

REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8504030214 DOC. DATE: 85/03/29 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315
 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316
 AUTH. NAME: ALEXICH, M.P. AUTHOR AFFILIATION: Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.
 RECIP. NAME: DENTON, H.R. RECIPIENT AFFILIATION: Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Responds to 841114 request for addl info re Generic Ltr 83-28, Items 4.2.1 & 4.2.2, Response re Item 4.3, submitted on 841221, Plant procedures to be revised to incorporate Rev 0 to 831014 "Maint Program for DB-50 Reactor Trip Switchgear."

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A055D COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 0 SIZE: 3
 TITLE: OR/Licensing Submittal: Salem ATWS Events GL-83-28

NOTES: OL:10/25/74 05000315
 OL:12/23/72 05000316

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTR ENCL
	NRR ORB1 BC 01	3 6		
INTERNAL:	ACRS	6 6	ADM/LFMB	1 0
	ELD/HDS3	1 0	IE/DI	1 1
	IE/DGAVT	1 1	NRR/DE/EQB	1 1
	NRR/DE/MEB	1 1	NRR/DHFS/HFEB	1 1
	NRR/DHFS/LQB	1 1	NRR/DHFS/PSRB	1 1
	NRR/DL DIR	1 1	NRR/DL/ORAB	1 1
	NRR/DL/SSPB	1 1	NRR/DL/TAPMG	1 1
	NRR/DSI/ASB	1 1	NRR/DSI/ICSB	2 2
	NRR/DSI/PSB	1 1	NRR/DSI/RSB	1 1
	REG FILE 04	1 1	RGN3	1 1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR 03	2 2	NRC PDR 02	1 1
	NSIC 05	1 1		



100-1000

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

March 29, 1985

AEP:NRC:0838G

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315, 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58, DPR-74
GENERIC LETTER 83-28
RESPONSE INFORMATION REQUEST ON ITEMS 4.2.1 and 4.2.2

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

This letter responds to your staff's November 14, 1984 request for additional information concerning Generic Letter 83-28, Items 4.2.1 and 4.2.2. A response concerning Item 4.3 was submitted in letter AEP:NRC:0838E on December 21, 1984.

Item 4.2.1

The Westinghouse Owners Group (WOG) recommended maintenance program titled "Maintenance Program for DB-50 Reactor Trip Switchgear, Rev. 0: 10/14/83," (WOG Maintenance Program DB-50) by E. Reed, N. E. Stoyanoff, and E. Vogeding, has been approved for use at the D. C. Cook Plant with some modifications as explained below. This modified maintenance program has been transmitted to the plant for incorporation into the plant maintenance procedures. The plant procedures will be revised accordingly, and the revised procedures will be used during the 1985 Unit 1 refueling outage.

- o The WOG Maintenance Program DB-50 has been revised to require all of the maintenance steps to be performed at each refueling outage or after 200 close-trip cycles of the circuit breaker since the last maintenance activity. In addition, actual voltage acceptance values for the 250-volt shunt trip attachment and the 48-volt undervoltage trip attachment have been provided instead of the percentages used in the WOG Maintenance Program DB-50.
- o The WOG Maintenance Program DB-50 recommended maintenance interval has been revised from a six months cycle to refueling outages for activities 1 through 17. These circuit breakers have been maintained at refueling outages using the original Westinghouse instruction books and applicable technical bulletins as updated. These circuit breakers have never failed to trip when required either for surveillance testing or when actuated by the reactor protection system. The circuit breakers are subjected to light

8504030214 850329
PDR ADDCK 05000315
P PDR

A055
1/0

1950

1951

1952

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

1961

electrical service and are located in a clean and dry environment. An evaluation of the light duty, past history of adequate maintenance and non-hostile surroundings, together with a past history of no failures to trip, warrants the limitation of the maintenance interval to the refueling outage.

The potential for human error always exists during the removal, teardown, inspection, reassembly, and reinstallation of any component or device. An error such as the failure to properly torque certain bolts during the reassembly process could escape detection during post-maintenance operability tests, but cause a failure later during an actual demand. In addition, we believe the wear and tear on the breaker components will be increased by increasing the maintenance frequency. This wear and tear, because of too frequent maintenance, could exceed the wear incurred through normal usage and surveillance testing. In light of these two factors, we believe that increasing the maintenance frequency will decrease the strived for reliability and reduce, rather than enhance, the safety function of the breakers.

Instead of increasing the maintenance frequency, we propose to trend the response time of the Shunt Trip features when they are tested periodically, in the monthly and startup surveillance test procedures. The trending analysis will be performed at each surveillance interval in accordance with criteria provided by the cognizant Electrical Engineer. Unacceptable performance will be reviewed and corrective action taken using the procedure outlined in 4.2.2 below.

- o The 15 items listed in the NRC letter will be included in the plant maintenance procedure but will also be revised from a six months cycle to refueling outages. Items 1, 2, 3, and 5 listed for the refueling outage maintenance interval will be included in the plant maintenance procedure. The connections which will permit measuring the response time for the undervoltage trip (Item 4) will be installed as part of the shunt trip attachment modification. The plant specific design for this was submitted to the NRC in letter AEP:NRC:0838E dated December 21, 1984, and was approved. The plant procedures will be revised to include the measurement of the undervoltage trip time.

Item 4.2.2

Recording and trending the undervoltage trip device minimum trip voltage (dropout voltage) is required and is described in the WOG Maintenance Program DB-50. Trending this parameter will allow compliance with the maintenance program and will use the recommended acceptance and corrective action. This trending activity will be implemented at the same time the revised procedure is implemented. Trending will begin with the first refueling outage that the shunt trip attachment modification is installed. Additional prescribed trending is indicated below.

The first part of the report deals with the general situation of the country and the progress of the work during the year. It is followed by a detailed account of the various projects and the results achieved.

The second part of the report deals with the financial aspects of the work. It shows the total amount of money spent and the amount received from various sources. It also shows the balance of the account at the end of the year.

The third part of the report deals with the personnel of the organization. It shows the number of people employed and the work done by each of them. It also shows the salaries and other benefits paid to the employees.

The fourth part of the report deals with the future plans of the organization. It shows the work to be done in the next year and the resources required for it. It also shows the estimated cost of the work and the expected results.

The fifth part of the report deals with the conclusions of the work. It shows the main findings of the work and the recommendations made. It also shows the suggestions for the improvement of the work.

- o The trip force will be measured in accordance with the referenced procedure and will be recorded and trended; the breaker response time for undervoltage trip will be measured, recorded and trended; and the breaker insulation resistance will be measured, recorded and trended.
- o The trending analysis will be performed by members of the plant staff using criteria in the WOG Maintenance Program DB-50. The acceptance criteria will be provided by the Cognizant Electrical Engineer if it is not provided for in the WOG Maintenance Program DB-50. The trending program analysis will be conducted at each refueling outage coincident with the breaker maintenance. The Cognizant Electrical Engineer will evaluate unacceptable variations in parameters, outside the acceptance criteria. After this evaluation, the Cognizant Electrical Engineer will initiate a review of the maintenance procedure, replacement of the degraded parts, and evaluation of maintenance frequency or other steps as required to restore the circuit breakers to a condition of continued reliability.

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to insure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,



M. P. Alexich
Vice President

cc: John E. Dolan
W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman
R. C. Callen
G. Bruchmann
G. Charnoff
NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records. It emphasizes that without proper documentation, it is difficult to track progress and identify areas for improvement. This section also touches upon the need for regular communication and reporting to ensure that all stakeholders are kept in the loop.

2. The second part of the document focuses on the implementation of the project. It details the various tasks and responsibilities assigned to different team members. It also discusses the challenges faced during the implementation phase and how they were overcome. This section provides a clear overview of the project's progress and the impact it has had on the organization.

3. The third part of the document concludes with a summary of the key findings and recommendations. It highlights the success factors of the project and offers suggestions for future projects. This section serves as a valuable reference for anyone involved in similar initiatives.

Appendix A

Table 1: Summary of Key Data Points

Category	Value
Item 1	1234
Item 2	5678
Item 3	9012
Item 4	3456
Item 5	7890