

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

SUPPORTING AMENDMENT NO. 55 TO PROVISIONAL OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-18

ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORPORATION

R. E. GINNA NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-244

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Following the January 25, 1982 steam generator tube rupture event at the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (RG&E) and the NRC staff conducted extensive evaluations on the cause of the incident and on the corrective actions that were necessary prior to restart. The NRC staff's evaluation is contained in NUREG-0916, SAFETY EVALUATION REPORT Related to the Restart of the R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant. At that time, RG&E committed to perform an inspection of the steam generators after 120 days to assure that the corrective actions taken to preclude further peripheral tube degradation had been successful.

The steam generator inspections, which included eddy current, fiber optics, video and visual inspections, were performed during the present outage that began September 25, 1982. During the inspection of the B-steam generator, a previously plugged tube (Row 39 Column 69) was found to have a fish-mouth rupture. The opening faced outward towards the steam generator shell and is approximately 1.25 inches long, 3/8 inch at the widest opening and begins approximately 3.5 inches above the top of the tube sheet.

Representatives of RG&E and the NRC staff have had several discussions dealing with the cause of the burst and RG&E's proposed repair program. By letter dated October 6, 1982, RG&E submitted their analysis of the cause of the tube failure and the details of their repair program. In the submittal RG&E committed to perform a steam generator inspection during their next refueling outage, which is scheduled to begin in mid-March 1983. In a telephone conversation on October 7, 1982, RG&E agreed to incorporate this commitment into its License No. DPR-18, which authorizes operation of R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant.

2.0 EVALUATION

The burst tube (R39C69) is on the periphery of the hot leg side of the B-steam generator. The burst occurred in a wear area which is approximately 5 inches long and 3/8 inch wide on the tube that had previously

Dupe 3210100158

been identified during the outage following the January 1982 steam rupture (see NUREG-0916). RG&E has stated that the current video inspection of the burst tube indicates that the wear pattern has not changed since the last inspection. The tube was originally plugged in January, 1976 for a defect measured as 56% through-wall by eddy current testing (ECT).

RG&E has postulated that the most probable cause of the burst in R39C69 is a reduction in the tube wall due to wear, and subsequent pressurization from the primary side through a leaking plug. RG&E has reviewed the plant operations during the period from May 1982 to the shutdown in September 1982 and based on analyses and calculations has determined that the burst most probably occurred during the routine hydrostatic test performed as part of the startup in May. The differential pressure across the steam generator tubes during the test is approximately 2200 psi.

RG&E performed calculations based on the size of the wear area as seen by the video inspections and has concluded that the tube wall thickness could have been sufficiently reduced to result in a burst failure given the 2200 psi differential pressure. The wear area is postulated to have occurred as the result of the presence of the foreign objects that were removed during the outage following the January 25 tube rupture. One foreign object, a 4 inch piece of weld rod, was found during the video inspections performed this outage. The appearance of the weld rod indicates that it had been buried in the sludge pile and had been washed to the periphery during the water lancing performed at the beginning of the present outage. The recent ECT and video inspections indicate that no further degradation of the peripheral tubes has occurred.

Westinghouse explosive plugs were used when this tube was plugged in January 1976. RG&E has stated that the industry has experienced a history of small leakage of these plugs over time as a result of stress corrosion cracking. RG&E has reviewed the variations of secondary: system activity at Ginna for the period of May to September 1982. They feel the change in activity levels are consistent with industry experience with leaking plugs of this type. During the period, Ginna had a calculated primary-to-secondary leak rate of approximately 3cc per minute.

RG&E is removing the portion of the burst tube between the tube sheet and the first support plate in order to verify the failure mechanism of the tube. The section of tube is being removed by drilling out the explosive plug, cutting the tube from the primary channel head, and removing the pieces through access ports on the secondary side. The removed hot leg plug will be replaced with a seal welded plug. The associated cold leg plug and both the hot and cold leg plugs of the adjacent two, plugged tubes (R39 C67 and R39 C70) will be repaired in a similar manner. These two tubes have wear patterns that are similar to, but smaller than, that found on the burst tube. Although no leakage in these plugs is evident, they will be preventatively repaired also.

RG&E has stated that the secondary side video inspections have shown no other periphery tubes in either the A or B-steam generators with the type of wear that is believed to have contributed to the burst of tube R39 C69.

RG&E has committed to perform a steam generator inspection during the next refueling outage which is currently scheduled to begin in mid-March 1983. RG&E has stated that the inspection program will be comparable to that conducted during the present outage and will include complete ECT and visual inspections as well as water lancing.

3.0 SUMMARY

The staff has reviewed the licensee's submittal and proposed course of action and have determined that RG&E's program of repair and their analysis of the problem are satisfactory. Therefore, with the addition of this proposed License Condition, the staff has concluded that the operation of the Ginna Plant will not pose a safety hazard.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types, increase in total amounts of effluents, or an increase in power level, and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact, and pursuant to 10 GFR 51.5(d)(4), that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

'5.0 CONCLUSION

We also conclude, based on the considerations discussed above, that:

(1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in
the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered, does
not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, and does not
create the possibility of an accident of a type different from any
evaluated previously, the amendment does not involve a significant
hazards consideration; (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health
and safety of the public will not be endangered by the operation in
the proposed manner; and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this
amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or the
health and safety of the public.

6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This safety evaluation report was prepared by J. Lyons.

Date: October 8, 1932



Circan S	TO NOT THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O							1. FEE FORM TYPE (Check one)		
(10-80)	80) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMIC							Languagy		
REACTOR FACILITY FEE DETERMINATION								FINAL MEMO		
								NDED	SU	
	•				,١,		1 7 7 7			
	items 1 through 14, as app	licable, and send	the original copy to	the License Fee M	anagement Brar	ich.	2 4005	SION NUMBER		
2. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	1	1.1	•	*	•	*	J. ACCE.			
	<u>\</u>	400								
4. LICENSEE		1	• // .	1		I			•	
	` /i .	#		L, -					4	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	روستگ کریات کی ا	122		ريدير.						
5. PLANT NAME AND UN	11(8)	•	1						-	
6. DATE OF APPLICATIO	7. FEE REMITTED	72/	·	8	LICENSEE FEI	DETERMINATION	1			
والمرابع المرابع		CLASSI	1	CLASSIII	CLASSIV	CLASSV	CLASS	/I EXEMPT	NONE ,	
1 /25	NO	CLASSI	CLASS II	- CD33***					17	
9. SUBJECT	NO	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		10, TAC NUMBE	RASSIGN	ED (If available)		
a, sobject /		•	•							
~	(2.4					11, APF	ROVAL '	DATE OF ISSU	IANCE	
()	المحر برر		£	1-100	n /.	LETTER	ORE		•	
							NT NUMBER(S)			
•			•			55	•	10/8/	182	
			12 NRC	FEE DETERMINATI	ON					
The shove soulic	ation has been reviewe	d la accordance	•			v categorized.				
	ation has been reviewe									
Fee should be cl		u III accordance	b with Section 1	10.22 oʻ 1 dit 11 oʻ			•			
	R CLASSIFICATION OR RE	CL ASSISICATION								
JUSTIFICATION FO	* CLASSIFICATION ON NE	OLASSII IOATION	•							
				 ;						
				٠.						
									_	
,					•					
15						•				
				•		,				
/ 			·	4				•		
	a Class / / / / typ		115 exempt Içom	1883 Decause II	s: ·					
	profit educational Inst									
}	vernment agency and is					4			An almalife as	
	II, or III amendment w							the amendment is		
	e or Technical Specifi	ications; has o	nly minor safet	y significance; a	nd is being is	sued for the co	nvenienc	e of NHC (must m	eet all of the	
criteria).						<u> </u>				
Other (State)	eason therefor)	•			;					
	~ 1/ ···	$u_{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot}$	tio 1	رُم بِينَ الْمِينَ فِي الْمُرْكِلُونِ الْمُرْكِلُونِ الْمُرْكِلُونِ الْمُرْكِلُونِ الْمُرْكِلُونِ الْمُرْكِلُونِ	, this	- hun	ے رکنہ	'ev' 9 2.	2-04	
	مدر مرسر	7-11-	11		^	- /	.7	1.		
Provi	1 inni 17	- Ac	, then	I share	1-n-	<u>, , , ,</u>	11.	<u> </u>	V.me	
41 1	سودر کریسه سرد	, /- `	a On	/	Cm	11/4:	Δ	- h	2/2/110	
<u> </u>	17017-2111	~~, ~ ; ~ 	1 1	P		1 1	/ /	1/1	11.10	
(MA 1/2	7114716-15	suro	Hae le	- 6-1CC	, cons	J. J. J. 1	rling	C70 Tre.	L'ans	
Gradeta	na / Celining	! all:	re-jur-	Ai-11 1	مهتز کر ۔	L1	· -/-	ور سیان	J.	
		· //		· · · · ·	11: 1	7-c C33-c	·	1	}	
164.	174. C	pose	to co.	me.	c sel	نعربسه	am		ردم.	
13. SIGNATURE (Branch	(10ld) · //2	Kril_	9 0	0.20	(CA) 10	20 1. 1	tri.	DATE	_	
JAE)	your	0/8/82	<i>D</i>		10/1		3 /0/	フタシン		
VICE	<u> </u>	Trite						10 -		
	1: The preliminary fee dete	rmination has bee	en reassessed and	is hereby affirmed.				DATE		
	fanager or Branch (Njel)	11	: . //			· , a line	100	t		
Denne	all. In	dolfe	lle			10/8/	50			
		SE FEE AANA	GEMENT BRAN	CH USE ONLY (A	II others do n	ol write below th	is line)			
	est has been reviewed and					4		DATE /		
SIGNATURE (Chief, L		1, . ~	()	-				1,,/,	- [
11/11	(1) (1)	. 1111	11/1					10/1	2 18 5	
 	(0 VW)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ALATZIO	UTION BY LFMB			•			
Records Services Bra	nch DL Branch	h Chief	LFMB Exemp		LFMB Rea	ctor File	1 1	 		
V THOUSANDINGS BIT	DE DIRNE	. Sine	T WO EXOUR	20011110	Crmo nea	VIVI I 110				