EVALUATION OF PRESSURIZED THERMAL SHOCK EFFECTS DUE TO Small break loca's with loss of feedwater For The Palo Verde 1 & 2 , 2 & 3 reactor vessels

Prepared for THE ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS DIVISION DECEMBER, 1981



8201130491

LEGAL NOTICE

THIS REPORT WAS PREPARED AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED BY COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. NEITHER COMBUSTION ENGINEERING NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON ITS BEHALF:

A. MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED INCLUDING THE WARRANTIES OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, WITH RESPECT TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, OR USEFULNESS OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT, OR THAT THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, OR PROCESS DISCLOSED IN THIS REPORT MAY NOT INFRINGE PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS; OR

B. ASSUMES ANY LIABILITIES WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF, OR FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF, ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD OR PROCESS DISCLOSED IN THIS REPORT.

ABSTRACT

This Appendix to CEN-189 provides the plant-specific evaluation of pressurized thermal shock effects due to small break LOCA's with extended loss of feedwater for Palo Verde--1, 2, & 3 reactor vessels. It is concluded that crack initiation would not occur for the transients considered for more than 32 effective full power years, which is assumed to represent full plant life.

i

. * • , ~ `

.

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

;

÷

,

SECTION	TITLE	PAGE
	ABSTRACT	
J1.	PURPOSE	JI
J2.	SCOPE	JI
J3.	INTRODUCTION	J1
J4,	THERMAL HYDRAULIC ANALYSES	J2
J5.	FLUENCE DISTRIBUTIONS	J2
J6.	MATERIAL PROPERTIES	J3
J7.	VESSEL INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS	J4
J8,	CONCLUSIONS	J11

. • . 3 . . . 1 •

J1.0 PURPOSE

This Appendix provides the plant-specific evaluation of pressurized thermal shock effects of the SB LOCA + LOFN transients presented in the main body of the CEN-189 report for the Palo Verde--1, 2, & 3 reactor vessels.

J2.0 SCOPE

The scope of this Appendix is limited to the evaluation of the SB LOCA + LOFW transients presented in CEN-189, as applied to the Palo Verde--1, 2, & 3 reactor vessels.

Other C-E NSSS reactor vessels are reported in separate Appendices.

J3.0 INTRODUCTION

This Appendix to CEN-189 was prepared by C-E for Arizona Public Service for their use in responding to Item II.K.2.13 of NUREG-0737 for the Palo Verde--1, 2, & 3 reactor vessels.

This Appendix is intended to be a companion to the CEN-189 report. The transients evaluated in this Appendix are those reported in Chapter 4.0 of the main report. Chapter J5 of this Appendix reports the plant-specific fluence distributions developed as described in Chapter 5.0 of the main report. Chapter J6 reports the plant-specific material properties and change of properties due to irradiation, based on the methods of Chapter 6.0 of the report. Chapter J7 reports the results of comparing the fracture mechanics results of Chapter 7.0 of the report, to a set of material properties which are conservative with respect to the plant specific properties reported in Chapter J6. This additional conservatism was not removed because of the favorable results.

J4.0 THERMAL HYDRAULIC ANALYSES

The pressure-temperature transients used to perform the plant-specific vessel evaluation reported in this Appendix are those reported in Chapter 4.0 of CEN-189. As discussed in the body of the report, there are several plant parameter conservatisms included in the analyses to develop these transients due to the reference plant approach used which could be eliminated by performing more detailed plant-specific thermal-hydraulic system analyses. Removal of these available conservatisms by additional analyses was not performed due to the favorable conclusion achieved.

J.5.0 FLUENCE DISTRIBUTION

The Palo Verde Units 1, 2 & 3 are not yet in operation and have not yet completed a surveillance capsule evaluation. Since the vessel beltline materials are low copper content, detailed fluence profiles were not necessary for demonstration of acceptable PTS capability. Accordingly, the FSAR end of life peak fluence prediction was used to estimate end of life material properties. Also, in order to evaluate the sensitivity of the fluence prediction value, material properties were also determined assuming an end of life fluence twice the FSAR prediction value.

J2

J4.0 THERMAL HYDRAULIC ANALYSES

The pressure-temperature transients used to perform the plant-specific vessel evaluation reported in this Appendix are those reported in Chapter 4.0 of CEN-189. As discussed in the body of the report, there are several plant parameter conservatisms included in the analyses to develop these transients due to the reference plant approach used which could be eliminated by performing more detailed plant-specific thermal-hydraulic system analyses. Removal of these available conservatisms by additional analyses was not performed due to the favorable conclusion achieved.

J.5.0 FLUENCE DISTRIBUTION

3

The Palo Verde Units 1, 2 & 3 are not yet in operation and have not yet completed a surveillance capsule evaluation. Since the vessel beltline materials are low copper content, detailed fluence profiles were not necessary for demonstration of acceptable PTS capability. Accordingly, the FSAR end of life peak fluence prediction was used to estimate end of life material properties. Also, in order to evaluate the sensitivity of the fluence prediction value, material properties were also determined assuming an end of life fluence twice the FSAR prediction value.

J2

•

.

. · ·

--

⁶ • ••• •-

۰ ^۲ ۰

·

APPENDIX J PALO VERDE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION

J.6 MATERIAL PROPERTIES

The material chemistry and initial (pre-irradiation) toughness properties of the reactor vessel beltline materials for the three Palo Verde units are summarized in Tables J6-1, J6-2, and J6-3. The shift predictions are based on the maximum design fluence, $3.15 \times 10^{19} n/cm^2$ (E>IMeV) at the inside surface of the reactor vessel using Regulatory Guide 1.99. Taking the highest copper (0.07%) and phosphorus (0.007%) contents for the plate materials, the predicted shift is 71F, resulting in an end-of-life (32 effective full power years) adjusted RT_{NDT} of lllF. If the design fluence were increased by a factor of two to 6.3 x $10^{19} n/cm^2$, the predicted shift and adjusted RT_{NDT} for the most adverse combination of properties is 99F and 139F, respectively.

J.7.0 Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 Vessel Integrity

The fracture mechanics analysis is performed using upper bound data for fluence and material properties in the Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 vessels. The peak vessel fluence is considered to occur at the point of maximum RT_{NDT} . The material toughness properties K_{IC} and K_{Ia} are determined from the calculated temperatures for the SBLOCA + LOFW transients using the method described in Section 7.3.3 and predicted RT_{NDT} values through the depth of the wall. Critical crack depth diagrams are constructed from the applied K_{I} vs crack depth curves at the mid-core level of the vessel and the calculated material toughness curves. In this manner the integrity of the Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 vessels are evaluated for the SBLOCA + LOFW transient.

J.7.1 Summary of Physics and Material Data Input to Fracture Mechanics Analysis A nominal design fluence value of $3.15 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1 MeV) was used to approximate the end-of-life fluence for the Palo Verde vessels, as well as a conservative upper bound of $6.30 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ or double the predicted end-of-life value. The peak fluence is considered to be uniform around the vessel. A conservative radial fluence attenuation was used such that:

> $\frac{F}{F_0} = \exp (8.625 \text{ in. } \times .33 \text{ in.}^{-1}) \cdot (a/w)$ = exp (-2.85) · (a/w)

where F = point fluence in wall
F = peak fluence at surface
a/w = fractional wall depth

Upper bound materials data were used to conservatively envelope all plate and weld materials, which are as follows:

PCT.	Cu	=	.12
PCT.	Ρ	=	.008
Initial	RTNDT	=	+49 ⁰ F

J.7.0 Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 Vessel Integrity

The fracture mechanics analysis is performed using upper bound data for fluence and material properties in the Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 vessels. The peak vessel fluence is considered to occur at the point of maximum RT_{NDT} . The material toughness properties K_{IC} and K_{Ia} are determined from the calculated temperatures for the SBLOCA + LOFW transients using the method described in Section 7.3.3 and predicted RT_{NDT} values through the depth of the wall. Critical crack depth diagrams are constructed from the applied K_{I} vs crack depth curves at the mid-core level of the vessel and the calculated material toughness curves. In this manner the integrity of the Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 vessels are evaluated for the SBLOCA + LOFW transient.

J.7.1 Summary of Physics and Material Data Input to Fracture Mechanics Analysis A nominal design fluence value of $3.15 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ (E > 1 MeV) was used to approximate the end-of-life fluence for the Palo Verde vessels, as well as a conservative upper bound of $6.30 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$ or double the predicted end-of-life value. The peak fluence is considered to be uniform around the vessel. A conservative radial fluence attenuation was used such that:

> $\frac{F}{F_0} = \exp (8.625 \text{ in. } x .33 \text{ in.}^{-1}) \cdot (a/w)$ = exp (-2.85) · (a/w)

where F = point fluence in wall
F = peak fluence at surface
a/w = fractional wall depth

Upper bound materials data were used to conservatively envelope all plate and weld materials, which are as follows:

J4

PCT.	Cu	=	.12
PCT.	Р	=	.008
Initial	rt _{ndt}	=	+49 ⁰ F



The shift in the value of the RT_{NDT} was determined using the method of Reg. Guide 1.99. This produces an end-of-life prediction for the surface RT_{NDT} of $182^{\circ}F$ using the nominal design fluence. A predicted surface RT_{NDT} value of $256^{\circ}F$ is determined for a fluence double the nominal design fluence.

> The stress analysis for this case is presented in Section 7.8.2 of the report. The fracture mechanics analyses were performed using upper bound properties for the Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 vessels and conservative end-of-life fluence levels. The critical crack depth diagram is constructed using the stresses in the transient at the mid-core level coincident with the peak fluence and material proeprties. Figure J.7-1 shows the critical crack depth diagram for a nominal design fluence of $3.15 \times 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2$. The calculated shifts in RT_{NDT} are relatively low, and for this transient loading condition the initiation toughness level is not exceeded. Therefore, no crack initiation would occur for this combination of loading, fluence, and material properties.

Figure J.7-2 shows the critical crack depth diagram for the same transient loading and upper bound material properties, but twice the nominal design fluence. From the figure it is apparent that no crack initiation would occur for this transient even with fluence levels greatly exceeding the nominal design fluence.

J.7.3 Conclusion

These results demonstrate that the integrity of the Palo Verde 1, 2 & 3 vessels would be maintained throughout the assumed life of the plant for the SBLOCA + LOFW transient with recovery of feedwater.

J5

PALO VERDE UNIT #1 REACTOR VESSEL BELTLINE MATERIALS

Product	Material	Drop Weight	Initial	<u>Chemi</u> ca	<u>1 Content (%)</u>
Form	Identification	<u>NDTT (°F)</u>	<u>RT_{NDT} (°F)</u>	<u>Copper</u>	Phosphorus
Plate	M-6701-1	-40	+30	0.07	0.005
Plate	M-6701-2	-50	+40	0.06	0.004
Plate	M-6701-3	-30	+40	0.06	0.004
Plate	M-4311-1	-10	-10	0.04	0.004
Plate	M-4311-2	-40	-40	0.03	0.005
Plate	M-4311-3	-20	-20	0.03	0.004
Weld	101-124 ^a	-40	-40	0.02	0.012
Weld	101-142 ^b	-40	-40	0.03	0.006
Weld	101-171 ^c	-40	-40	0.02 ′	0.013

a Intermediate shell course longitudinal seam weld

b Lower shell course longitudinal seam weld

c Intermediate to lower shell girth weld

\$

PALO VERDE UNIT #1 REACTOR VESSEL BELTLINE MATERIALS

Product Form	Material <u>Identification</u>	Drop Weight <u>NDTT (°F)</u>	Initial <u>RT_{NDT} (°F)</u>	Chemica Copper	<u>1 Content (%)</u> Phosphorus
Plate Plate Plate Plate	M-6701-1 M-6701-2 M-6701-3	-40 -50 -30	+30 +40 +40	0.07 0.06 0.06	0.005 0.004 0.004
Plate Plate Plate	. M-4311-1 M-4311-2 M-4311-3	-10 -40 -20	-10 -40 -20	0.04 0.03 0.03	0.004 0.005 0.004
Weld	101-124 ^a	-40	-40	0.02	0.012
Weld	101-142 ^b	-40	-40	0.03	0.006
He]d	. 101-171°	-40	-40	0.02	0.013

a Intermediate shell course longitudinal seam weld

b Lower shell course longitudinal seam weld

c Intermediate to lower shell girth weld

9P

御門 同 ſ ¢ ł • . ٣ • .

PALO VERDE UNIT # 2 REACTOR VESSEL BELTLINE MATERIALS

Product	Material	Drop Weight	Initial	Chemical	Content (%)
Form	<u>Identification</u>	<u>NDTT (°F)</u>	<u>RT_{NDT} (°F)</u>	Copper	Phosphorus
Plate	F-765-4	-30	-20	0.03	0.003
Plate	F-765-5	-20	+10	0.03	0.004
Plate	F-765-6	-30	+10	0.04	0.002
Plate	F-773-1	-40	+10	0.03 .	• 0.003
Plate	F-773-2	-50	0	0.04	0.003
Plate	F-773-3	-60	-60	0.05	0.004
Weld	101-124 ^a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Weld	101-142 ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Weld .	101-171 ^C	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A Not Available

a Intermediate shell course longitudinal seam weld

b Lower shell course longitudinal seam weld

c Intermediate to lower shell girth weld

J7

PALO VERDE UNIT #3 REACTOR VESSEL BELTLINE MATERIALS

Product	Material	Drop Weight	Initial	Chemica	l Content (%)
Form	Identification	<u>NDTT (°F)</u>	<u>RTNDT (°F)</u>	Copper	Phosphorus
Plate	F-6407-4	-30	-30	0.04	0.002
Plate	F-6407-5	-20	-20	0.05	0.002
Plate	F-6407-6	-20	-20	0.04	0.002
Plate	F-64]]-]	-40	-40	- 0.04	. 0.004
Plate	F-6411-2	-10	Ő	0.04	0.004
Plate	F-6411-3	-60	-60	0.04	0.007
‼eld	101-124 ^a	N/A	N/A	N/A ·	N/A
Weld	101–142 ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A ,	·N/A
Weld	101-171 ^C	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A Not Available

- a Intermediate shell course longitudinal seam weld
- b Lower shell course longitudinal seam weld
- c Intermediate to lower shell course girth weld

PALO VERDE UNIT #3 REACTOR VESSEL BELTLINE MATERIALS

Product	Material Identification	Drop Weight NDTT (°F)	, Initia] RTNOT (°F)	<u>Copper</u>	Content (%)
rorm		<u></u>			<u></u>
Plate Plate Plate	F-6407-4 F-6407-5 F-6407-6	-30 -20 -20	-30 -20 -20	0.04 0.05 0.04	0.002 0.002 0.002
Plate Plate Plate	F-6411-1 F-6411-2 F-6411-3	-40 -10 -60	-40 0 -60,	0.04 0.04 0.04	0.004 0.004 0.007
‼e]d	101-124 ^a	N/A	N/A	N/A ·	N/A
Weld	101-142 ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A ,	·N/A
Weld	101-171 ^C	N/A .	N/A	N/A .	N/A

N/A Not Available

a Intermediate shell.course longitudinal seam weld

b Lower shell course longitudinal seam weld

c Intermediate to lower shell course girth weld

۲⁸,

---- • , , ×

;	•	-	• *•	·			· .
		CRITICAL-CR	ACK-DEPIH-V6	·			• ·
		-					1
	•1000E+01		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	+ /	•
•				······································			- 1
、							- :
	······						•
·	.8000E+00	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	/	- 3
、 <u> </u>							• •
·					. المراجع ا	/	
	RA	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			. •
•	¢				••••••		•
	•0002+00 0	······································				,	- `
	с. Р Т		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		-
							•
*	Å			•		/	• •
	W +4000E+00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	•
_		·					_)
						/	、
-	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					ر •
	•2000E+00					/	
			·····		1.6. <u></u>		• •)
••••					 		יי
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			¥ 1 &	· /	• ?
,	•					1	・い -
	•7105E~14		+000E+02	*02	•======================================	1000E+03	. v
		· TII	HE (HIN)				· 🕸
,	INIT RINDT = 40. DEG. F	Palo Ver	rde Ho. 1, 2, & 3				
	PCT. P # .008	•	Figure J.7-1	•			, 4

· ·	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	والمراجعين فتقديه وتقريب وتقريب و		
	CHITICA	L CRACK DEPTH VS. TI	HE'		
() () () () () () () () (•	-			
		•			
•YUJOE+80 +			<u></u>	·····	
	·····				
	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
		· · ·	<u></u>		······
	•	·			•
A			<u>,</u>		· · · ·
		<u> </u>			• /
0 / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			× /
P /	-			•	·
H .5000E+00 +					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
/ / / /	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		·····		
			· · · ·		
				<u></u>	/ •
	,		<u></u>		. /
	······································	•	•		
			<u> </u>		
/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			1
.1000±+00 •	·····				·
	•				·/
//////////////////////////////////////	• f = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = 		********		/
0.	•2000E+02	+40002+02	•6000E+02	•8000E+02	+1000E+03
		-7-1-H-6{-H-1-			
FLUENCE63E+20 N/SU, CH INIT RTNUT40UEG, F ·	•		~	-	
	Palo V	verde No. 1, 2, & 3	3		

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		CHITICA	L CRACK DEPTH VS. TI	HE' '		
•		•	* - -			
• <u> </u>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~}~}~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				. /
<u>`</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
						•
•				•		
		······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>		
	BOOOE+UD +			*		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	/					
	C .7000E+00 +	•			•	······
	Ä				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	K /					· · · ·
	0	,	· ·			× /
	ρ /	•				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····	• • <u></u>	•'
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	·		
	······································	<u>.</u>	·····	•		
<u></u>						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		·		
					·	
<u></u>	• JOUOE+00 •					••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
*						
			•	•		
	· ····································					1
				•		7
······	.1000±+00 +			<u></u>		•
	······					
				₽₩₩₩₽₽₽₽₽₽₽ ĸħ₫₫₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽₽	- 	.1000EA03
	s Ue من من من من من من	6 F U U U C T U C	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*******		
			-T-I-H-G		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
IN	FLUENCE = .6JE+20 N/SU, CH IT RTNDT =-40DEG. F	•			- <u></u>	······
	PC3. CU = .12	Palo V	lerde No. 1, 2, &	3		
	UTNITS = 241, 1156, F			•		

**

٠

•

•

. . .

τ.

-

ţ

J8.0 CONCLUSIONS

This Appendix to CEN-189 provides the results of analytical evaluations of pressurized thermal shock effects on the Palo Verde reactor vessels for cases of a SBLOCA + LOFW, in response to the requirements of Item II.K.2.13 of NUREG-0737. Two different scenarios were chosen for evaluation based on remedial actions to prevent inadequate core cooling:

- 1. SBLOCA + LOFW + PORV's opened after 10 minutes
- 2. SBLOCA + LOFW + Aux. FW reinstated after 30 minutes

Thermal-hydraulic system transient calculations were performed on a reference-plant basis, as reported in CEN-189 with the parameter variations over the range representing all operating plants. Four different cases were analyzed for each of the two different scenarios defined above, for a total of eight cases. The most challenging of the two different scenarios was analyzed using linear elastic fracture mechanics methods to determine the critical crack tip stress intensity values for comparison to plant specific materials properties at various times in plant life. The effect of the warm prestress phenomenon is identified where applicable for each transient, and credited where appropriate.

In this Appendix, the results of plant specific peak neutron fluence predictions are superimposed on plant specific material properties to define vessel capability versus plant life. The results of the generic LEFM analyses were evaluated using the plant specific material properties. It is concluded that crack initiation would not occur due to the SBLOCA + LOFW transients considered, for more than 32 effective full power years of operation, which is assumed to represent full plant life.

. . · · ۲ ۸ ۰