

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Arizona Public Service Company  
Palo Verde Unit 1

Docket Number 50-528  
License Number NPF-41

During an NRC inspection conducted on June 16 through July 27, 1991, one violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcements Action," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violation is listed below:

Unit 1 Technical Specification 6.8.1 states in part: "Written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained covering the activities . . . recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978."

Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, Appendix A, includes surveillance tests to meet Technical Specifications.

Surveillance test procedure 77ST-1SB08, "CPC Channel B Functional Test," step 8.9.1.4, requires the performer to "READ and VERIFY that the VALUES of all Addressable Constant Point IDs are equal to the VALUES in the CHANNEL B TYPE I and TYPE II CPC ADDRESSABLE CONSTANTS LOG."

Contrary to the above, from July 3 to July 5, 1991, during the performance of procedure 77ST-1SB08, four Core Protection Calculator (CPC) Channel "B" Type I addressable constants were not read and verified to be at the current values in the Channel B Type I and Type II CPC Addressable Constants Log.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I) applicable to Unit 1.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR Part 2.201, Arizona Public Service Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspection office at the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Walnut Creek, California  
this 26<sup>th</sup> day of August 1991