#### SAFETY EVALUATION

# PALO VERDE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3

## INTRODUCTION

By letter dated July 31, 1981, Arizona Public Service Company (APS), as Project Nanager and Operating Agent of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2 and 3 (PVNGS), submitted a request for amendments to the Construction Permits (CPPR-141, CPPR-142, and CPPR-143) for PVNGS, to add two additional co-owners and to secure approval of a transfer in ownership percentages to the proposed co-owners. This request involves a transfer of 5.70 percent and 5.91 percent undivided ownership shares in PVNGS, from the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District's 29.10 percent ownership interest, to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADNP) and Southern California Public Power Authority (SCPPA), respectively, as tenants in common with the other PVNGS participants (includes the El Paso Electric Company, M-S-R Public Power Agency, Southern California Edison Company and Public Service Company of New Mexico). In support of the request, APS had submitted financial information about the proposed transferees.

The purpose of this Safety Evaluation is to present the results of the staff's analysis of the proposed addition of LADNP and SCPPA as co-owners to PVNGS.

# **ANALYSIS**

APS, as Project Manager and Operating Agent, has exclusive responsibility for the design and construction of PVNGS. Since APS will retain exclusive responsibility for the design and construction of PVNGS with the proposed addition of LADWP and SCPPA as co-owners, the only issue which required an evaluation is the proposed co-owner's financial qualifications to assume their respective shares of PVNGS.

The NRC regulations relating to the determination of an applicant's financial qualifications are in Section 50.33(f) of 10 CFR Part 50. These regulations, as amended on March 31, 1982, state that a financial review of an applicant to construct a production facility (commercial nuclear power plant) shall not be conducted if the applicant is an electric utility. Since PVNGS is a production facility and since LADMP and SCPPA are electric utilities, a financial review of the proposed transfer of ownership shares in PVNGS to LADMP and SCPPA is not required.\*

	10 CFR Part 50 which del	eted the req	uirements for	tne review o	or rinanical q	lua i i Ti ca-
	tions for electric utili	ty applicant	s. At the ti	me that Secti	on 50.33(f) w	ลร
	amended on March 31, 198	32, the staff	's review of	the financial	information	filed
	with the request for CP	amendment ha	d reached the	noint where	the staff cou	ıl d
	conclude that LADMP and	SCPPA are fi	nancially qua	lified to ass		
OFFICE	"respective ownership"sh	rres":tn"PVNGS	•	******************		
SURNAME >			•••••		•••••	
DATE	8205130478 820428			•••••		
	PDR ADDCK 05000528					l
IRC FORM 3	A	LI, CIAL	RECORD C	OPY		USGPO: 1981-335-960

\*The request for CP Amendment was filed prior to the change in Section 50.33(f) of

The state of the s

# CONCLUSIONS

On the hasis of the above evaluation, the staff finds that the issuance of the requested amendments, transferring ownership of 5.70 percent and 5.91 percent ownership percentages from the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power and Southern California Public Power Authority, respectively, will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. The staff finds that the requested amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration because this action will not involve any significant increase in the probability or consequences of any accident, and this action will not involve any significant decrease in safety margin.

Date Issued: April 28, 1982

_							A
7							
J							
Ί		***************************************		****************		•	***************************************
J		.,	•				
1	***************************************	#	*********************		***************************************	***************************************	
ı		*	-	l'		P .	
1	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	, , . ,			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

NRC FORM

.

The second of th

Frank Frank State Comment of the Com

, Mary

greatest the control relation of the control of the

The state of the s

and the second of the second o

₹ Programme Andrew Francisco Programme Andrew Programme

e significant and an experience of the second secon

سماه بالمعطرة والمساوعة

e type

and the second of the second o

•