid Oil Pressure<br>B. Turbine Stop Valve Closure                  | 34                       | 2<br>4              | 2<br>3                                            | 1<br>1              | 7 <sup>#</sup><br>6 |
| 19.        | Safety Injection Input<br>from ESF                                                          | 2                        | l                   | 2                                                 | 1, 2                | 1                   |
| 20.        | Reactor Coolant Pump Breaker<br>Position Trip<br>A. Above P-8<br>B. Above P-7 and below P-8 | l/breaker<br>l/breaker   | 1<br>2              | l/breaker<br>l/breaker<br>per oper-<br>ating loop | 1<br>1              | 10<br>11            |
| 21.        | Reactor Trip Breakers                                                                       | 2                        | l                   | 2                                                 | 1, 2<br>3*,4*,5     | 1, 13<br>14         |
| 22.        | Automatic Trip Logic                                                                        | 2                        | l                   | 2                                                 | 1, 2<br>3*,4*,5     | 1<br>* 14           |



#### TABLE 3.3-3 (Continued)

#### ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURE ACTUATION SYSTEM INSTRUMENTATION

נ ג

100

| PUNC | TION          | IAL UNIT                                 | TOTAL NO.<br>OF CHANNELS | CHANNELS<br>TO TRIP            | MINIMUM<br>CHANNELS<br>OPERABLE | APPLICABLE<br>MODES | ACTION            |  |  |  |
|------|---------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 6.   | MOT           | MOTOR DRIVEN AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMPS   |                          |                                |                                 |                     |                   |  |  |  |
|      | a.            | Steam Generator Water<br>Level Low-Low   | 3/Stm. Gen.              | -2/Stm. Gen.<br>any Stm. Gen.  | 2/Stm. Gen.                     | 1, 2, 3             | 14.*              |  |  |  |
|      | b.            | 4 kv Bus<br>Loss of Voltage              | 2/Bus                    | 2/Bus                          | 2/Bus                           | 1, 2, 3             | · 14 <sup>*</sup> |  |  |  |
|      | c.            | Safety Injection                         | 2                        | 1                              | 2                               | 1, 2, 3             | 18*               |  |  |  |
|      | d.            | Loss of Main<br>Feedwater Pumps          | 2                        | 2.                             | 2                               | 1, 2                | 18*               |  |  |  |
| 7.   | TUR           | TURBINE DRIVEN AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMPS |                          |                                |                                 |                     |                   |  |  |  |
|      | a.            | Steam Generator Water<br>Level Low-Low   | 3/Stm. Gen.              | 2/Stm. Gen.<br>any 2 Stm. Gen. | 2/Stm. Gen.                     | 1, 2, 3             | 14*               |  |  |  |
|      | b.            | Reactor Coolant Pump<br>Bus Undervoltage | 4-1/Bus                  | 2                              | 3                               | 1, 2, 3             | 19                |  |  |  |
| 8.   | LOSS OF POWER |                                          |                          |                                |                                 |                     |                   |  |  |  |
|      | a.            | 4 kv Bus<br>Loss of Voltage              | 3/Bus                    | 2/Bus                          | 2/Bus                           | 1, 2, 3, 4          | 14                |  |  |  |
|      | b.            | 4 kv Вив<br>Degraded Voltage             | 3/Bus                    | 2/Bus                          | 2/Bus                           | 1, 2, 3, 4          | 14                |  |  |  |

# र्टन भ

1¥ 2;

199 11 5.

5

2

r

٠

¥

• • •

INSTRUMENTATION

METEOROLOGICAL INSTRUMENTATION\*

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.4 The meteorological monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-8 shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the number of OPERABLE meteorological monitoring channels less than required by Table 3.3-8, suspend all release of gaseous radioactive material from the radwaste gas decay tanks until the inoperable channel(s) is restored to OPERABLE status.
- b. With one or more required meteorological monitoring channels inoperable for more than 7 days, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 10 days outlining the cause of the malfunction and the plans for restoring the channel(s) to OPERABLE status.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

#### SURVEILLANCE\_REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.4 Each of the above meteorological monitoring instrumentation channels shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of the CHANNEL CHECK and CHANNEL CALIBRATION operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-5.

\*Shared system with D. C. COOK - UNIT 1



۲.۶.

たら

. . . .

A

. .

• t

ų

, .

200 A

ž

- A

.

ı .

#### INSTRUMENTATION

#### FIRE DETECTION INSTRUMENTATION

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.8 As a minimum, the fire detection instrumentation for each fire detection zone shown in Table 3.3-11 shall be OPERABLE.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: Whenever equipment protected by the fire detection instrument(s) is required to be OPERABLE.

#### ACTION:

With the number of OPERABLE fire detection instrument(s) less than the minimum number OPERABLE requirement of Table 3.3-11:

- a. Within 1 hour establish a fire watch patrol to inspect the zone(s) with the inoperable instrument(s) at least once per hour, unless the instrument(s) is located inside the containment, then inspect the containment at least once per 8 hours or monitor the containment air temperature at least once per hour at the locations listed in Specification 4.6.1.5.
- b. Restore the inoperable instrument(s) to OPERABLE status within 14 days, or in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the instrument(s) to OPERABLE status.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.8.1 Each of the above required fire detection instruments which are accessible during plant operation shall be demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 6 months by performance of a CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST. Fire detectors which are not accessible during plant operation shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by the performance of a CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST during each COLD SHUTDOWN exceeding 24 hours unless performed in the previous 6 months.

4.3.3.8.2 The NFPA Standard 72D Class B supervised circuits supervision associated with the detector alarms of each of the above required fire detection instruments shall be demonstrated OPERABLE at least once per 6 months.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

ą

ŝ

14 J #

ē.

e ...

'n

а I

ı

.

ø

**.**...

ł

99 -4

.

9

5 7 1

• ,

ų

#### INSTRUMENTATION

#### RADIOACTIVE LIQUID EFFLUENT INSTRUMENTATION

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.9 The radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-12 shall be OPERABLE with their alarm/trip setpoints set to ensure that the limits of Specification 3.11.1.1 are not exceeded.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-12.

#### ACTION:

- a. With a radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channel alarm/trip setpoint less conservative than a value which will ensure that the limits of 3.11.1.1 are met, without delay suspend the release of radioactive liquid effluents monitored by the affected channel, reset, or declare the channel inoperable.
- b. With one or more radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channels inoperable, take the applicable ACTION shown in Table 3.3-12.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.9.1 The setpoints shall be determined in accordance with methodology as described in the ODCM and shall be recorded.

4.3.3.9.2 Each radioactive liquid effluent monitoring instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, SOURCE CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-8.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

Â., A 

" Le

Ж. А.

\* \* \*

ŧţ

, ,

ля 1

1 Ľ

L S

niu j

INSTRUMENTATION

RADIOACTIVE GASEOUS PROCESS AND EFFLUENT MONITORING INSTRUMENTATION

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.3.3.10 The radioactive gaseous process and effluent monitoring instrumentation channels shown in Table 3.3-13 shall be OPERABLE with their alarm/trip setpoints set to ensure that the limits of 3.11.2.1 are not exceeded.

APPLICABILITY: As shown in Table 3.3-13.

#### ACTION:

- a. With a radioactive gaseous process or effluent monitoring instrumentation channel alarm/trip setpoint less conservative than a value which will ensure that the limits of 3.11.2.1 are met, without delay suspend the release of radioactive gaseous effluents monitored by the affected channel, reset, or declare the channel inoperable.
- b. With less than the minimum number of radioactive gaseous effluent monitoring instrumentation channels OPERABLE, take the ACTION shown in Table 3.3-13.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.3.3.10.1 The setpoints shall be determined in accordance with methodology as described in the ODCM and shall be recorded.\*

4.3.3.10.2 Each radioactive gaseous process or effluent monitoring instrumentation channel shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by performance of the CHANNEL CHECK, SOURCE CHECK, CHANNEL CALIBRATION, and CHANNEL FUNCTIONAL TEST operations at the frequencies shown in Table 4.3-9.

\* This surveillance requirement does not apply to the Waste Gas Holdup System Hydrogen and Oxygen Monitors, as their setpoints are not addressed in the ODCM.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

## 거. 라 書 \*

े देवे (ii..

æ.

ι ▲ 伊藤 山谷 王 王 王

ı

ï

٩ .

\$ 

•

#### REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

#### OVERPRESSURE PROTECTION SYSTEMS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.4.9.3 At least one of the following overpressure protection systems shall be OPERABLE:

- a. Two power operated relief valves (PORVs) with a lift setting of less than or equal to 435 psig, or
- b. One power operated relief valve (PORV) with a lift setting of less than or equal to 435 psig and the RHR safety valve with a lift setting of less than or equal to 450 psi, or
- c. A reactor coolant system vent of greater than or equal to 2 square inches.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: When the temperature of one or more of the RCS cold legs is less than or equal to 152°F, except when the reactor vessel head is removed.

#### ACTION:

- a. With two PORV's inoperable or with one PORV inoperable and the RHR safety valve inoperable, either restore the inoperable PORV or RHR safety valve to OPERABLE status within 7 days or depressurize and vent the RCS through an at least 2 square inch vent(s) within the next 8 hours; maintain the RCS in a vented condition until the inoperable PORV or RHR safety valve has been restored to OPERABLE status.
- b. With both PORV's inoperable, depressurize and vent the RCS through an at least 2 square inch vent(s) within 8 hours; maintain the RCS in a vented condition until both PORVs or one PORV and the RHR safety valve have been restored to OPERABLE status.
- c. In the event either the PORVs, the RHR safety value or the RCS vent(s) are used to mitigate a RCS pressure transient, a Special Report shall be prepared and submitted to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within 30 days. The report shall describe the circumstances initiating the transient, the effect of the PORVs or vent(s) on the transient and any corrective action necessary to prevent recurrence.



.

\*\*

N CO N

3

سبر 12 ملا 1

ł

ປັນຟີ , "

24

.

,

.

,

ø \*

٠

'≣¢ ≰ ! 1 .

,57 19

4

ī

r۶

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM

3.4.10 STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

ASME CODE CLASS 1, 2 AND 3 COMPONENTS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.4.10.1 The structural integrity of ASME Code Class 1, 2 and 3 components shall be maintained in accordance with Specification 4.4.10.1

APPLICABILITY: ALL MODES

ACTION:

- a. With the structural integrity of any ASME Code Class 1 component(s) not conforming to the above requirements, restore the structural integrity of the affected component(s) to within its limit or isolate the affected component(s) prior to increasing the Reactor Coolant System temperature more than 50°F above the minimum temperature required by NDT considerations.
- b. With the structural integrity of any ASME Code Class 2 component(s) not conforming to the above requirements, restore the structural integrity of the affected component(s) to within its limit or isolate the affected component(s) prior to increasing the Reactor Coolant System temperature above 200°F.
- c. With the structural integrity of any ASME Code Class 3 component(s) not conforming to the above requirements, restore the structural integrity of the affected component(s) to within its limit or isolate the affected component(s) from service.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.4.10.1 In addition to the requirements of Specification 4.0.5, each reactor coolant pump flywheel shall be inspected per the recommendations of Regulatory Position C.4.b of Regulatory Guide 1.14, Revision 1, August 1975.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

1.45ek 

۰. £. i Ŕ 7

1.

X 出いたので 36 1

١.

3/4.7 PLANT SYSTEMS

3/4.7.1 TURBINE CYCLE

SAFETY VALVES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.1.1 All main steam line code safety values associated with each steam generator shall be OPERABLE with lift settings as specified in Table 3.7-4.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3.

ACTION:

- a. With 4 reactor coolant loops and associated steam generators in operation and with one or more main steam line code safety valves inoperable, operation in MODES 1, 2 and 3 may proceed provided, that within 4 hours, either the inoperable valve is restored to OPERABLE status or the Power Range Neutron Flux High Trip Setpoint is reduced per Table 3.7-1; otherwise, be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.
- b. With 3 reactor coolant loops and associated steam generators in operation and with one or more main steam line code safety valves associated with an operating loop inoperable, operation in MODE 3 may proceed provided, that within 4 hours, either the inoperable valve is restored to OPERABLE status or the reactor trip breakers are opened; otherwise, be in COLD SHUTDOWN within the next 30 hours.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.1.1 No additional Surveillance Requirements other than those required by Specification 4.0.5.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

₩ %

**#** 12

k

R

3'

,

¥

 ${\cal K}_{i}$ l İtu ž

•\*\* 9

r

PLANT SYSTEMS

STEAM GENERATOR STOP VALVES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.1.5 Each steam generator stop valve shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2 and 3.

ACTION:

- MODE 1 With one steam generator stop valve inoperable but open, POWER OPERATION may continue provided the inoperable valve is restored to OPERABLE status within 4 hours; otherwise, reduce power to less than or equal to 5 percent of RATED THERMAL POWER within the next 2 hours.
- MODES 2 With one steam generator stop valve inoperable, and 3 subsequent operation in MODES 2 or 3 may proceed provided the stop valve is maintained closed. Otherwise, be in HOT SHUTDOWN within the next 12 hours.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.1.5.1 Each steam generator stop valve shall be demonstrated OPERABLE by verifying full closure within 5 seconds when tested pursuant to Specification 4.0.5.

4.7.1.5.2 The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for entry into MODE 3.

4.7.1.5.3 The provisions of Specification 4.0.4 are not applicable for entry into MODE 2 when performing PHYSICS TESTS at the beginning of a cycle provided the steam generator stop valves are maintained closed.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

## en ser

tə

\*

そくなど

æ

ц

ł,

.

,

¥.4 **.**' भू स्ट्रम • '# 25 25 .

a é

e **a** 1

PLANT SYSTEMS

#### 3/4.7.8 SEALED SOURCE CONTAMINATION

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

- 3.7.8.1 Each sealed source containing radioactive material either in excess of 100 microcuries of beta and/or gamma emitting material or 5 microcuries of alpha emitting material, shall be free of  $\geq$  0.005 microcuries of removable contamination.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. Each sealed source with removable contamination in excess of the above limits shall be immediately withdrawn from use and:
  - 1. Either decontaminated and repaired, or
  - 2. Disposed of in accordance with Commission Regulations.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

#### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.8.1.1 <u>Test Requirements</u> - Each sealed source shall be tested for leakage and/or contamination by:

- a. The licensee, or
- b. Other persons specifically authorized by the Commission or an Agreement State.

The test method shall have a detection sensitivity of at least 0.005 microcuries per test sample.

4.7.8.1.2 <u>Test Frequencies</u> - Each category of sealed sources shall be tested at the frequency described below.

a. <u>Sources in use (excluding startup sources and fission</u> <u>detectors previously subjected to core flux</u>) - At least once per six months for all sealed sources containing radioactive materials.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

#### PLANT SYSTEMS

#### 3/4.7.9 FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS

#### FIRE SUPPRESSION WATER SYSTEM

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

- 3.7.9.1 The fire suppression water system shall be OPERABLE with:
  - a. Two\* high demand pumps, one of which shall be a diesel driven pump, with their discharge aligned to the fire suppression header.
  - b. An OPERABLE flow path capable of taking suction from Lake Michigan and transferring the water through distribution piping with OPERABLE sectionalizing control or isolation valves to the yard hydrant curb valves and the first valve ahead of the hose standpipe or spray system riser or water flow alarm device on each sprinkler, required to be OPERABLE per Specifications 3.7.9.2 and 3.7.9.5.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With one pump inoperable, restore the inoperable equipment to OPERABLE status within 7 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the plans and procedures to be used to provide for the loss of redundancy in this system. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.
- b. With the fire suppression water system otherwise inoperable:
  - 1. Establish a backup fire suppression water system within 24 hours, and
  - 2. Submit a Special Report in accordance with Specification 6.9.2.
    - a) By telephone within 24 hours
    - b) Confirmed by telegram, mailgram or facsimile transmission no later than the first working day following the event, and

\*Shared system with D. C. Cook - Unit 1

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

3/4 7-36

**4** (

2 -16

δ. 2. .

۲ ۲

я

è
### PLANT SYSTEMS

### SPRAY AND/OR SPRINKLER SYSTEMS

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.2 The spray and/or sprinkler systems located in the areas, shown in Table 3.7-5 shall be OPERABLE:

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: Whenever equipment in the spray/sprinkler protected areas is required to be OPERABLE:

ACTION:

- a. With one or more of the above required spray and/or sprinkler systems inoperable, within 1 hour establish a continuous fire watch with backup fire suppression equipment for those areas\* in which redundant safe shutdown systems or components could be damaged. Restore the system to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the system to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.2 Each of the above required spray and/or sprinkler systems shall be demonstrated to be OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 12 months by cycling each testable valve in the flow path through at least one complete cycle of full travel.
- \* For the reactor coolant pumps preaction sprinklers inside the containment, a closed circuit television located in the lower containment, with periodic monitoring (and hourly logging) of the CCTV screen, shall be an acceptable substitute to an hourly fire watch patrol. For high radiation areas, where closed circuit television coverage does not exist, an hourly fire watch patrol will be instituted.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

ч я •<sub>"л</sub> Ъг .

۰' ۱

-

. .

٠

¥. i.

£. 1

· · · ·

6-71×1 =

,

'a 1

F

pł,

-

,

### PLANT SYSTEMS LOW PRESSURE CO, SYSTEMS

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.3 The low pressure CO, systems located in the areas shown in Table 3.7-6 shall be OPERABLE.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: Whenever equipment in the low pressure CO<sub>2</sub> protected areas is required to be OPERABLE.

### ACTION:

a. With one or more of the above required low pressure CO<sub>2</sub> systems isolated for personnel protection, to permit entry for routine tours, maintenance, construction or surveillance testing, verify the operability of the fire detection system as per Specification 4.3.3.8 in the affected area(s) and establish a Roving Fire Watch Patrol (as defined in the Bases Section ) in those areas affected by the isolated CO<sub>2</sub> system(s). In the event that the Roving Fire Watch patrol cannot be maintained in the affected areas, then personnel must be evacuated and the CO<sub>2</sub>

system returned to its normal condition.

- b. With one or more of the above required low pressure CO<sub>2</sub> systems inoperable, within 1 hour establish a continuous fire watch with backup fire suppression equipment for those areas in which redundant safe shutdown systems or components could be damaged; for other areas ensure that back-up fire suppression equipment is available and establish an hourly fire watch patrol. Restore the system to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the system to OPERABLE status.
- c. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.3 Each of the above required low pressure CO<sub>2</sub> systems shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 7 days by verifying the CO<sub>2</sub> storage tank level to be  $\geq$  50% and pressure to be  $\geq$  285 psig, and
- b. At least once per 18 months by verifying:
  - 1. The system valves, associated ventilation dampers and self closing fire doors actuate manually and automatically, upon receipt of a simulated actuation signal, and

2. Flow from each nozzle during a "Puff Test".D. C. COOK - UNIT 23/4 7-42Amendment No.

¥,

11

ЗŶ

**f**r.

ì

5 3

٩.

8

-4

\$5 ŝ

17: 17: 2 j, :

ţ., **.**.

PLANT SYSTEMS

### HALON SYSTEM

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.4 The Halon system located in the Unit 2 Control Room Cable Spreading Area shall be OPERABLE.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: Whenever equipment in the Halon protected areas is required to be OPERABLE.

ACTION:

- a. With the above required Halon system inoperable, within 1 hour verify that the fire detection system and the backup CO<sub>2</sub> fire suppression system are OPERABLE; otherwise, establish a<sup>2</sup> continuous fire watch with backup fire suppression equipment for the Control Room Cable Spreading Area. Restore the system to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability and the plans and schedule for restoring the system to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.4 The above Halon system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 6 months by verifying each Halon storage tank to be  $\geq$  95% of full charge weight and to be  $\geq$  90% of full charge pressure.
- b. At least once per 18 months by:
  - 1. Verifying the system, including associated ventilation dampers, actuates manually and automatically to a simulated test signal.
  - 2. Performance of an air flow test or CO<sub>2</sub> puff test through headers and nozzles to assure no blockage.

### PLANT SYSTEMS

### FIRE HOSE STATIONS

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.9.5 The fire hose standpipe connections in the following locations shall be OPERABLE:

- 1. Auxiliary Building (minimum 12).
- 2. Access to Diesel Generators (minimum 1).
- 3. Access to Switchgear Rooms (minimum 1).
- 4. Access to Control Room (minimum 1).

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: Whenever equipment in the areas protected by the fire hose stations is required to be OPERABLE.

### ACTION:

- a. With one or more of the above required hose standpipe connections inoperable, route an additional equivalent capacity fire hose to the unprotected area(s) from an OPERABLE hose standpipe connection within 1 hour if the inoperable fire hose is the primary means of fire suppression; otherwise, route the additional hose within 24 hours. Restore the fire hose station to OPERABLE status within 14 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperability, and plans and schedule for restoring the station to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.9.5 Each of the above required fire hose standpipe connections shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days by a visual inspection of the fire hose standpipe connection to assure all required equipment is at the connection.
- b. At least once per 18 months by:

s. ĩ

е, 52

\*\*\*\*\*\*

2

1 X 2

,

• .

с și

rh I Ø \* \*

æ -ي 1 1 1

4

9 ) \* \* "错去"。虽若

ī.

\* 4 ,

t an

,

PLANT SYSTEMS

### 3/4.7.10 FIRE RATED ASSEMBLIES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.10 All fire rated assemblies (walls, floor/ceilings, cable tray enclosures) separating safety related fire areas or separating portions of redundant systems important to safe shutdown within a fire area and all sealing devices in fire rated assembly penetrations (fire doors, fire dampers, cable and piping penetration seals, and ventilation seals) shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With one or more of the above required fire rated assemblies and/or sealing devices inoperable, within one hour either establish a continuous fire watch on at least one side of the affected assembly, or verify the OPERABILITY of fire detectors per Specification 4.3.3.8 on at least one side of the inoperable assembly and establish an hourly fire watch patrol or secure in the closed position the inoperable sealing device\* and establish an hourly fire watch patrol. Restore the inoperable fire rated assembly and sealing device to OPERABLE status within 7 days or, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Commission pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 within the next 30 days outlining the action taken, the cause of the inoperable fire rated assembly and/or sealing device and the plans and schedule for restoring the fire rated assembly and/or sealing device to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.10.1 At least once per 18 months the above required fire rated assemblies and penetration sealing devices shall be verified OPERABLE by:

- a. Performing a visual inspection of the exposed surfaces of each fire rated assemblies.
- b. Performing a visual inspection of each fire damper and associated hardware.

<sup>\*</sup> Except fire doors on Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feedwater Pump and Hallway enclosures which cannot be locked closed for HELB considerations.

# 5 x 2 1 2. \*r

,

۰

רזֿי ו

٦

;

41-

.

ı

٠

e

. -\* , ,

. • ۰.



### **REFUELING OPERATIONS**

STORAGE POOL VENTILATION SYSTEM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.9.12 The spent fuel storage pool exhaust ventilation system shall be OPERABLE.

APPLICABILITY: Whenever irradiated fuel is in the stroage pool.

ACTION:

- a. With no fuel storage pool exhaust ventilation system OPERABLE, suspend all operations involving movement of fuel within the storage pool or crane operation with loads over the storage pool until at least one spent fuel storage pool exhaust ventilation system is restored to OPERABLE status.
- b. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.9.12 The above required fuel storage pool ventilation system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:

- a. At least once per 31 days by initiating flow through the HEPA filter and charcoal adsorber train and verifying that the train operates for at least 15 minutes.
- b. At least once per 18 months or (1) after any structural maintenance on the HEPA filter or charcoal adsorber housings, or (2) following painting, fire or chemical release in any ventilation zone communicating with the system, by:
  - 1. Deleted.
  - 2. Verifying that the charcoal adsorbers remove greater than or equal to 99% of a halogenated hydrocarbon refrigerant test gas when they are tested in-place in accordance with ANSI N510-1980 while operating the exhaust ventilation system at a flow rate of 30,000 cfm + 10%.
- \* The crane bay roll-up door and the drumming room roll-up door may be opened under administrative control during movement of fuel within the storage pool or crane operation with loads over the storage pool.

\*\*Shared system with Cook Nuclear Plant - Unit 1.

+ This does not include the main load block. For purposes of this specification, a deenergized main load block need not be considered a load.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 2

3/4 9-12

AMENDMENT NO.

42

4) 185

ç,

•

¥ و ۱۰

i

X

. . 

n I

۰.

1

÷

DOSE

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.1.2 The dose or dose commitment to an individual from radioactive material in liquid effluents released to unrestricted areas (see Figure 5.1-3) shall be limited:

- a. During any calendar quarter to  $\leq 1.5$  mrem to the total body and to  $\leq 5$  mrem to any organ, and
- b. During any calendar year to  $\leq 3$  mrem to the total body and to  $\leq 10$  mrem to any organ.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With the calculated dose from the release of radioactive materials in liquid effluents exceeding any of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause(s) for exceeding the limit(s) and defines the corrective actions taken to reduce the releases and the proposed corrective actions to be taken to assure that subsequent releases will be within the above limits. This Special Report shall also include (1) the results of radiological analyses of the drinking water source, and (2) the radiological impacts on finished drinking water supplies with regard to the requirements of 40 CFR 141, Safe Drinking Water Act. (Applicable only if drinking water supply is taken from the receiving water body.)
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.1.2 <u>Dose Calculations</u>. Cumulative dose contributions from liquid effluents shall be determined in accordance with the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) at least once per 31 days.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

3/4 11-4

### LIQUID WASTE TREATMENT

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.1.3 The liquid radwaste treatment system shall be used to reduce the radioactive materials in liquid wastes prior to their discharge when the projected doses due to the liquid effluent from the site (see Figure 5.1-3) when averaged over 31 days, would exceed 0.06 mrem to the total body or 0.2 mrem to any organ.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With radioactive liquid waste being discharged without treatment and in excess of the above limits, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 a Special Report which includes the following information:
  - 1. Identification of the inoperable equipment or subsystems and the reason for inoperability,
  - 2. Action(s) taken to restore the inoperable equipment to operable status, and
  - 3. Summary description of action(s) taken to prevent recurrence.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.1.3 Doses due to liquid releases to UNRESTRICTED AREAS shall be projected at least once per 31 days, in accordance with the ODCM, whenever liquid releases are being made without being processed by the liquid radwaste treatment system.

3/4 11-5

ĥ

с С

53 v

з

t: ·

ÿ

• • 4

-

î.

۲, ,

## LIQUID HOLDUP TANKS\*

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.1.4 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each of the following tanks shall be limited to less than or equal to 10 curies, excluding tritium and dissolved or entrained noble gases.

a. Outside temporary tanks.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With the quantity of radioactive material in any of the above listed tanks exceeding the above limit, without delay suspend all additions of radioactive material to the tank and within 48 hours reduce the tank contents to within the limit.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.1.4 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each of the above listed tanks shall be determined to be within the above limit by analyzing a representative sample of the tank's contents at least once per 7 days when radioactive materials are being added to the tank.

\* Tanks included in this Specification are those outdoor tanks that are not surrounded by liners, dikes, or walls capable of holding the tank contents and that do not have tank overflows and surrounding area drains connected to the liquid radwaste treatment system.

# ه ه u. Ži

يندر يتسل

2, J 4, J

¥.

:

: 5

¢۵ ب

ř

- 镜 -

### DOSE, NOBLE GASES

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.2 The air dose in UNRESTRICTED AREAS due to noble gases released in gaseous effluents shall be limited to the following:

- a. During any calendar quarter, to  $\leq 5$  mrad for gamma radiation and  $\leq 10$  mrad for beta radiation;
- b. During any calendar year, to  $\leq 10$  mrad for gamma radiation and  $\leq 20$  mrad for beta radiation.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With the calculated air dose from radioactive noble gases in gaseous effluents exceeding any of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause(s) for exceeding the limit(s) and defines the corrective actions to be taken to reduce the releases and the proposed corrective actions to be taken to assure that subsequent releases will be within the above limits.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.2 <u>Dose Calculations</u> Cumulative dose contributions for the total time period shall be determined in accordance with the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) at least once every 31 days.

ない

4

1

244<sup>34</sup> 10

.

9 I

•

. .

- 22 1. 主义家

,' P

945 2030

۰ ۲ ۲

# DOSE, RADIOIODINES, RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL IN PARTICULATE FORM, AND RADIONUCLIDES OTHER THAN NOBLE GASES

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.3 The dose to A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC from radioiodines, radioactive materials in particulate form, and radionuclides other than noble gases with half-lives greater than 8 days in gaseous effluents released to unrestricted areas shall be limited to the following:

- a. During any calendar quarter to less than or equal to 7.5 mrem to any organ;
- b. During any calendar year to less than or equal to 15 mrem to any organ;
- c. Less than 0.1% of the 3.11.2.3(a) and (b) limits as a result of burning contaminated oil.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the calculated dose from the release of radioiodines, radioactive materials in particulate form, or radionuclides other than noble gases in gaseous effluents exceeding any of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause(s) for exceeding the limit and defines the corrective actions taken to reduce the releases and the proposed corrective action to be taken to assure that subsequent release will be within the above limits.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.3 <u>DOSE CALCULATIONS</u> Cumulative dose contributions for the total time period shall be determined in accordance with the ODCM at least once every 31 days.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

51. 1 1

. NE

نيو ت , ,, ,, . Ŷ • 法武武 Lar.

### GASEOUS RADWASTE TREATMENT

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.4 The gaseous radwaste treatment system and the ventilation exhaust treatment system shall be used to reduce the radioactive materials in gaseous waste prior to their discharge when the projected gaseous effluent air doses due to gaseous effluent releases to unrestricted areas (See Figure 5.1.3) when averaged over 31 days, would exceed 0.2 mrad for gamma radiation and 0.4 mrad for beta radiation. The ventilation exhaust treatment system shall be used to reduce radioactive materials in gaseous waste prior to their discharge when the projected doses due to gaseous effluent releases to unrestricted areas (See Figure 5.1-3) when averaged over 31 days would exceed 0.3 mrem to any organ.

### APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With gaseous waste being discharged without treatment and in excess of the above limits, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which includes the following information:
  - 1. Identification of the inoperable equipment or subsystems and the reason for inoperability.
  - 2. Action(s) taken to restore the inoperable equipment to operable status.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.4 Doses due to gaseous releases to UNRESTRICTED AREAS shall be projected at least once per 31 days in accordance with the ODCM, whenever the gaseous waste treatment system or ventilation exhaust treatment system is not operational.

NT

N - N х --· · · · 

,

Ye.

ř

¥ Æ

**A**1\*

-**1** \*

### EXPLOSIVE GAS MIXTURE

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.5 The concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system shall be limited to  $\leq$  3% by volume if the hydrogen in the system is  $\geq$  4% by volume.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system > 3% by volume but  $\leq$  4% by volume and containing  $\geq$  4% hydrogen, restore the concentration of oxygen to  $\leq$  3% or reduce the hydrogen concentration to < 4% within 96 hours.
- b. With the concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system or tank > 4% by volume and > 4% hydrogen by volume without delay suspend all additions of waste gases to the system or tank and reduce the concentration of oxygen to  $\leq 3$ % or the concentration of hydrogen to  $\leq 4$ % within 96 hours in the system or tank.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.5 The concentration of oxygen in the waste gas holdup system shall be determined to within the above limits by continuously monitoring the waste gases in the waste gas holdup system with the oxygen monitors required OPERABLE by Table 3.3-13 of Specification 3.3.3.10.

ŧ

÷.

5) 12 15

٩

.

•

2 · · · ·

•

L

.

· 51 ,

₹} \$

Р. Дз

x

,

•

•

4

I

GAS STORAGE TANKS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.2.6 The quantity of radioactivity contained in each gas storage tank shall be limited to 43,800 curies noble gas (considered as Xe-133).

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With the quantity of radioactive material in any gas storage tank exceeding the above limit, without delay suspend all additions of radioactive material to the tank and within 48 hours reduce the tank contents to within the limit.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.2.6 The quantity of radioactive material contained in each gas storage tank shall be determined to be within the above limit at least once per 4 days by analysis of the Reactor Coolant System noble gases.

ι . , s.,.

ž

15

11

• • ø

.

.

.

I

र्तः स्व

.

.

.

4

Ú

### 3/4.11.3 SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.3 The solid radwaste system shall be used as applicable in accordance with a PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM for the SOLIDIFICATION and packaging of radioactive wastes to ensure meeting the requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and of 10 CFR Part 71 prior to shipment of radioactive wastes from the site.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

- a. With the packaging requirements of 10 CFR Part 20 and/or 10 CFR Part 71 not satisfied, suspend shipments of defectively packaged solid radioactive wastes from the site.
- b. With the solid radwaste system inoperable for more than 31 days, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days pursuant to Specification 6.9.2 a Special Report which includes the following information:
  - 1. Identification of the inoperable equipment or subsystems and the reason for inoperability,
  - 2. Action(s) taken to restore the inoperable equipment to operable status,
  - 3. A description of the alternative used for SOLIDIFICATION and packaging of radioactive wastes, and
  - 4. Summary description of action(s) taken to prevent a recurrence.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

3/4 11-15

3/4 11.4 TOTAL DOSE

### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.11.4 The dose or dose commitment to a real individual from all uranium fuel cycle sources is limited to  $\leq 25$  mrem to the total body or any organ (except the thyroid, which is limited to  $\leq 75$  mrem) over a period of 12 consecutive months.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With the calculated doses from the release of radioactive materials in liquid or gaseous effluents exceeding twice the limits of Specification 3.11.1.2.a, 3.11.1.2.b, 3.11.2.2.a, 3.11.2.2.b, 3.11.2.3.a, or 3.11.2.3.b, in lieu of any other report required by Specification 6.9.1, prepare and submit a Special Report to the Director, Nuclear Reactor Regulation. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, within 30 days, which defines the corrective action to be taken to reduce subsequent releases to prevent recurrence of exceeding the limits of Specification 3.11.4. This Special Report shall include an analysis which estimates the radiation exposure (dose) to a member of the public from uranium fuel cycle sources (including all effluent pathways and direct radiation) for a 12 consecutive month period that includes the release(s) covered by this report. If the estimated dose(s) exceeds the limits of Specification 3.11.4, and if the release condition resulting in violation of 40 CFR 190 has not already been corrected, the Special Report shall include a request for a variance in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 190 and including the specified information of 190.11(b). Submittal of the report is considered a timely request, and a variance is granted until staff action on the request is complete. The variance only relates to the limits of 40 CFR 190, and does not apply in any way to the requirements for dose limitation of 10 CFR Part 20, as addressed in other sections of this Technical Specification.
- b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.11.4 <u>Dose Calculations</u> Cumulative dose contributions from liquid and gaseous effluents shall be determined in accordance with Specifications 4.11.1.2, 4.11.2.2, and 4.11.2.3 and with the ODCM.

D. C. COOK - UNIT 2

3/4 11-17

• \$ • •

ده لاللا ۱۹۰

Æ

र∿र⊿≍ । के**न्द्रि**म क

. 

.

,

'n

.

ł

3

a

•

€ ≹r

\*\*\*

۶.,

5

ż **x**.

. منه

•

•

¥

## RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION (CONTINUED)

- c. With milk or fresh leafy vegetable samples unavailable from any of the sample locations required by Table 3.12-1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the cause of the unavailability of samples and identifies locations for obtaining replacement samples. The locations from which samples were unavailable may then be deleted from Table 3.12-1 provided the locations from which the replacement samples were obtained are added to the environmental monitoring program as replacement locations, if available.
- d. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.12.1 The radiological environmental monitoring samples shall be collected pursuant to Table 3.12-1 from the locations given in the table and figures in the ODCM and shall be analyzed pursuant to the requirements of Tables 3.12-1 and 4.12-1.

u

Ŧ .

ı

いいかん

ė.

þ ۶ ۴ Me , 18 A A

ŧ 5.7 **X \* н** 10 х t x

### seen! . . 4 2 2 × , .....

41

34 54 81 × 4. са. м. ÷.

ח נ ש Ċ **'**\$3 -

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

### 3/4.12.2 LAND USE CENSUS

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.12.2 A land use census shall be conducted and shall identify the location of the nearest milk animal, the nearest residence and the nearest garden\* of greater than 500 square feet producing fresh leafy vegetables in each of the 9 land covering meteorological sectors within a distance of five miles.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

ACTION:

- a. With a land use census identifying a location(s) which yields a calculated dose or dose commitment greater than the values currently being calculated in Specification 4.11.2.3, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the new location(s).
- b. With a land use census identifying a location(s) which yields a calculated dose or dose commitment (via the same exposure pathway) 20 percent greater than at a location from which samples are currently being obtained in accordance with Specification 3.12.1, prepare and submit to the Commission within 30 days, pursuant to Specification 6.9.2, a Special Report which identifies the new location. The new location shall be added to the radiological environmental monitoring program within 30 days, if possible. The sampling location having the lowest calculated dose or dose commitment (via the same exposure pathway) may be deleted from this monitoring program after (October 31) of the year in which this land use census was conducted.
- c. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.12.2 The land use census shall be conducted at least once per 12 months between the dates of June 1 and October 1, by door-to-door survey, aerial survey, or by consulting local agriculture authorities.

<sup>\*</sup> Broad leaf vegetation sampling may be performed at the site boundary in the direction sector with the highest D/Q in lieu of the garden census.



1. 1. 1. 2.

.

I

and a set and a set and a set and a set and a set and a set and a set and a set a ۰. ۲. ۲. ۸. *b* .

. 7 . t Ž

. \*

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

### 3/4 12.3 INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.12.3 Analyses shall be performed on radioactive materials supplied as part of an Interlaboratory Comparison Program which has been approved by the Commission.

APPLICABILITY: At all times.

### ACTION:

٨

a. With analyses not being performed as required above, report the corrective actions taken to prevent a recurrence to the Commission in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report.

1

b. The provisions of Specifications 3.0.3 and 6.9.1.13 are not applicable.

### SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.12.3 A summary of the results obtained as part of the above required Interlaboratory Comparison Program and in accordance with the ODCM (or participants in the EPA crosscheck program shall provide the EPA program code designation for the unit) shall be included in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report. 5 J. J.



.

•

> • -

.

đ

### ATTACHMENT 1 TO AEP:NRC:0659M

REASONS AND 10 CFR 50.92 SIGNIFICANT HAZARDS EVALUATION FOR CHANGES TO THE TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS FOR DONALD C. COOK NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2
The following is a description of the proposed changes to the Section 6 T/Ss.

- 1) T/S 6.1.2 is being added, and places an additional restriction on the Gook Nuclear Plant by requiring the Shift Supervisor or a designated individual during his absence to be responsible for the control room command function. A management directive to this effect signed by the Vice President Nuclear Operations will be reissued to all station personnel on an annual basis. Designating responsibility for the control room complex places additional restrictions in the T/S and is an improvement to our current T/S. This change is also consistent with Revision 4 and the draft of Revision 5 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications and T/Ss recently approved for Seabrook Unit 1, Braidwood Units 1 and 2, Byron Units 1 and 2, and Shearon Harris Unit 1.
- 2) The proposed changes to T/S 6.2.2.b and Table 6.2-1 are being made in order to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(m). The proposed T/Ss would require at least one licensed Senior Operator to be in the control room when the facility is in Modes 1, 2, 3 and 4, and would meet the minimum licensed operator staffing requirement that three Senior Operators be on site. The Shift Supervisor (SS) has been added to the list in Table 6.2-1 to be consistent with this requirement. These changes allow T/S 6.2.2.c to be deleted, and the paragraphs following T/S 6.2.2.b have been renumbered.

Other changes made to Table 6.2-1 are editorial. The symbols "#" and "\*" used to signify footnotes have been reversed in order to clarify which footnotes apply to the SS. Also the word "Reactor" has been removed from the title "Senior Reactor Operator License." (This change is also made in T/S 6.2.2.d and 6.2.2.g.) This was done to obtain uniformity throughout the Section 6 T/Ss.

The proposed changes described above are consistent with Revision 4 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications and T/Ss recently approved for Vogtle -Unit 1, Shearon Harris - Unit 1, and Seabrook - Unit 1.

3) A footnote has been added to T/S 6.2.2.d and 6.2.2.f (T/S 6.2.2.c and 6.2.2.e in the proposed, revised T/S pages). This change would allow up to two hours for the unexpected absence of an individual qualified in radiation protection procedures and the unexpected absence of the minimum composition of fire brigade members. We believe that this change is reasonable in that provisions for unexpected absences of short duration are necessary. The change is also consistent with Revision 4 and the draft of Revision 5 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications.

4) The proposed changes to T/Ss 6.3.1, 6.4.1, 6.5.2.3, 6.5.2.9, 6.5.2.10.a, 6.5.2.10.b, and 6.5.2.10.c involve necessary title changes. Specifically, we propose to change the "Plant Health Physics Supervisor" to "Plant Radiation Protection Manager," the "Training Coordinator" to "Training Manager," and the "Vice Chairman - Engineering and Construction" to "Senior Executive Vice President - Engineering and Construction." This proposed change would make our T/Ss consistent with the current titles used for these positions.

5) The proposed changes to T/Ss 6.5.1.2, 6.5.1.3 and 6.5.1.5 affect the qualifications, structure, and quorum of the PNSRC.

The proposed change to T/S 6.5.1.2 is to add the minimum qualifications that the PNSRC members and alternate members must meet. Members and alternates will meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971, Section 4.4. Also, plant operations personnel will meet the qualifications of Section 4.2.2 and maintenance personnel will meet the qualifications of Section 4.2.3. This change is an improvement to our current T/S, and was recently approved for Beaver Valley Unit 2.

The PNSRC would consist of the Assistant Plant Managers, Department Superintendents, and personnel reporting directly to the Plant Manager, the Assistant Plant Managers, or Department Superintendents. All members would meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971, Section 4.4. In addition to the Chairman, the PNSRC membership will consist of a minimum of one individual from each of the functional areas designated in T/S 6.5.1.2. The Quality Control Department for the Cook Nuclear Plant is known as the Safety & Assessment Department. This would eliminate the need to make a T/S change when the company is reorganized or a member has a title change, but will maintain the consistency and expertise of the committee. The Plant Manager would appoint the chairman, members, and alternate members, but would not be a member of the PNSRC himself (the current T/S designates the Plant Manager as the chairman of the PNSRC). This change would establish the PNSRC as a more independent advisory organization to the Plant Manager, instead of requiring the Plant Manager's direct involvement in the work activities of the PNSRC. This change requires that T/S 6.5.1.3 be revised since the PNSRC Chairman would no longer appoint the alternate members. We believe this to be an improvement to our current T/S. This change is similar to T/Ss approved for Vogtle Unit 1.

Proposed changes to T/S 6.5.1.5 clarify the requirements for a quorum of the PNSRC. The Chairman or his designated alternate and at least half of the members including alternates will be required for a quorum of the PNSRC. The restriction that only two alternates are allowed to make up the quorum has been removed from this specification because this restriction is in Specification 6.5.1.3. In Specification 6.5.1.3, the number of alternates that can participate as voting members in the PNSRC is limited to two at any one time. We feel this proposed change is an improvement to our current T/Ss as it clarifies the present requirement. This change has also been recently approved in the T/Ss for Beaver Valley Unit 2.

6) The proposed changes to T/Ss 6.5.1.6.a, b, c clarify the subject areas that the PNSRC will review. Specifically, the PNSRC will only perform reviews of subjects that could affect plant nuclear safety or involve an unreviewed safety question. These changes will allow the PNSRC to focus on issues related to plant safety and relieve the PNSRC from the unnecessary burden of reviewing routine or administrative changes. This proposed change has caused T/S 6.5.1.6.c to be relettered to 6.5.1.6.d.

The criteria of 10 CFR 50.59 state that proposed design changes in the plant and changes in the procedures as described in the FSAR, and tests or experiments not described in the FSAR must be reviewed for an unreviewed safety question if they do not require a change to the T/Ss. Currently, T/S 6.5.1.7b requires a review per the unreviewed safety question criteria of not only these three areas (design changes, procedure changes and tests or experiments) but also of proposed changes to the T/Ss, the Operating License, and violations to the T/Ss and the Operating License. However, only a significant hazards consideration review is performed, as required by 10 CFR 50.92, for proposed T/S and Operating License changes. An assessment of the safety consequences is performed as required by 10 CFR 50.73, for T/S violations. T/S 6.5.1.7b and T/S 5.1.6d has been clarified accordingly.

Revisions have also been made to T/S 6.5.1.6k to be more consistent with the draft of Revision 5 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications and T/Ss recently approved for Seabrook - Unit 1, Shearon Harris - Unit 1, Vogtle - Unit 1 and Callaway - Unit 1.

7) The proposed change to T/S 6.5.1.7.c will require the Vice President - Nuclear Operations to be notified, in addition to the NSDRC, of any disagreements between the PNSRC and the Plant Manager. At the present time, the Vice President -Nuclear Operations is the Chairman of the NSDRC and therefore would be notified when the NSDRC was notified. However, this change will ensure the Vice President -Nuclear Operations would be notified in the future if, for whatever reason, the Vice President - Nuclear Operations is not a member of the NSDRC. This change will also make our T/Ss consistent with Revision 4 and the draft of Revision 5 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications.

- 8) Changes to T/S 6.5.2.2 are being proposed as a result of the recent reorganization and changes in personnel. The member-ship list has been changed to update the members' titles. Additional members may be added to the NSDRC by the Senior Executive Vice President Engineering and Construction. This will allow us the flexibility of adding qualified personnel to the committee without requiring a T/S submittal.
- 9) The proposed change to T/S 6.5.2.6 is made to clarify that only the Plant Manager has line responsibility for operation of the facility. This is a clarification of an existing T/S and therefore is considered an improvement.
- 10) The proposed change to T/S 6.5.2.7.a would eliminate the review by the NSDRC of the safety evaluations of lower-tier plant procedures. The NSDRC's review is redundant to PNSRC review and, historically, a safety concern has never been identified. Therefore, we believe the NSDRC workload in this area is not warranted. The NSDRC will, however, continue to review safety evaluations of the top-tier Plant Manager Instructions, which establish the policies that govern all other plant procedures and changes thereto.
- 11) The proposed change to T/Ss 6.5.2.8 h, i, and j (T/Ss 6.5.2.8 g and h on the proposed revised pages) clarifies the NSDRC audit requirements on fire protection. The requirements that were in T/Ss 6.5.2.8 h, i and j have been rephrased and combined into T/Ss 6.5.2.8 g and h. This change is administrative (for clarification). The change is also consistent with the draft of Revision 5 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications and T/Ss recently approved for the following plants: South Texas Unit 1, Callaway Unit 1, Seabrook Unit 1, Byron Units 1 and 2, and Vogtle Unit 1. The paragraphs following T/Ss 6.5.2.8 h, i and j (T/Ss 6.5.2.8 g and h) have only been relettered.
- 12) The proposed T/S 6.5.3, "Technical Review and Control," has been added to the administrative controls section to ensure that activities affecting nuclear safety will continue to be adequately controlled and that technical reviews will be performed once these activities are removed from the PNSRC responsibilities. Transfer of review and approval

T/S 6.5.3.1.a specifies how procedures required by Specification 6.8, other procedures, and changes to procedures that affect plant nuclear safety are reviewed and approved. The review would be done by a qualified individual/ group other than the individual/group that prepared the procedures or the changes. The Plant Manager will approve administrative procedures, Security Plan implementing procedures and Emergency Plan implementing procedures. Procedures other than those mentioned above will be approved by the appropriate department head as designated in writing by the Plant Manager. Requirements for temporary changes to procedures that do not change the intent of the approved procedures have been included in this specification. Temporary changes will be approved for implementation by two members of the plant staff, at least one of whom holds a Senior Operator license. These requirements for temporary changes to procedures are contained in our current T/Ss under Specification 6.8.3. By including temporary changes to procedures in Specification 6.5.3.1.a, T/S 6.8.3 would be redundant and has therefore been deleted in the proposed T/S pages.

T/Ss 6.5.3.1.b and 6.5.3.1.c address proposed changes or modifications to plant nuclear safety-related structures, systems, components, and proposed tests and experiments that affect plant nuclear safety and are not addressed in the Final Safety Analysis Report or T/Ss. Each of the above items will be reviewed by a qualified individual/group other than the individual/group who wrote the document, and will be approved prior to implementation by the Plant Manager.

T/S 6.5.3.1.d specifies the individuals who approved the reviews performed in accordance with Specification 6.5.3.1.a, 6.5.3.1.b, and 6.5.3.1.c will be members of the plant management staff and will meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971 Section 4.4 for comparable positions. It is also specified in T/S 6.5.3.1.d that the review will determine whether or not additional cross-disciplinary review is necessary. T/S 6.5.3.1.e specifies that the review will include a determination of whether or not an unreviewed safety question is involved.

T/Ss 6.5.3.1.f and 6.5.3.1.g specify the review process for the Plant Security Plan and Emergency Plan and their implementing procedures. T/S 6.5.3.2 will require records of the above activities to be distributed to the Plant Manager and the PNSRC and/or NSDRC for required reviews.

These proposed changes are an enhancement to the existing T/Ss. The addition of Specification 6.5.3 ensures that adequate controls are in place and that technical reviews are performed of activities that affect nuclear safety. These proposed changes are similar to the T/Ss recently approved for Callaway - Unit 1 and South Texas - Unit 1.

- 13) The proposed changes to T/S 6.6.1 clarify the actions to be taken for reportable events. The proposed specification requires that the Commission be notified and a report submitted as required by 10 CFR 50.73 in the case of a reportable event. This is not an additional requirement; it is a clarification that 10 CFR 50.73 must apply to reportable events. This proposed change is consistent with the draft of Revision 5 of Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications and the T/Ss recently approved for South Texas Unit 1, Callaway Unit 1, Shearon Harris Unit 1, Byron Units 1 and 2, Braidwood Units 1 and 2, and Seabrook Unit 1.
- 14) The proposed changes to T/S 6.7.1 clarify the actions to be taken in the event a safety limit is violated. The requirement to have the facility in at least hot standby within one hour has been removed from this specification since Section 2 of the T/Ss contains the requirement. The requirement to report the safety limit violation to the Commission within 24 hours would be changed to notifying by telephone the NRC Operations Center as soon as possible and in all cases within one hour. Paragraphs 6.7.1.c and 6.7.1.d have been relettered to 6.7.1.b and 6.7.1.c with no changes to the text. An additional requirement is that restart of the unit would not take place until authorized by the Commission.

The additional requirements and clarifications of these proposed changes are considered an improvement to the existing T/Ss. These changes would make our T/Ss consistent with Revision 4 and the draft of Revision 5 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications.

15) The proposed changes to T/S 6.8.1 are to delete refueling operations, surveillance and test activities of safety-related equipment, and Fire Protection Program implementation from this list. Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November 1972 adequately addresses these items and therefore there is no need to list them as separate items. Due to these items being deleted, the remaining items were relettered.

- 16) The proposed change to Specification 6.8.2 specifies that temporary changes shall be reviewed prior to implementation.
- 17) An additional requirement to include documentation of all challenges to the PORVs is proposed to be added to the monthly reactor operating report (T/S 6.9.1.10). Although T/Ss require a report of PORV challenges to be submitted on an annual basis, this monthly requirement has been added to make our T/Ss consistent with Revision 4 of the Westinghouse Standard Technical Specifications. The mailing address to which these reports are submitted has been corrected.

This change is viewed as an enhancement to the current T/Ss.

- 18) In T/S 6.9.2, additional reference specifications have been added to the activities identified. This proposed change will make this list more complete than the current T/Ss and therefore is considered to be an improvement. Corresponding references in Section 3.0/4.0 have been revised to adequately reflect the requirements in Specification 6.9.2 and are included in Attachment 2. Additional editorial changes to the Section 3.0/4.0 pages have been made. Specifically, to the extent possible, symbols have been written in words for clarification.
- 19) T/S 6.9.1.13 was deleted by Amendment No. 87. to the Unit 1 T/Ss and Amendment No. 73 to the Unit 2 T/Ss. However, the corresponding references in Section 3.0/4.0 have not been removed. The proposed revised T/S pages delete these references.

The remaining changes are made to correct several editorial errors. A description of these editorial changes is given below. These changes do not change the intent or meaning of the T/Ss themselves, but are considered to be an improvement in the quality of the T/Ss.

- a) In T/S 6.2.2.e (T/S 6.2.2.d in the revised pages), the "ALL" should be written as "All," since it is not part of the phrase CORE ALTERATIONS that is defined in Section 1 of the T/Ss. (Words defined in Section 1 should be typed in all caps.)
- b) In T/S 6.2.2.f (T/S 6.2.2.e in the revised pages), "Fire Brigade" was changed to lower case (fire brigade).
- c) Following T/S 6.5.1, the heading <u>FUNCTION</u> was added for clarification.

Page 7

- d) In T/S 6.5.2.3, "Alternate Members" and "Temporary Alternate Members" have been changed to lower case.
- e) In T/S 6.5.2.6, "Designated and Temporary Alternates" has been changed to all lower cases.
- f) In T/S 6.7.1, "Safety Limit" was changed to lower case.
- g) T/Ss 6.14, 6.15, and 6.16 have been renumbered to T/Ss
   6.13, 6.14, and 6.15. In the current T/Ss, Section
   6.13 had previously been deleted.
- h) The index pages for Section 6 have been revised to reflect the proposed changes made in this submittal.

Per 10 CFR 50.92, a proposed amendment will not involve a significant hazards consideration if the proposed amendment does not:

- (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated,
- (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously analyzed or evaluated, or
- (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

### Criterion 1

The changes requested in this letter affect only the Administrative Controls section of the T/Ss. We believe the revised Administrative Controls will enhance the safe operation of the Cook Nuclear Plant. Therefore we believe these changes will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of a previously evaluated accident.

### Criterion 2

These changes are purely administrative in nature. Plant systems, components and operation will not be altered by these changes. Therefore, we believe this change will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident than has previously been analyzed or evaluated.

### Criterion 3

Since these changes are administrative in nature, they will not impact the ability of the plant systems and components to perform their safety function. Therefore we believe these changes will not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Commission has provided guidance concerning the determination of significant hazards by providing certain examples (48 FR 14780) of amendments considered not likely to involve a significant hazards consideration. The first example is that of a purely administrative change to the T/Ss: for example, a change to achieve consistency throughout the T/Ss, correction of an error, or a change in nomenclature. We believe that the changes requested in this letter are of the type specified in this example. Since these changes are administrative in nature, they do not reduce a margin of safety, do not increase the probability or consequences of a previously analyzed accident, and do not introduce the possibility of a new accident. Therefore, we believe these changes do not involve a significant hazards consideration as defined by 10 CFR 50.92.

# INDEX

| SECTIO        | <u>N</u>                                   |         | PAGE |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------|---------|------|
| <u>6.1 RE</u> | SPONSIBILITY                               |         | 6-1  |
| <u>6.2 OR</u> | GANIZATION                                 |         |      |
| 0             | Insite and Offsite Organization            | • • •   | 6-1  |
| F             | Cacility Staff                             | • • • • | 6-1  |
| <u>6.3 FA</u> | CILITY STAFF QUALIFICATIONS                | •••     | 6-4  |
| <u>6.4 TR</u> | AINING                                     | € ● ●   | 6,-4 |
| <u>6.5 RE</u> | VIEW AND AUDIT                             |         |      |
| 6.5.1         | PLANT NUCLEAR SAFETY REVIEW COMMITTEE      |         |      |
|               | Function                                   |         | 6-4  |
|               | Composition                                | •••     | 6-4  |
|               | Alternates                                 | •••     | 6-5  |
|               | Meeting Frequency                          | •••     | 6-5  |
| . •           | Quorum                                     | •••     | 6-5  |
|               | Responsibilities                           | •••     | 6-5  |
|               | Authority                                  | •••     | 6-6  |
|               | Records                                    | •••     | 6-6  |
|               | · · ·                                      |         |      |
| 6.5.2         | NUCLEAR SAFETY AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE |         |      |
|               | Function                                   |         | 6-6  |
|               | Composition                                |         | 6-7  |
|               | Alternate Members                          | •••     | 6-7  |
| COOK N        | UCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1 XVII                 |         |      |

INDEX

۰.

# ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

| SECTION                                     |      |  |
|---------------------------------------------|------|--|
| Consultants                                 | 6-8  |  |
| Meeting Frequency                           | 6-8  |  |
| Quorum                                      | 6-8  |  |
| Review                                      | 6-8  |  |
| Audits                                      | 6-9  |  |
| Authority                                   | 6-10 |  |
| Records                                     | 6-10 |  |
| 6.5.3 TECHNICAL REVIEW AND CONTROL          | 6-10 |  |
| 6.6 REPORTABLE EVENT ACTION                 | 6-12 |  |
| 6.7 SAFETY LIMIT VIOLATION                  | 6-12 |  |
| 6.8 PROCEDURES                              | 6-12 |  |
| 6.9 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS                  | 1    |  |
| 6.9.1 ROUTINE REPORTS                       | 6-13 |  |
| 6.9.2 SPECIAL REPORTS                       | 6-17 |  |
| 6.10 RECORD RETENTION                       | 6-18 |  |
| 6.11 RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM           | 6-19 |  |
| 6.12 HIGH RADIATION AREA                    | 6-19 |  |
| 6.13 PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM (PCP)          | 6-20 |  |
| 6.14 OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL (ODCM) | 6-21 |  |
| 6.15 MAJOR CHANGES TO RADIOACTIVE WASTE     |      |  |
| TREATMENT SYSTEMS                           | 6-21 |  |
|                                             |      |  |

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1 XVIII

# 

. . . . . . . . 

t

. • • •

7

# INDEX

# ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

| SECTION                                          | PAGE           |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| <u>6.1 RESPONSIBILITY</u>                        | 6-1            |  |  |
| 6.2 ORGANIZATION                                 |                |  |  |
| Onsite and Offsite Organization                  | 6-1            |  |  |
| Facility Staff                                   | 6-1            |  |  |
| 6.3 FACILITY STAFF QUALIFICATIONS                | 6-4            |  |  |
| <u>6.4 TRAINING</u>                              | 6-4            |  |  |
| 6.5 REVIEW AND AUDIT ,                           |                |  |  |
| 6.5.1 PLANT NUCLEAR SAFETY REVIEW COMMITTEE      |                |  |  |
| Function                                         | 6-4            |  |  |
| Composition                                      | 6-4            |  |  |
| Alternates                                       | 6 <b>- 5</b> . |  |  |
| Meeting Frequency                                | 6-5            |  |  |
| Quorum                                           | 6-5            |  |  |
| Responsibilities                                 | 6-5            |  |  |
| Authority                                        | 6-6            |  |  |
| Records                                          | 6-6            |  |  |
| •                                                |                |  |  |
| 6.5.2 NUCLEAR SAFETY AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE |                |  |  |
| Function                                         | 6-6            |  |  |

ţ,

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 2 XVII

# INDEX

# ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROLS

| SECTION                                     | PAGE         |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Consultants                                 | <b>6 - 8</b> |  |  |
| Meeting Frequency                           | 6-8          |  |  |
| Quorum                                      | 6-8          |  |  |
| Review                                      | 6-8          |  |  |
| Audits                                      | 6-9          |  |  |
| Authority                                   | 6-10         |  |  |
| Records                                     | 6-10         |  |  |
| 6.5.3 TECHNICAL REVIEW AND CONTROL          | 6-10         |  |  |
| 6.6 REPORTABLE EVENT ACTION                 | 6-12         |  |  |
| 6.7 SAFETY LIMIT VIOLATION                  | 6-12         |  |  |
| 6.8 PROCEDURES                              | 6-12         |  |  |
| 6.9 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS                  |              |  |  |
| 6.9.1 ROUTINE REPORTS                       | 6-13         |  |  |
| 6.9.2 SPECIAL REPORTS                       | 6-17         |  |  |
| 6.10 RECORD RETENTION.                      | 6-18         |  |  |
| 6.11 RADIATION PROTECTION PROGRAM           | 6-19         |  |  |
| 6.12 HIGH RADIATION AREA                    | 6-19         |  |  |
| 6.13 PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM (PCP)          | 6-20         |  |  |
| 6.14 OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL (ODCM) | 6-21         |  |  |
| 6.15 MAJOR CHANGES TO RADIOACTIVE WASTE     |              |  |  |
| TREATMENT_SYSTEMS                           | 6-21         |  |  |
|                                             |              |  |  |

### 6.1 RESPONSIBILITY

6.1.1 The Plant Manager shall be responsible for overall facility operation and shall delegate in writing the succession to this responsibility during his absence.

6.1.2 The Shift Supervisor (or during his absence from the control room complex, a designated individual) shall be responsible for the control room command function. A management directive to this effect signed by the Vice President - Nuclear Operations shall be reissued to all station personnel on an annual basis.

### 6.2 ORGANIZATION

### ONSITE AND OFFSITE ORGANIZATIONS

6.2.1 Onsite and offsite organizations shall be established for unit operation and corporate management, respectively. The onsite and offsite organizations shall include the positions for activities affecting the safety of the nuclear power plant.

- a. Lines of authority, responsibility, and communication shall be established and defined for the highest management level through intermediate levels to and including all operating organization positions. These relationships shall be documented and updated, as appropriate, in the form of organizational charts. These organizational charts will be documented in the FSAR and updated in accordance with 10 CFR 50.71(e).
- b. The Plant Manager shall be responsible for overall unit safe operation and shall have control over those onsite activities necessary for safe operation and maintenance of the plant.
- c. The Vice President Nuclear Operations shall have corporate responsibility for overall plant nuclear safety and shall take any measures needed to ensure acceptable performance of the staff in operating, maintaining, and providing technical support to the plant to ensure nuclear safety.
- d. The individuals who train the operating staff and those who carry out health physics and quality assurance; however, they shall have sufficient organizational freedom to ensure their independence from operating pressures.

### FACILITY STAFF

6.2.2 The Facility organization shall be subject to the following:

a. Each on duty shift shall be composed of at least the minimum shift crew composition shown in Table 6.2-1.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-1

- b. At least one licensed Operator shall be in the control room when fuel is in the reactor. In addition, while the unit is in Mode 1, 2, 3, or 4, at least one licensed Senior Operator shall be in the control room.
- c. An individual<sup>\*</sup> qualified in radiation protection procedures shall be on site when fuel is in the reactor.
- d. All CORE ALTERATIONS shall be directly supervised by a licensed Senior Operator trained or qualified in refueling and CORE ALTERATIONS (SO-CA) who has no other concurrent responsibilities during this operation.
- e. A site fire brigade of at least 5 members \* shall be maintained onsite at all times. The fire brigade shall not include 3 members of the minimum shift crew necessary for safe shutdown of the unit or any personnel required for other essential functions during a fire emergency.
- f. The amount of overtime worked by plant staff members performing safety-related functions must be limited in accordance with NRC Policy Statement on working hours (Generic Letter 82-12).
- g. The Shift Supervisor, Assistant Shift Supervisor, and Unit Supervisor shall hold a Senior Operator License.
- h. The Operations Superintendet must have held a Senior Operator License at Cook Nuclear Plant or a similar reactor.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-2

The individual qualified in radiation protection procedures and the composition of the fire brigade may be less than the minimum requirements for a period of time not to exceed 2 hours, in order to accommodate unexpected absence provided immediate action is taken to fill the required positions.

# TABLE 6.2-1 MINIMUM SHIFT CREW COMPOSITION\*

| LICENSE                                                 | APPLICABLE MODES     |                                            |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| CATEGORY                                                | 1,2,3 & 4            | 5&6                                        |
| SS<br>SOL<br>OL<br>Non-Licensed<br>Shift Technical Adv. | 1**<br>1<br>2<br>2** | 1 <sup>**#</sup><br>None<br>1<br>1<br>None |

- # Does not include the licensed Senior Operator CA supervising CORE ALTERATIONS.
  - Shift crew composition may be less than the minimum requirements for a period of time not to exceed 2 hours in order to accommodate unexpected absence of on duty shift crew members provided immediate action is taken to restore the shift crew composition to within the minimum requirements of Table 6.2-1.

Shared with Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 2.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

\*

\*\*

6-3

### 6.3 FACILITY STAFF QUALIFICATIONS

6.3.1 Each member of the facility staff shall meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971 for comparable positions, except for (1) the Plant Radiation Protection Manager, who shall meet or exceed qualifications of Regulatory Guide 1.8, September 1975, and (2) the Shift Technical Advisor, who shall have a bachelor's degree or equivalent in a scientific or engineering discipline with specific training in plant design, and response and analysis of the plant for transients and accidents.

### 6.4 TRAINING

6.4.1 A retraining and replacement training program for the facility staff shall be maintained under the direction of the Training Manager and shall meet or exceed the requirements and recommendations of Section 5.5 of ANSI N18.1-1971 and of 10 CFR Part 55.

6.4.2 A training program for the fire brigade shall be maintained under the direction of the Plant Manager and shall meet or exceed the requirements of Section 27 of the NFPA Code-1976.

### 6.5 REVIEW AND AUDIT

### 6.5.1 PLANT NUCLEAR SAFETY REVIEW COMMITTEE (PNSRC)

### FUNCTION

6.5.1.1 The PNSRC shall function to advise the Plant Manager on all matters related to nuclear safety.

### COMPOSITION

6.5.1.2 The PNSRC shall be composed of Assistant Plant Managers, Department Superintendents, or supervisory personnel reporting directly to the Plant Manager, Assistant Plant Managers or Department Superintendents from the functional areas listed below:

| Licensing Activities | Technical Support    |
|----------------------|----------------------|
| Safety & Assessment  | Radiation Protection |
| Operations           | Maintenance          |

The Chairman, his alternate and other members and their alternates of the PNSRC shall be designated by the Plant Manager. In addition to the Chairman, the PNSRC membership shall consist of a minimum of one individual from each of the areas designated above.

PNSRC members and alternates shall meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971 Section 4.4 for comparable positions. The nuclear power plant operations individual shall meet the qualifications of Section 4.2.2 and the maintenance individual shall meet the qualifications of Section 4.2.3 of ANSI N18.1-1971.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-4

### ALTERNATES

6.5.1.3 No more than two alternates shall participate as voting members in PNSRC activities at any one time.

### MEETING FREQUENCY

6.5.1.4 The PNSRC shall meet at least once per calendar month and as convened by the PNSRC Chairman or his designated alternate.

### QUORUM

6.5.1.5 A quorum of the PNSRC shall consist of the Chairman or his designated alternate and at least three members including alternates.

### RESPONSIBILITIES

6.5.1.6 The PNSRC shall be responsible for:

- a. Review of all Plant Manager Instructions (PMIs) and revisions thereto.
- b. Review of safety evaluations for (1) plant site procedures and revisions thereto which affect the nuclear safety of the plant;
  (2) changes or modifications to nuclear safety-related structures, systems or components; and (3) tests or experiments which affect plant nuclear safety to verify that such actions did not constitute an unreviewed safety question as defined in 10 CFR 50.59.
- c. Review of (1) proposed procedures and revisions to procedures, (2) changes to equipment, systems, or facilities, and (3) proposed tests or experiments which may involve an unreviewed safety question as defined in 10 CFR 50.59.
- d. Review of proposed changes to Appendix "A" Technical Specifications or the Operating License and rendering determinations in writing with regard to whether or not the proposed change constitutes a Significant Hazards Consideration.
- e. Investigation of all violations of the Technical Specifications including the preparation and forwarding of reports covering evaluation and recommendations to prevent recurrence to the Chairman of the NSDRC.
- f. Review of all REPORTABLE EVENTS.
- g. Review of facility operations to detect potential nuclear safety hazards.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-5

- h. Performance of special reviews, investigations of analyses and reports thereon as requested by the Chairman of the NSDRC.
- i. Review of the Plant Security Plan and implementing procedures and shall submit recommended changes to the Chairman of the NSDRC.
- j. Review of the Emergency Plan and implementing procedures and shall submit recommended changes to the Chairman of the NSDRC.
- Review of any accidental, unplanned, or uncontrolled radioactive release including the preparation of reports covering evaluations, recommendations, and disposition of the corrective action to prevent recurrence and the forwarding of these reports to the Vice President - Nuclear Operations and to the NSDRC.
- 1. Review of changes to the PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM, OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL, and radwaste treatment system.

### AUTHORITY

6.5.1.7 The PNSRC shall:

- a. Recommend to the Plant Manager written approval or disapproval of items considered under 6.5.1.6 (a) through (d) above.
- b. Render determinations in writing with regard to whether or not each item considered under 6.5.1.6 (a) through (c) above constitutes an unreviewed safety question.
- c. Provide written notification within 24 hours to the Vice President - Nuclear Operations and the NSDRC of disagreement between the PNSRC and the Plant Manager; however, the Plant Manager shall have responsibility for resolution of such disagreements pursuant to 6.1.1 above.

### RECORDS

6.5.1.8 The PNSRC shall maintain written minutes of each meeting and copies shall be provided to the Chairman of the NSDRC.

6.5.2 NUCLEAR SAFETY AND DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE (NSDRC)

### FUNCTION

6.5.2.1 The NSDRC shall function to provide independent review and audit of • designated activities in the areas of:

a. nuclear power plant operations

b. nuclear engineering

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-6

- c. chemistry and radiochemistry
- d. metallurgy
- e. instrumentation and control
- f. radiological safety
- g. mechanical and electrical engineering
- h. quality assurance practices

### COMPOSITION

6.5.2.2 The NSDRC shall be composed of the following regular members:

- 1. Vice President Nuclear Operations (NSDRC Chairman)
- 2. Section manager, Nuclear Safety and Licensing Nuclear Operations (NSDRC Secretary)
- 3. Plant Manager Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant
- 4. Executive Assistant to the President Indiana Michigan Power Company
- 5. Senior Executive Vice President Engineering & Construction
- 6. Senior Vice President and Chief Engineer
- 7. Senior Vice President Electrical Engineering
- 8. Senior Vice President Engineering and Design
- 9. Vice President Mechanical Engineering
- 10. Vice President Project Management and Construction
- 11. Assistant Vice President Nuclear Engineering
- 12. Division Manager Environmental and Technical Assessment
- 13. Division Manager Design
- 14. Director Quality Assurance
- 15. Group Manager Electrical Systems

Additional members and Vice Chairman may be appointed by the Senior Executive Vice President - Engineering and Construction.

### ALTERNATES

6.5.2.3 Designated alternate members shall be appointed by the Senior Executive Vice President - Engineering and Construction or such other person as he shall designate. In addition, temporary alternate members may be appointed by the NSDRC Chairman to serve on an interim basis, as required. Temporary alternate members are empowered to act on the behalf of the regular or designated alternate members for whom they substitute.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-7

### CONSULTANTS

6.5.2.4 Consultants shall be utilized as determined by the NSDRC Chairman to provide expert advice to the NSDRC.

### MEETING FREQUENCY

6.5.2.5 The NSDRC shall meet at least once per six months.

### QUORUM

6.5.2.6 A quorum, the minimum number of regular members and alternates required to hold a NSDRC meeting shall be eight members, of whom no more than two shall be designated or temporary alternates. The Chairman or acting Chairman shall be present for all NSDRC meetings. If the number of members present is greater than a quorum, then the majority participating and voting at the meeting shall not have line responsibility for operations of the facility. For the purpose of a quorum, only the Plant Manager is considered to have line responsibility.

### REVIEW

6.5.2.7. The NSDRC is responsible for assuring that independent<sup>\*\*</sup> reviews of the following are performed:

- a. The safety evaluations for 1) changes to Plant Manager Instructions, equipment or systems and 2) tests or experiments completed under the provision of 10 CFR 50.59 to verify that such actions did not constitute an unreviewed safety question.
- b. Proposed changes to procedures, equipment or systems which involve an unreviewed safety question as defined in 10 CFR 50.59.
- c. Proposed tests or experiments which involve an unreviewed safety question as defined in 10 CFR 50.59.
- d. Proposed changes in Technical Specifications or this operating license.
- e. Violations of codes, regulations, orders, Technical Specifications, license requirements, or of internal procedures or instructions having nuclear safety significance.

Regular NSDRC members are expected to attend the meeting whenever possible, and alternates may attend as voting members only on an irregular basis. If both a regular member and his alternate attend a meeting, only the regular member may participate as a voting member, and the alternate is considered a guest.

\*\* Independent reviews may be performed by groups which report directly to the NSDRC and which must have NSDRC membership participation.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

- f. Significant operating abnormalities or deviations from normal and expected performance of plant equipment that affect nuclear safety.
- g. All REPORTABLE EVENTS.
- h. All recognized indications of an unanticipated deficiency in some aspect of design or operation of safety-related structures, systems, or components.
- i. Reports and meeting minutes of the PNSRC.

# AUDITS

6.5.2.8 Audits of facility activities shall be performed under the cognizance of the NSDRC. These audits shall encompass:

- a. The conformance of facility operation to provisions contained within the Technical Specifications and applicable license conditions at least once per 12 months.
- b. The performance, training, and qualifications of the entire facility staff at least once per 12 months.
- c. The results of actions taken to correct deficiencies occurring in facility equipment, structures, systems or method of operation that affect nuclear safety at least once per 6 months.
- d. The performance of activities required by the Quality Assurance Program to meet the criteria of Appendix "B", 10 CFR 50, at least once per 24 months.
- e. The Facility Emergency Plan and implementing procedures at least once per 12 months.
- f. The Facility Security Plan and implementing procedures at least once per 12 months.
- g. The fire protection programmatic controls including the implementing procedures at least once per 24 months by qualified licensee QA personnel.
- h. The fire protection equipment and program implementation at least once per 12 months using either a qualified offsite licensee fire protection engineer or an outside independent fire protection consultant. An outside independent fire protection consultant shall be used at least every third year.
- i. The Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program and the results thereof at least once per 12 months.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-9

AMENDMENT NO.

-4 -4 -4 -4

p 4

-14 - 14 - 10 - 10

ない、ないないのないないない、

- j. The OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL and implementing procedures at least once per 24 months.
- k. The PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM and implementing procedures for solidification of radioactive wastes at least once per 24 months.
- 1. The performance of activities required by the Quality Assurance Program to meet the criteria of Regulatory Guide 1.21, Rev. 1, June 1974 and Regulatory Guide 4.1, Rev. 1, April 1975 at least once per 12 months.
- m. Any other area of facility operation considered appropriate by the NSDRC.

### AUTHORITY

6.5.2.9 The NSDRC shall report to and advise the Senior Executive Vice President - Engineering and Construction, AEPSC, on those areas of responsibility specified in Sections 6.5.2.7 and 6.5.2.8.

### RECORDS

6.5.2.10 Records of NSDRC activities shall be prepared, approved and distributed as indicated below:

- a. Minutes of each NSDRC meeting shall be prepared, approved and forwarded to the Senior Executive Vice President - Engineering and Construction, AEPSC, within 14 days following each meeting.
- b. Reports of reviews encompassed by Section 6.5.2.7 above, shall be prepared, approved and forwarded to the Senior Executive Vice President - Engineering and Construction, AEPSC, within 14 days following completion of the review.
- c. Audit reports encompassed by Section 6.5.2.8 above, shall be forwarded to the Senior Executive Vice President - Engineering and Construction, AEPSC, and to the management positions responsible for the areas audited within 30 days after completion of the audit.

### 6.5.3 TECHNICAL REVIEW AND CONTROL

6.5.3.1 Activities which affect nuclear safety shall be conducted as follows:

a. Procedures required by Specification 6.8 and other procedures which affect plant nuclear safety, and changes thereto, shall be prepared, reviewed and approved. Each such procedure or procedure change shall be reviewed by a qualified individual/group other than the individual/group which prepared the procedure or procedure change, but who may be from the same organization as the individual/group which prepared the procedure or procedure

change. Procedures other than Plant Manager Procedures COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1 6-10 AMENDMENT NO.

shall be approved by the appropriate department head as previously designated in writing by the Plant Manager. The Plant Manager shall approve Security Plan implementing procedures, Emergency Plan implementing procedures and Plant Manager Procedures. Temporary changes to procedures which do not change the intent of the approved procedures shall be approved for implementation by two members of the plant staff, at least one of whom holds a Senior Operator license, and documented. The temporary changes shall be approved by the original approval authority within 14 days of implementation. For changes to procedures which may involve a change in intent of the approved procedures, the person authorized above to approve the procedure shall approve the change prior to implementation.

- b. Proposed changes or modifications to plant nuclear safety-related structures, systems and components shall be reviewed as designated by the Plant Manager. Each such modification shall be reviewed (reference T/S 6.5.3.1.e) by a qualified (reference T/S 6.5.3.1.d) individual/group other than the individual/group which designed the modification, but who may be from the same organization as the individual/group which designed the modifications. Proposed modifications to plant nuclear safety-related structures, systems and components shall be approved prior to implementation by the Plant Manager.
- c. Proposed tests and experiments which affect plant nuclear safety and are not addressed in the Final Safety Analysis Report or Technical Specifications shall be prepared, reviewed, and approved. Each such test or experiment shall be reviewed by qualified individuals/groups other than the individual/group which prepared the proposed test or experiment to assure cross-disciplinary review as appropriate for the proposed test or experiment. Proposed tests and experiments shall be approved before implementation by the Plant Manager.
- d. Individuals who approved the reviews performed in the accordance with Specification 6.5.3.1a, 6.5.3.1b, and 6.5.3.1c, shall be members of the plant management staff previously designated by the Plant Manager and shall meet or exceed the minimum qualifications of ANSI N18.1-1971 Section 4.4 for comparable positions. Each such review shall include a determination of whether or not additional, cross-disciplinary review is necessary. If deemed necessary, such review shall be performed by qualified personnel of the appropriate discipline.
- e. Each review shall include a determination of whether or not an unreviewed safety question is involved. Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59, NRC approval of items involving unreviewed safety questions shall be obtained prior to the Plant Manager approval for implementation.

f. The Emergency Plan and implementing procedures shall be reviewed at least once per 12 months. Recommended changes to the COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1 6-11 AMENDMENT NO.

plan and implementing procedures shall be reviewed pursuant to the requirements of Specifications 6.5.1.6 and 6.5.2.7 and approved by the Plant Manager. NRC approval shall be obtained as appropriate.

g. Recommended changes to the Security Plan and implementing procedures shall be reviewed pursuant to the requirements of Specifications 6.5.1.6 and 6.5.2.7 and approved by the Plant Manager. NRC approval shall be obtained as appropriate.

6.5.3.2 Records of the above activities shall be provided to the Plant Manager, PNSRC and/or the NSDRC as necessary for required reviews.

### 6.6 REPORTABLE EVENT ACTION

6.6.1 The following actions shall be taken for REPORTABLE EVENTS:

- a. The Commission shall be notified and a report submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73, and
- b. Each REPORTABLE EVENT shall be reviewed by the PNSRC, and the results of this review shall be submitted to the NSDRC and the Vice President Nuclear Operations.

### 6.7 SAFETY LIMIT VIOLATION

6.7.1 The following actions shall be taken in the event a safety limit is violated:

- a. The NRC Operations Center shall be notified by telephone as soon as possible and in all cases within 1 hour. The Chairman of the NSDRC shall be notified within 24 hours.
- b. A Safety Limit Violation Report shall be prepared. The report shall be reviewed by the PNSRC. This report shall describe (1) applicable circumstances preceding the violation; (2) effects of the violation upon facility components, systems or structures; and (3) corrective action taken to prevent recurrence.
- c. The Safety Limit Violation Report shall be submitted to the Commission, the Chairman of the NSDRC and the Vice President -Nuclear Operations within 14 days of the violation.
- d. Operation of the unit shall not be resumed until authorized by the Commission.

### 6.8 PROCEDURES AND PROGRAMS

6.8.1 Written procedures shall be established, implemented and maintained covering the activities referenced below:

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-12

- a. The applicable procedures recommended in Appendix "A" of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November 1978.
- b. Security Plan implementation.
- c. Emergency Plan implementation.
- d. PROCESS CONTROL PROGRAM implementation.
- e. OFFSITE DOSE CALCULATION MANUAL implementation.
- f. Quality Assurance Program for effluent and environmental monitoring using the guidance in Regulatory Guide 1.21, Rev. 1, June 1974, and Regulatory Guide 4.1, Rev. 1, April 1975.

6.8.2 Each procedure and administrative policy of Specification 6.8.1 above, and changes thereto, including temporary changes, shall be reviewed prior to implementation as set forth in Specification 6.5 above.

### 6.9 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

### ROUTINE REPORTS

6.9.1 In addition to the applicable reporting requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, the following reports shall be submitted to the Regional Administrator unless otherwise noted.

# STARTUP REPORT

6.9.1.1 A summary report of plant startup and power escalation testing shall be submitted following (1) receipt of an operating license, (2) amendment to the license involving a planned increase in power level,
(3) installation of fuel that has a different design or has been manufactured by a different fuel supplier, and (4) modifications that may have significantly altered the nuclear, thermal, or hydraulic performance of the plant.

6.9.1.2 The startup report shall address each of the tests identified in the FSAR and shall include a description of the measured values of the operating conditions or characteristics obtained during the test program and a comparison of these values with design predictions and specifications. Any corrective actions that were required to obtain satisfactory operation shall also be described. Any additional specific details required in license conditions based on other commitments shall be included in this report.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-13

6.9.1.3 Startup reports shall be submitted within (1) 90 days following completion of the startup test program, (2) 90 days following resumption or commencement of commercial power operation, or (3) 9 months following initial criticality, whichever is earliest. If the Startup Report does not cover all three events (i.e., initial criticality, completion of startup test program, and resumption or commencement of commercial power operation), supplementary reports shall be submitted at least every three months until all three events have been completed.

# ANNUAL REPORTS1

6.9.1.4 Annual reports covering the activities of the unit as described below for the previous calendar year shall be submitted prior to March 1 of each year. The initial report shall be submitted prior to March 1 of the year following initial criticality.

6.9.1.5 Reports required on an annual basis shall include:

- a. A tabulation on an annual basis of the number of station, utility and other personnel (including contractors) receiving exposures greater than 100 mrem/yr and their associated man rem exposure according to work and job functions, e.g., reactor operations and surveillance, in-service inspection, routine maintenance, special maintenance (describe maintenance), waste processing and refueling. The dose assignment to various duty functions may be estimates based on pocket dosimeter, TLD, or film badge measurements. Small exposures totalling less than 20% of the individual total dose need not be accounted for. In the aggregate, at least 80% of the total whole body dose received from external sources shall be assigned to specific major work
- b. The complete results of steam generator tube in-service inspections performed during the report period (reference Specification 4.4.5.5.b).
- c. Documentation of all challenges to the pressurizer power operated relief valves (PORVs) or safety valves.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1

6-14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A single submittal may be made for a multiple unit station. The submittal should combine those sections that are common to all units at the station.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This tabulation supplements the requirements of 20.407 of 10 CFR Part 20.

· · · 

• • -

· ·

.

# ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT<sup>3</sup>

6.9.1.6 Routine radiological environmental operating reports covering the operation of the unit during the previous calendar year shall be submitted prior to May 1 of each year.

6.9.1.7 The annual radiological environmental operating reports shall include summaries, interpretations, and statistical evaluation of the results of the radiological environmental surveillance activities for the report period, including a comparison with preoperational studies, operational controls (as appropriate), and previous environmental surveillance reports and an assessment of the observed impacts of the plant operation on the environment. The reports shall also include the results of the land-use census required by Specification 3.12.2. If harmful effects or evidence of irreversible damage are detected by the monitoring, the report shall provide an analysis of the problem and a planned course of action to alleviate the problem.

The annual radiological environmental operating reports shall include summarized and tabulated results in the format of 3.12-2 of all radiological environmental samples taken during the report period. In the event that some results are not available for inclusion with the report, the report shall be submitted noting and explaining the reasons for the missing results. The missing data shall be submitted as soon as possible in a supplementary report.

The reports shall also include the following: a summary description of the radiological environmental monitoring program including sampling methods for each sample type, size, and physical characteristics of each sample type, sample preparation methods, analytical methods, and measuring equipment used; a map of all sample locations keyed to a table giving distances and directions from one reactor; the result of land-use census required by the Specification 3.12.2; and the results of participation in the Interlaboratory Comparison Program required by Specification 3.12.3.

# SEMI-ANNUAL RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENT RELEASE REPORT<sup>3</sup>

6.9.1.8 Routine radioactive effluent release reports covering the operation of the unit during the previous 6 months of operation shall be submitted within 60 days after January 1 and July 1 of each year.

6.9.1.9 The radioactive effluent release reports shall include a summary of the quantities of radioactive liquid and gaseous effluents and solid waste released from the units as outlined in Regulatory guide 1.21, "Measuring, Evaluating and Reporting in Solid Wastes and Releases of Radioactive

<sup>3</sup>A single submittal may be made for a multiple unit station. The submittal should combine those sections that are common to all units at the station; however, for units with separate radwaste systems, the submittal shall specify the releases of radioactive material for each unit.

COOK NUCLEAR PLANT - UNIT 1