## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION YSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 870	
FACIL: STN-50-529	Palo Verde Nuclear Station, Unit 2, Arizona Publi 05000529
AUTH, NAME	AUTHOR AFFILIATION
BRADISH, T. R.	Arizona Nuclear Power Project (formerly Arizona Public Serv
HAYNES, J. G.	Arizona Nuclear Power Project (formerly Arizona Public Serv
RECIP. NAME	RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 87-014-00: on 870605, discovered that auxiliary sample cart in svc as backup to fuel bldg ventilation radiation monitor turned off & inoperable. Caused by personnel error. Radiation protection technician terminated. W/870702 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: 1220 COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 5 TITLE: 50.73 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

NDTES: Standardized plant. M. Davis, NRR: 1Cy. . 05000529

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD5 LA LICITRA,E	COPIE LTTR 1 1		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD5 PD DAVIS,M	COP LTTR 1 1	IES ENCL 1 1
	ACRS MICHELSON	· 1	1	ACRS MOELLER	2	2
	AEOD/DOA	1	ī	AEOD/DSP/ROAB	2	2
	AEOD/DSP/TPAB	1	1	DEDRO	1	ī
	NRR/DEST/ADE	1	ō	NRR/DEST/ADS	1	Ō
	NRR/DEST/CEB	1	1	NRR/DEST/ELB	1	1
	NRR/DEST/ICSB		1	NRR/DEST/MEB	- ī	1
	NRR/DEST/MTB	1	1	NRR/DEST/PSB	ĩ	1
	NRR/DEST/RSB	1	1	NRR/DEST/SGB	ī	ī
	NRR/DLPQ/HFB	1	ī	NRR/DLPQ/QAB	1	1
	'NRR/DOEA/EAB	· 1	1	NRR/DREP/RAB	1	1
	NRR/DREP/RPB	2	ź	NRR/PMAS/ILRB	ī	1
~	NRR/PMAS/PTSB	1	1	REG FILE 02	ī	ī
	RES DEPY GI	1	1	RES TELFORD, J	1	1
	RES/DE/EIB	1	î	RGN5 FILE 01	1	1
	RES/DE/CID	. •	-	Nono Tile or	-	-
EXTERNAL:	EG&G GROH, M	5	5	H ST LOBBY WARD	1	1
	LPDR	1	1	NRC PDR	1	1
	NSIC HARRIS, J	1	1	NSIC MAYS, G	1	1
NOTES:		1	1	٩		

NOTES:

-

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 46 ENCL 44

Use not as a provide service of the				*									-	
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)         Addition in the second in	NRC Form 366 (9-83)		······································								U.S. NU	CLEAR REG	ULATORY COMMIS	SION
Addition of the second seco				LIC	ENSEF	EVEN	T RE	PORT	(LER)					
Palo Verde Unit 2       0 [5 ] 0 [0 [0 [5 ]2 0 ]       0 [5 ] 0 [0 [0 [5 ]2 0 ]         Witting any Sampling Equipment for Effluent Releases Rendered Inoperable Due to         Witting and the sampling Equipment for Effluent Releases Rendered Inoperable Due to         Witting and the sampling Equipment for Effluent Releases Rendered Inoperable Due to         Witting and the sampling Equipment for the sampl									(==:,)					
WHATE 'NAXILIARY Sampling Equipment for Effluent Releases Rendered Inoperable Due to          Personnel Error          WHATE ONLY MANUE         OSTAT DAY MAN MANUE			<u> </u>							100	CKET NUMBER	(2)		(3)
AUXILIARY Sampling Equipment for Effluent Keleases Rendered Inoperable Due to Personal Daries and										0	500	0 5.12		014
UNITED AT 101       LAR MOMERT IN       INTERMENT OF THE ACCULTUR MOVED IN         NAME       CONTRACTOR INFORMATION INFORMATI	Auxi	liary Sa Connel Er	mpling Equ: ror	ipment	for Ef	fluen	t Re	lease	es Rende	red	Inoper	able D	ue to	
Old Side Side Side Side Side Side Side Sid			LER NUMBER (			ORT DATE	7)							~
cl 5 30       8 7       A       0 15 10 10 10 1 1 1         users       1       1       0 10 12 18 7       N/A       0 15 10 10 10 1 1 1         users       1       1       0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MONTH DAY	YEAR YEAR	R SEQUENTIAL	NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	EAR	1		AMES				
Image at the attent is constructed within the attent of a construction						ľ	ŀ	N	/A			0 1910		
Image: International problem	0530			······			7						101011	1
There is a construct to account of the second se	OPERATING MODE (9)			D PURSUANT 1	r		rs of 10	CFR §: /	i i		he following) (11			
unit       1       010       BASEMULTION       BASEMULTION <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							,							
Advantition	(10) 1		20.405(#}(1){#)		50.36(c)(2	2}			60.73(s)(2)(vii	)			R (Specify in Abstraction Taxa NRC Fi	ct
Image: The second se				X	( · · · ·				1			365A)	1	<b>U</b> 111
Television model         Thomas R. Bradish, Compliance Supervisor (Ext. 6936)         Converts on Low Convolution Failure Description The Reform (19)         Converts on Low Convolution Failure Description The Reform (19)         Converts on Low Convolution Failure Description The Reform (19)         Converts on Low Convolution Failure Description The Reform (19)         EUNPERMENTAL REFORT EXPECTED (10)         EUNPERMENTAL REFORMENTAL REFORMENTAL REFORT (10)         ON JUNE 5. (1987) IL WAS discovered that between 0610 and 0806 MST on May 31, 1987, with Palo Verde Unit 2 in Mode 1 (POWER OPERATION) operating at 100         Dereforming atreformatinement (10) <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>														
Interview of the supervision (Ext. 6936)         COMPLETE ONE LIME FOR LACE COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATEM COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         CAUSE STATE COMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED IN THE REPORT (13)         VESTITY INCOMPONENT FALLINE DECEMBED	A+ A > A F			`L	ICENSEE CO	ONTACT FO	R THIS	LER (12)				······		
CAULT ONL LINE ONL LANE CONTONENT FALLURE DIRCOMED IN THIS REFORT (13)         CAUSE SYSTEM       CONFORENT         MANUFAC       REFORTABLE         LI       LI         LI	NAME											TELEPHONE	NUMBER	
CAUSE INVENTION LACK COMPONENT FAILURE DECEMBED IN THIS REPORT (13)         CAUSE SYSTEM       CONFORENT       MANUAC       PEOPETABLE	Thomas	R. Brad	ish, Compli	iance Si	upervi	sor (	Ext.	6936	5)		61012	31913	1-151010	010
Construction       TUBER       TO MANDOS       CONSTRUCT TO MANDOS         Image: Construction       TO MANDOS       TO MANDOS       TO MANDOS         Image: Construction       Image: Construction       TO MANDOS       TO MANDOS         Image: Construction       Image: Construction       Image: Construction       Image: Construction         Image: Construction       Image: Construction       Image: Construction       Image: Construction       Image: Construction										ORT (				
VES III ve. compute EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!       X       No         NAME       X       No       No         ANNOLATE La. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!       X       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION       Performing a Supple Cart, No       No       No         Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable Boom true of the these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.         The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&C       Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the	CAUSE SYSTEM	COMPONENT		REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT			REPORTA TO NPRI	BLE	
VES III ve. compute EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!       X       No         NAME       X       No       No         ANNOLATE La. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!       X       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION       Performing a Supple Cart, No       No       No         Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable Boom true of the these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.         The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&C       Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the														
VES III ve. compute EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!       X       No         NAME       X       No       No         ANNOLATE La. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!       X       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION DATE:       X       No       No         ANNOLATION       Performing a Supple Cart, No       No       No         Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable Boom true of the these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.         The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&C       Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the														
VES (If yet, computer EXMECTED SUBMISSION DATE)Image: NoDATE (15)ASSIRACT (Limit to 1400 Inset) (Je, BEGREWINGHY (Iftern Right East Appendium Long)Image: NoDATE (15)ASSIRACT (Limit to 1400 Inset) (Je, BEGREWINGHY (Iftern Right East Appendium Long)Image: NoDATE (15)ASSIRACT (Limit to 1400 Inset) (Je, BEGREWINGHY (Iftern Right East Appendium Long)Image: NoDATE (15)ASSIRACT (Limit to 1400 Inset) (Je, BEGREWINGHY (Iftern Right East Appendium Limit Limi			SUPPLEME	TAL REPORT	EXPECTED	(14)				_		o	ONTH DAY Y	EAR
<ul> <li>On June 5, 1987 it was discovered that between 0610 and 0806 MST on May 31, 1987, with Palo Verde Unit 2 in Mode 1 (POWER OPERATION) operating at 100 percent power, the auxiliary sample cart, which was in service as a backup to the Fuel Building Ventilation Radiation Monitor (RU-145) had been turned off and rendered inoperable.</li> <li>Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technicial Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.</li> <li>The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&amp;C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT.</li> <li>As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.</li> </ul>	YES (II yes, c	omplete EXPECTE	D SUBMISSION DATE		x	NO								
<ul> <li>1987, with Palo Verde Unit 2 in Mode 1 (POWER OPERATION) operating at 100 percent power, the auxiliary sample cart, which was in service as a backup to the Fuel Building Ventilation Radiation Monitor (RU-145) had been turned off and rendered inoperable.</li> <li>Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.</li> <li>The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&amp;C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT.</li> <li>As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.</li> </ul>			*						**************************************					
<ul> <li>percent power, the auxiliary sample cart, which was in service as a backup to the Fuel Building Ventilation Radiation Monitor (RU-145) had been turned off and rendered inoperable.</li> <li>Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.</li> <li>The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&amp;C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT.</li> <li>As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.</li> </ul>														
the Fuel Building Ventilation Radiation Monitor (RU-145) had been turned off and rendered inoperable. Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with. The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT. As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information. B707090386 B70702														í
Subsequent investigation of the event identified a separate concern that on May 30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with. The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT. As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.	the Fuel Building Ventilation Radiation Monitor (RU-145) had been turned off and													
<ul> <li>30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.</li> <li>The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&amp;C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT.</li> <li>As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.</li> </ul>	rendered inoperable.													
<ul> <li>30-31, 1987 a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) had not been correctly performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.</li> <li>The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&amp;C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT.</li> <li>As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.</li> </ul>	Subac	anont in	waatiaatia	n of th		-+ -1.d/		Find		**		* 4 4 4	on More	
<pre>performing a sample flow verification to meet an ACTION Statement for the inoperable monitor RU-145. Both of these events are being reported as a violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with. The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&amp;C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT. As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information. B707070386 870702</pre>			-						-				~	
<ul> <li>violation of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 since the appropriate ACTION Statements were not complied with.</li> <li>The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&amp;C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT.</li> <li>As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.</li> </ul>	perfo	orming a	sample flo	w verif	icatio	on to	meet	t an .	ACTION S	Itai	tement f	or the	2	
Statements were not complied with. The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT. As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information. B707090386 870702														
The root cause for the inoperable sample cart was a personnel error by an I&C Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT. As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.						n 3.3.	3.8	sinc	e cne ap	pro	opriate	ACTION	N	
Technician who inadvertently repositioned the sample cart switch while working in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT. As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.				r				••						
<ul> <li>in the area to repair RU-145. The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT.</li> <li>As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.</li> </ul>														
sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by the RPT. As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.														
As corrective action the sample cart was restored to operability at 0806 on May 31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.													•	
31, 1987. The RPT has been terminated and a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.	-						-			•				
Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.														
personnel to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability of intentionally documenting erroneous information.	-													
intentionally documenting erroneous information.	perso	onnel to	reiterate	the com	pany's	s posi	tior	n reg						
8707090386 870702 PDR ADDCK 05000529									-		-		$\sqrt{2}$	
		8707	090384 87	0702					*			16	Fi	
	NRC Form 366	- PDR	ADOCK 05	000529	7							<u> </u>	117	

NRC	Form	366

3

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATOR 19-831 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED OMB NO 3 EXPIRES; 8/31/68									
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	***	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	<b>80</b>	REVISION NUMBER			
Palo Verde Unit 2	0 15 0 0 0 5 2 9	817	_	0  1 4	_	0  0 .	0 2	OF	0  4

On June 5, 1987 it was identified that between 0610 and 0806 MST on May 31, 1987, with Palo Verde Unit 2 in Mode 1 (POWER OPERATION) operating at 100 percent power, the auxiliary sample cart, which was in service as a backup to the Fuel Building (ND) Ventilation System Low Range Radiation Monitor (RU-145)(IL)(RI), had been turned off and rendered inoperable.

RU-145 had been declared inoperable on May 20, 1987 and the appropriate Technical Specification (T.S.) ACTION Statements were entered. These actions included the utilization of an auxiliary sample cart to continuously sample for particulates and iodine in the Fuel Building Exhaust as required by T.S. 3.3.3.8, ACTION 40. On May 31, 1987 at approximately 0806, a Radiation Protection Technician (RPT) (contractor non-licensed) was conducting the sample flow verification required by T.S. 3.3.3.8, ACTION 36 when he discovered that the on/off switch for the auxiliary sample cart was in the off position and noted this fact in the Unit 2 Radiation Protection Logs. It was not until June 5, 1987 however, when the Senior RPT was conducting his review of the previous weeks' Radiation Protection Logs in accordance with Procedure 75ST-92203, Radioactive Gaseous Effluents and RMS Surveillance, that the discovery of the mispositioned switch was brought to management's attention. In order to emphasize the need for RPTs to immediataly report inoperable T.S. equipment or other potential concerns to the appropriate supervision, a memo that discusses this event and the need for timely reporting will be issued to the RPTs for required reading.

An investigation was promptly initiated to determine the cause of the sample cart being turned off. As a result of the investigation it has been determined that the time of the event was between 0602 and 0641 on May 31, 1987. The root cause of the inoperable sample cart was concluded to be a personnel error by an Instrument and Control Technician (utility non-licensed) who inadvertently bumped the switch with his work manual while working on RU-145 which is located on the same small elevated platform in the Fuel Building. Therefore, the sample cart was inoperable for a maximum time of approximately 2 hours.

Upon discovery of the mispositioned switch at 0806 on May 31, 1987, the RPT immediately changed out the Particulate and Iodine sampling media and took the necessary actions to restore the sample cart to an operable status. The RPT also posted a note on the sample cart to warn others that a T.S. sample was in progress and not to disturb the cart. The radionuclide concentrations for the past three days were then calculated, using a conservative assumption, and the results were determined to be within the required limits. As corrective action to prevent recurrence, the grab sample carts (4 per each Unit) will have a placard installed on them which identifies the cart as a T.S. piece of equipment and to use caution when working in the area.

While conducting the investigation on the mispositioned sample cart switch, a separate concern was identified. It was discovered that a RPT (utility non-licensed) had not been correctly performing the required sample flow verification necessary to meet T.S. 3.3.3.8, ACTION 36. ACTION 36 requires that

NRC Form 386A (9-83)	E EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTIN	UATION		GULATORY COMMISSION OMB NO. 3150-0104
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUM	BER (6)	PAGE (3)
		YEAR SEQUE	NTIAL AND REVISION	
Palo Verde Unit 2	0  5   0   0   5   2   9	8 17 -01	14 - 00	0 3 05 0 4

TEXT (If more space is required, use addroonal NRC Form 305A's) (17)

every four hours the sample flow to the cart be verified consistent with an estimated flow. To accomplish this, it is necessary to enter the Fuel Building and ascend the stairs to the sample cart and read a flow meter. Based on a review of the Automated Control Access Device (ACAD) transaction printout it was determined that at approximately 0030 on May 30, 1987 and 0410 on May 31, 1987, the RPT had not entered the Fuel Building, as he had documented, to conduct the sample flow verification required by T.S. Failure to conduct the sample flow verification renders the sample cart inoperable.

The root cause of the improperly conducted sample flow verification was a conscious personnel error by an RPT who did not conduct the verification and then inappropriately documented it as complete in the Radiation Protection Logs.

As corrective action to prevent recurrence, the RPT has been terminated. In addition, a letter has been issued from the Arizona Nuclear Power Project (ANPP) Executive Vice President to all ANPP personnel to briefly discuss this event and to reiterate the company's position regarding the unacceptability and the potential consequences of intentionally documenting erroneous information. An independent evaluation has been conducted to determine if additional corrective actions are necessary. As a result, additional corrective actions including the development of a video tape message from the Executive Vice President and the initiation of random checks of various departmental activities against the ACAD transaction printouts are currently being considered for implementation.

The sample cart is utilized as a backup to RU-145 which is installed to monitor releases through the Fuel Building Exhaust which results from a fuel handling accident or a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA). At this time there is no spent fuel stored on site and in the case of a LOCA, an RPT would have to enter the Fuel Building and go to the sample cart to obtain a grab sample for analysis. It would then be obvious that the cart was turned off and it could be easily restored to obtain the grab sample. Therefore, this event did not adveresly affect the safe operation of the plant or the health and safety of the public.

The failure to properly conduct the sample flow verifications did not present a safety concern as evidenced by the fact that the sample cart was shown to always have been operable based on the subsequent sample flow verifications which were correctly conducted following the two missed flow verifications. The sample cart continued to perform its intended function although it was not verified at the correct time intervals. Therefore, this event did not adversely affect the safe operation of the plant or the health and safety of the public.

There were no structures, components, or systems that were inoperable at the start of the events, other than those previously described, that contributed to the events. There were no unusual characteristics of the work location which contributed to the events. There were no automatic or manually initiated safety system responses. No operator actions were required as a result of the events. There are no specific procedures that were violated in either event previously described. There are no procedural controls currently in place that address

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION											
DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)				
	YEAR		SEQ	UENTIAL	-	NUMBER		$T^{-}$	<u> </u>		
0  5   0   0   0   5   2  9	817	_		11 14		0.0	014	OF	014		
	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	DOCKET NUMBER (2) . LER NU VEAR	JT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION  DOCKET NUMBER (2)  LER NUMBER (2)  LER NUMBER (2)  VEAR  SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	IT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	IT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED EXPIRES: 8/3 DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL SECUENTIAL SECUE	IT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED OMB NO. 3 EXPIRES: 8/31/89 DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) YEAR SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SEQUENTIAL SECUENTIAL SE	IT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-( EXPIRES: 8/31/88 DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (8) PAGE VEAR SEQUENTIAL A REVISION NUMBER		

either issue and under the circumstances described above, ANPP believes that there are no procedural controls that would have prevented the occurrences.

An additional investigation is being conducted to determine if there were any other T.S. radiation monitors which the RPT may have not conducted the appropriate actions for. Should this investigation result in additional similar findings, a supplement to this report will be issued.

There have been no previous similar events regarding a sample cart which was inadvertently turned off however, a similar event involving an RPT who intentionally documented erroneous information was previously reported in Unit 1 LER 86-058-00.

The corrective actions taken in response to the Unit 1 event were considered appropriate for the specific problem identified. The corrective actions taken to prevent recurrence of the Unit 1 problem were also considered appropriate however, they could not be expected to prevent somone from intentionally documenting erroneous information. Additionally, as a result of the previous Unit 1 event, an evaluation was conducted by the ANPP Personnel Department to determine if human factors, such as morale, working conditions, etc., could be a contributory cause to the event. No concerns of this type were identified.



## Arizona Nuclear Power Project P.O. BOX 52034 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85072-2034 192-00239-JGH/TRB/TJB

July 2, 1987

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS) Unit 2 Docket No. 50-529 Licensee Event Report 2-87-014 File: 87-020-404

Dear Sirs:

Attached please find Licensee Event Report (LER) No. 2-87-014 prepared and submitted pursuant to 10CFR 50.73. In accordance with 10CFR 50.73(d), we are herewith forwarding a copy of the LER to the Regional Administrator of the Region V Office.

If you have any questions, please contact T. R. Bradish, Compliance Supervisor at (602) 393-5000, Ext. 6936.

Very truly yours,

. Havnes

Vice President Nuclear Production

TEDD

JGH/TJB/cld

## Attachment

cc: 0. M. DeMichele (all w/a)
E. E. Van Brunt, Jr.
J. B. Martin
R. P. Zimmerman
R. C. Sorenson
E. A. Licitra
A. C. Gehr
INPO Records Center

•

•

• .

-

e j A

: ج

: