CATEGORY 1

EGULATO INFORMATION DIST

INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:9704090127 DOC.DATE: 97/04/02 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-397 WPPSS Nuclear Project, Unit 2, Washington Public Powe 05000397

AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION

SWANK, D.A. Washington Public Power Supply System

RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk)

T

E

G

R

1

D

U

M

E

N

T

SUBJECT: Submits info to be added to initial evaluation re TS

response time testing.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR | ENCL O SIZE: 2

TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

NOTES:

12

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPI LTTR		
	PD4-2 LA	1 1	PD4-2 PD	1	L	,
	COLBURN, T	1 1				1
					l l	
INTERNAL:	ACRS	1 1	FILE CENTER 01	1	<u>l</u>	
	NRR/DE/ECGB/A	1 1	NRR/DE/EMCB	1	1	
	NRR/DRCH/HICB	1 1	NRR/DSSA/SPLB	1	1	
	NRR/DSSA/SRXB	1 1	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1	L	
•	OGC/HDS3	1 0			1	
					1	
EXTERNAL:	NOAC	1 1	NRC PDR	1	1	
					7	

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS: PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE. TO HAVE YOUR NAME OR ORGANIZATION REMOVED FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS OR REDUCE THE NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED BY YOU OR YOUR ORGANIZATION, CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK (DCD) ON EXTENSION 415-2083

 $Q_{\perp}$ 

• 



## WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

P.O. Box 968 • Richland, Washington 99352-0968

April 2, 1997 GO2-97-061

Docket No. 50-397

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject:

WNP-2, OPERATING LICENSE NPF-21

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**REGARDING RESPONSE TIME TESTING** 

Letter, GO2-97-057, PR Bemis (SS) to NRC "Request For Amendment, Under Exigent Circumstances, To Operating License Regarding Technical Specification If work the Response Time Testing!

In a conference call with the staff on March 25, 1997, Mr TG Colburn requested additional information be submitted in support of the no significant hazards consideration submitted as Attachment 3 of the reference. The following provides the information to be added to the initial evaluation.

Operation of WNP-2 in accordance with the proposed amendment will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed amendment applies, in part, to the testing requirement for the components identified and does not result in any physical change to these or other components or their operation. The changes do not affect the capability of the associated systems to perform their intended function within the acceptable limits assumed in plant safety analyses and required for successful mitigation of an initiating event. The proposed amendment does not change the way in which any plant systems are operated or create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident. As a result, no new failure modes are introduced.

The proposed amendment also deletes the applicability of response time testing for ECCS systems during MODES 4 and 5. This change in testing requirements does not change the way in which any plant systems are operated or create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident. As all result, in o new failure modes are introduced. 30 to the constant King water, a matery bilktophilling in Pentis and no takin iko a mille miller a mark madel

9704090127 970402

and the first of the second of

## Page 2 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The proposed amendment represents reliance on a different, and previously staff approved, method to verify selected components remain fully functional. It also requests a reduction in test requirements for ECCS in MODES 4 and 5. These changes will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Operation of WNP-2 in accordance with the proposed amendment change will not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

Deleting the requirement to verify response times for ECCS during MODES 4 and 5 will not affect the capability of the associated systems to perform their intended function within the allowed response time used as the basis for the plant safety analyses.

Plant and system response to an initiating event will remain in compliance with the assumptions of the safety analyses, and therefore the margin of safety is not affected.

Should you have any questions or desire additional information regarding this matter, please call me at (509) 377-4563.

Respectfully,

D.A. Swank

Manager, Regulatory Affairs

Mail Drop PE20

cc:

EW Merschoff - NRC RIV

KE Perkins, Jr. - NRC RIV, WCFO

TG Colburn - NRR

NRC Sr. Resident Inspector - 297N

DL Williams - BPA/399

PD Robinson - Winston & Strawn

