## REGULATOR INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION STATEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:8412140230 DOC.DATE: 84/12/10 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50=315 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, Indiana & 05000315 50=316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316

50=316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Un AUTH,NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION

ALEXICH, M.P. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co. RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

DENTON, H.R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Forwards revised justifications for continued operation re electrical equipment environ qualification program. Rev corrects schedule for proposed corrective actions & accurately describes operability of hot & cold leg temps.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A048D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR \_\_ ENCL \_\_ SIZE:\_\_\_\_\_\_TITLE: OR/Licensing Submittal: Equipment Qualification

NOTES:

Ť

05000315

OL:10/25/74

05000316

OL:12/23/72

	RECIPIENT	COPIES	3	RECIPIENT	COPIES			
	ID CODE/NAM	LTTR ENCL		ID CODE/NAMI	LTTR ENCL			
	NRR ORB1 BC	12	1	0	WIGGINGTON, D	01	1	1
INTERNAL:	ACRS	15	8	8	ADM/LFMB		1	0
	ELD/HDS3	12	1 1	1	GC	13	1	1
	IE FILE	09.	1 -	1	NRR KARSCH,R		1	1
	NRR/DE/EQB	07	2,	2	NRR/DL DIR	1'4	1	1.
	NRR/DL/ORAB	06	1	1	NRR/DSI/AEB		1	1
	REG. FILE	04	ī	1	RGN3		1	1
EXTERNAL:	LPDR	03.	2	2	· NRC" PDR	20	1	1
	NSIC	05	1	1	NTIS	31	1	1

#### 1 N 1 N 1

### The state of the s

П	n		, ki	и <b>п</b>	1 1	,		1.	1		
I	P) '	K	Mar of Mar	<b>9</b>	'	, 1	Ħ	R			
	•			1			46		,	3	н
1	н	N			R		¥		*		
1	Į.		•	1.0	P	1	¥.		1		
Ji	P.	.1	H	W .			h.				
	ņ		ı	ji .	pa li						
	1+1										
	ıı			1							
r'					•		1				
11	'	E,		Ы	Ú	8	ť				

### INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631 . COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

December 10, 1984

AEP:NRC:07750

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit Nos. 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-315 and 50-316
License Nos. DPR-58 and DPR-74
ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION (10 CFR 50.49);
UPDATE TO LETTER NO. AEP:NRC:0775N

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

By letter dated October 18, 1984 [AEP:NRC:0775N, Mr. M. P. Alexich, Indiana & Michigan Electric Company (IMECo), to Mr. H. R. Denton, NRC], IMECo responded to an NRC staff request and provided additional information with regard to the Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant electrical equipment environmental qualification program. This information was intended to form the basis for your staff's final review of the Cook Plant 10 CFR 50.49 environmental qualification program, allowing for the issuance of a Safety Evaluation Report on this topic.

As noted in IMECo letter No. AEP:NRC:0775N, the Plant Nuclear Safety Review Committee and the Nuclear Safety Design Review Committee had not yet reviewed the technical Justifications for Continued Operation (JCOs) at the time of transmittal. These reviews have now been completed, and as a result revisions have been prepared for two of the JCOs previously submitted.

The two revised JCOs are provided in the attachment to this letter, and update JCO Nos. 6 and 8 contained in Attachment 2 to AEP:NRC:0775N. In the case of JCO No. 6, the revision corrects the schedule for proposed corrective actions to agree with the AEP:NRC:0775N cover letter. For JCO No. 8, the revision more accurately describes the operability of the hot and cold leg Reactor Coolant System resistance temperature detectors and, as back-up indication, the use of the main steam pressure transmitters in conjunction with saturated steam tables. The two attached JCOs supersede the two JCOs which were previously submitted for these equipment items.

B412140230 B41210 PDR ADDCK 05000315 P PDR

. ...

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to ensure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

M. P. Alexich

Vice President

MPA/dam Attachment

ce: John E. Dolan

W. G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman

R. C. Callen

G. Bruchmann

G. Charnoff

NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman

Le Carreng L Constant

A THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA

# ATTACHMENT TO AEP:NRC:07750 REVISIONS TO JUSTIFICATIONS FOR CONTINUED OPERATION

## JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUED OPERATION (10 CFR 50.49)

Donald C. Coo	k Nuclear Plant No(s): Units 1 and 2
Equipment Man	ufacturer: Not Applicable
Equipment Mod	el/Item No(s): Not Applicable
Equipment Des	cription: Cable Termination at Reactor Coolant System Vents
So:	Lenoid-Operated Valve
System Compon	ent Evaluation Worksheet No(s): TC-16
Plant Identif	ication No(s).: Not Applicable
	والمستور والأخار المستور والمراكب والمواري والمراه والمراجع والمعاري والمتعاري والمراجع والمراوي والمراكب
	quipment Qualification Deficiencies: Steam and Chemical Spray
Justification explain on th	For Continued Operation (check one or more of the following, and e next page):
(a)	The safety function may be accomplished by some designated alternative equipment if the principal equipment has not been demonstrated to be fully qualified.
(b)	The validity of partial type test data in support of the original qualification has been considered.
(c)	There is limited use of administrative controls over equipment that has not been demonstrated to be fully qualified.
(d)	The safety function will be completed prior to exposure to the accident environment resulting from the design basis event, and subsequent failure of the equipment will not degrade any safety function or mislead the operator.
X(e)	Failure of the equipment under the accident environment resulting from the design basis event will not lead to significant degradation of any safety function or misleading information to the operator.

## JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUED OPERATION (continued):

Explanation of Justification For Continued Operation Noted on Previous Page:
The Reactor Coolant System vents are a post-TMI lessons learned require-
ment. Equipment installation without proof of qualification was NRC-mandated
When the environmental qualification test configuration details became availa-
ble during the spring of 1984, we realized that our installation did not con-
form with the qualified installation.
In their qualification test, Westinghouse used a Conax connector to pre-
clude the possibility of steam and chemical spray from entering the solenoid
enclosure and reacting with the electrical terminations. Since we had not
been made aware of the details of the test configuration, the solenoid valves
were installed with a metal conduit with no protection against a steam envir-
onment. In order to change the installed electrical connections to agree
with those used by Westinghouse in their qualification test, a plant design
change is in process.
The electrical connection should be modified during the 1985 refueling
outages for each unit of the D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant (i.e., those outages
anticipated to begin in March 1985 for Unit 1 and in November 1985 for Unit
2).

### JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUED OPERATION (10 CFR 50.49)

Donald C. Coo	k Nuclear Plant No(s):Units 1 and 2
Equipment Man	ufacturer: S. Moore, Boston Insulated Wire, Cerro Wire & Cable
Equipment Mod	el/Item No(s): 3075, 3077
Equipment Des	cription: Cables for Resistance Temperature Detectors (RTDs)
In	Reactor Coolant System Hot and Cold Legs
System Compon	ent Evaluation Worksheet No(s): CI-3, CI-5 (Unit 1); CI-8,
CI-	9, CI-11 (Unit 2)
	ication No(s).: Not Applicable
st kietin ei	en de la composition de la composition La composition de la
	quipment Qualification Deficiencies: Submergence
explain on the	
<u>^_</u> (a)	The safety function may be accomplished by some designated alternative equipment if the principal equipment has not been demonstrated to be fully qualified.
(b)	The validity of partial type test data in support of the original qualification has been considered.
(c)	There is limited use of administrative controls over equipment that has not been demonstrated to be fully qualified.
., . <u> </u>	The safety function will be completed prior to exposure to the accident environment resulting from the design basis event, and subsequent failure of the equipment will not degrade any safety function or mislead the operator.
(e) .:.	Failure of the equipment under the accident environment resulting from the design basis event will not lead to significant degradation of any safety function or misleading information to the operator.

### JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUED OPERATION (continued):

Explanation o	f	Justification	For	Continued	Operation	Noted	on	Previous	Page:
---------------	---	---------------	-----	-----------	-----------	-------	----	----------	-------

NTR-110, -120, -130, -140, -210, -220, -230, and -240 are Resistance

Temperature Detectors (RTDs) located in the hot and cold leg piping of the

four reactor coolant loops. The cables for six of the eight NTRs were inad
vertently routed below the maximum containment flood level elevation in each

unit. The remaining two NTR cables (coolant loop Nos. 1 and 3 hot leg) in

each unit were, however, routed above the maximum flood level plane.

Nos. 1 and 3 in both units of the D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant. Additionally, the average coolant loop temperature, Tave, can be obtained by using the main steam pressure transmitters outside containment in conjunction with saturated steam tables. The cold leg temperature for coolant loop Nos. 1 and 3 may then be computed from Thot and Tave for these loops.

The six NTR cables routed below the maximum containment flood level plane in each unit will be rerouted. A design change has been issued to cover this work, and is expected to be completed during the 1985 refueling outages for each unit of the D. C. Cook Nuclear Plant (i.e., those outages anticipated to begin in March 1985 for Unit 1 and in November 1985 for Unit 2).