



## Nuclear Information and Resource Service

6930 Carroll Ave., Suite 340 • Takoma Park, MD 20912  
(301) 270-NIRS (6477) • Fax: (301) 270-4291  
[www.nirs.org](http://www.nirs.org) • [nirs@nirs.org](mailto:nirs@nirs.org) • [@nirsnet](https://twitter.com/nirsnet)

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
c/o Greg Trussell  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
301-415-6445  
[Gregory.Trussell@nrc.gov](mailto:Gregory.Trussell@nrc.gov)

November 14, 2017

RE: 3 month extension request

To the Commissioners and Gregory Trussell:

Our organizations, all of which have been actively involved in the “low-level” radioactive waste issue for many years, in states dealing with waste to be managed under 10 CFR 61, would like a 3 month extension on the comment period for the Low Level Radioactive Waste Regulatory Analysis, Federal Register / Vol. 82, No. 199 / Tuesday, October 17, 2017 / Notices pp. 48283-5.

We are and we represent entities that are impacted by the production, use, treatment, transportation and disposal of “low-level” radioactive waste, depleted uranium and the 10 CFR 61 disposal facilities. Our perspectives would enhance the regulatory analysis. Unfortunately, no one can speak for the many generations that will be impacted in the future, but we ask for more time to have the opportunity to provide feedback on the assumptions, costs, doses and other information on the 29 paragraphs of 10 CFR 61 that are changing.

All six of the original commercial “low-level” radioactive waste disposal sites have had releases above allowable limits despite the promises of their promoters that they would not leak for many thousands of years. In several cases, the states and local communities are bearing the cost burdens. It is incumbent on our generations to improve that record and avert future disasters. We ask for the additional time to reflect and include the economic, technical and social costs and benefits from the public interest and environmental perspective.

Thank you for consideration of this request.

Very sincerely,

Diane D’Arrigo, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, [dianed@nirs.org](mailto:dianed@nirs.org) 301 270 6477 x 3  
Jessica Reimer, Policy Associate, Heal Utah, [jessica@healutah.org](mailto:jessica@healutah.org)  
Karen Hadden, SEED Coalition TX, [karendhadden@gmail.com](mailto:karendhadden@gmail.com)  
Peggy Maze Johnson, Board, Heart of America Northwest WA, [peg@Hoanw.org](mailto:peg@Hoanw.org)  
Susan Corbett, Chair, South Carolina Sierra Club, [reindeargirl@gmail.com](mailto:reindeargirl@gmail.com)  
Don Safer, Board, Tennessee Environmental Council, [dsafer@comcast.net](mailto:dsafer@comcast.net)  
Dave Kraft, Nuclear Energy Information Service IL, [neis@neis.org](mailto:neis@neis.org)

## CHAIRMAN Resource

---

**From:** Diane D'Arrigo <dianed@nirs.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 13, 2017 9:41 PM  
**To:** Trussell, Gregory; CHAIRMAN Resource; CMRBARAN Resource; CMRBurns Resource  
**Cc:** Diane D'Arrigo; Susan Corbett; Karen Hadden; Don Safer dsafer@comcast.net; Peggy Maze Johnson (peg@Hoanw.org); jessica@healutah.org; Dave Kraft  
**Subject:** [External\_Sender] extension request for Low Level Radioactive Waste Regulatory Analysis comment period  
**Attachments:** ATT00001.txt

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
c/o Greg Trussell  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards  
Washington, DC 20555-0001  
301-415-6445  
[Gregory.Trussell@nrc.gov](mailto:Gregory.Trussell@nrc.gov)

November 14, 2017  
RE: 3 month extension

request  
To the Commissioners and Gregory Trussell:

Our organizations, all of which have been actively involved in the “low-level” radioactive waste issue for many years, in states dealing with waste to be managed under 10 CFR 61, would like a 3 month extension on the comment period for the Low Level Radioactive Waste Regulatory Analysis, Federal Register / Vol. 82, No. 199 / Tuesday, October 17, 2017 / Notices pp. 48283-5.

We are and we represent entities that are impacted by the production, use, treatment, transportation and disposal of “low-level” radioactive waste, depleted uranium and the 10 CFR 61 disposal facilities. Our perspectives would enhance the regulatory analysis. Unfortunately, no one can speak for the many generations that will be impacted in the future, but we ask for more time to have the opportunity to provide feedback on the assumptions, costs, doses and other information on the 29 paragraphs of 10 CFR 61 that are changing.

All six of the original commercial “low-level” radioactive waste disposal sites have had releases above allowable limits despite the promises of their promoters that they would not leak for many thousands of years. In several cases, the states and local communities are bearing the cost burdens. It is incumbent on our generations to improve that record and avert future disasters. We ask for the additional time to reflect and include the economic, technical and social costs and benefits from the public interest and environmental perspective.

Thank you for consideration of this request.

Very sincerely,

Diane D'Arrigo, Nuclear Information and Resource Service, [dianed@nirs.org](mailto:dianed@nirs.org) 301 270 6477 x 3  
Jessica Reimer, Policy Associate, Heal Utah, [jessica@healutah.org](mailto:jessica@healutah.org)  
Karen Hadden, SEED Coalition TX, [karendhadden@gmail.com](mailto:karendhadden@gmail.com)  
Peggy Maze Johnson, Board, Heart of America Northwest WA, [peg@Hoanw.org](mailto:peg@Hoanw.org)  
Susan Corbett, Chair, South Carolina Sierra Club, [reindeargirl@gmail.com](mailto:reindeargirl@gmail.com)  
Don Safer, Board, Tennessee Environmental Council, [dsafer@comcast.net](mailto:dsafer@comcast.net)  
Dave Kraft, Nuclear Energy Information Service IL, [neis@neis.org](mailto:neis@neis.org)