

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8406050315 DOC. DATE: 84/06/01 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-316 Donald C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2, Indiana & 05000316
 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 ALEXICH, M. P. Indiana & Michigan Electric Co.
 RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION
 DENTON, H. R. Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Director

SUBJECT: Advises that PTS-PWR2 code mods, benchmarking documents & methodology & applications documentation for Westinghouse PWR will be submitted to provide acceptable plant transient analyses.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001S COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 2
 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		COPIES LTTR ENCL
	NRR ORB1 BC 01		7 7				
INTERNAL:	ELD/HDS3		1 0		NRR/DE/MTEB		1 1
	NRR/DL DIR		1 1		NRR/DL/ORAB		1 0
	NRR/DSI/METB		1 1		NRR/DSI/RAB		1 1
	<u>REG FILE</u> 04		1 1		RGN3		1 1
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 09		6 6		LPDR 03		1 1
	NRC PDR 02		1 1		NSIC 05		1 1
	NTIS		1 1				

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that this is crucial for the company's financial health and for providing reliable information to stakeholders.

2. The second part of the document outlines the procedures for recording transactions. It details the steps involved in the accounting process, from identifying a transaction to recording it in the appropriate ledger.

3. The third part of the document discusses the importance of reconciling accounts. It explains how regular reconciliations help to identify and correct errors, ensuring that the company's financial records are accurate and up-to-date.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining proper documentation. It highlights the need to keep all supporting documents, such as invoices and receipts, organized and accessible for future reference.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of reviewing financial statements. It explains how regular reviews help to identify trends, assess performance, and make informed decisions about the company's future.

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that this is crucial for the company's financial health and for providing reliable information to stakeholders.		2. The second part of the document outlines the procedures for recording transactions. It details the steps involved in the accounting process, from identifying a transaction to recording it in the appropriate ledger.	
3. The third part of the document discusses the importance of reconciling accounts. It explains how regular reconciliations help to identify and correct errors, ensuring that the company's financial records are accurate and up-to-date.		4. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining proper documentation. It highlights the need to keep all supporting documents, such as invoices and receipts, organized and accessible for future reference.	
5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of reviewing financial statements. It explains how regular reviews help to identify trends, assess performance, and make informed decisions about the company's future.			

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC COMPANY

P.O. BOX 16631
COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216

June 1, 1984
AEP:NRC:0860N

Donald C. Cook Nuclear Plant Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-316
License No. DPR-74
PLANT TRANSIENT ANALYSES

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Denton:

In order to provide acceptable plant transient analyses for Unit 2, using the PTS-PWR2 code developed by Exxon Nuclear Company, we will be providing the following:

- 1) PTS-PWR2 code modifications
- 2) Benchmarking documents
 - a) Prairie Island tube rupture event
 - b) LOFT tests
 - c) RELAP5 analysis of coastdown of Primary Pumps
- 3) Methodology and applications documentation for Westinghouse PWR's

Pursuant to our discussions with your staff, AEP commits to providing the above information on or before September 1, 1984. The plant specific analyses using the PTS-PWR2 code will be provided within 90 days after our receipt of NRC written acceptance of the PTS-PWR2 code for D.C. Cook application. Exxon Nuclear Company has assured us that this can be achieved in accordance with the above schedule.

Also, please note that Mr. R.A. Copeland of Exxon Nuclear Company transmitted to Mr. J. Guttman of Reactor Systems Branch (NRC) on March 26, 1984 responses to the preliminary set of questions from Argonne National Laboratory on the PTS-PWR2 code (ENC Letter No. RAC:026:84). Specifically included are responses to: 1) forty-three of the forty-nine September 30 questions on PTS-PWR2 code, 2) all of the March 6 Prairie Island benchmark questions and 3) the three questions of November 22 concerning PTS-PWR2 code.

8406050315 840601
PDR ADDCK 05000316
PDR

A001
1/1

15

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

1910

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10

10


10

10

10

This document has been prepared following Corporate procedures which incorporate a reasonable set of controls to insure its accuracy and completeness prior to signature by the undersigned.

Very truly yours,


M.P. Alexich
Vice President

Handwritten: 4/20/84
2-1-84

bjs

cc: John E. Dolan
W.G. Smith, Jr. - Bridgman
R.C. Callen
G. Charnoff
E.R. Swanson, NRC Resident Inspector - Bridgman
G.F. Owsley - Exxon Nuclear Company

