DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

ltr.

ŝ

K

I

Ĺ

S

Å

T

D

S

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

DOCKET = ACCESSION NBR:8910120162 DOC.DATE: 89/10/03 NOTARIZED: NO FACIL: 50-397 WPPSS Nuclear Project, Unit 2, Washington Public Powe 05000397 AUTHOR AFFILIATION AUTH.NAME FIES,C.L. Washington Public Power Supply System POWERS, C.M. Washington Public Power Supply System RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 89-036-00:on 890905, inadequate APRM Tech Spec surveillance. W/8

(· SIZE: DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22T COPIES RECEIVED:LTR ENCL TITLE: 50.73/50.9 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

NOTES:

ACCELERATED

1

	RECIPIENT	COPII	ES	RECIPIENT	COPJ	ES
	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
	PD5 LA	1	1	PD5 PD	1	1
	SAMWORTH, R	1	1			
INTERNAL:	ACRS MICHELSON	1	l	ACRS MOELLER	2	2
	ACRS WYLIE	1	1	AEOD/DOA	, 1	1
	AEOD/DSP/TPAB	1	1	AEOD/ROAB/DSP	2	2
	DEDRO	1	1	NRR/DEST/CEB 8H	1	1
	NRR/DEST/ESB 8D	1	l	NRR/DEST/ICSB 7	1	1
	NRR/DEST/MEB 9H	1	1	NRR/DEST/MTB 9H	1	1
	NRR/DEST/PSB 8D	1	l	NRR/DEST/RSB 8E	1	1
	NRR/DEST/SGB 8D	1	1	NRR/DLPQ/HFB 10	1	1
	NRR/DLPQ/PEB 10	1	1	NRR/DOEA/EAB 11	ı.	1
	NRR/DREE/RPB 10	2	2	NUDOCS-ABSTRACT	1	1
l l	REG_FILE_02	1	1	RES/DSIR/EIB	1	1
_	RGN5 FILE 01	1	1			
EXTERNAL:	EG&G WILLIAMS,S	4	4	L ST LOBBY WARD	l	1
	LPDR	1	1	NRC PDR	1	1
	NSIC MAYS,G	1	1	NSIC MURPHY, G.A	1	1
	NUDOCS FULL TXT	1	1			

Cent NO P132528121

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LIBTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED! The address of the address of

FULL TEXT CONVERSION REQUIRED TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 39 ENCL 39



WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

P.O. Box 968 • 3000. George Washington Way • Richland, Washington 99352

Docket No. 50-397

October 3, 1989

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: NUCLEAR PLANT NO. 2 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 89-036

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 89-036 for the WNP-2 Plant. This report is submitted in response to the report requirements of 10CFR50.73 and discusses the items of reportability, corrective action taken, and action taken to preclude recurrence.

Very truly yours,

Ċ. MV Powers (M/D 927M) WNP-2 Plant Manager

CMP:1r

Enclosure: Licensee Event Report No. 89-036

cc: Mr. John B. Martin, NRC - Region V Mr. C. J. Bosted, NRC Site (M/D 901A) INPO Records Center - Atlanta, GA Ms. Dottie Sherman, ANI Mr. D. L. Williams, BPA (M/D 399)

(Int No P132528121 TE22

					5		•				٠	•									đi 1
NRC Form (9-83)	366		•				LIC	ENSI	EE EV	'EN	T REI	PORT	(LE	ER)		U.S. NI	JCLE/ APP EXPI	AR REG ROVED IRES: 8/	ULATOF OMB N /31/88	RY COMM 0. 3150-01	115510N 04
FACILITY	NAME (n Nuc		D]	ant _ l	Init		<u></u>							000	KET NUMBER	1 0	13.1	017		E (3)
TITLE (4)	Inad Spec	equat	:e Av	era Su	ge Powe rveilla	er F	tange	Mon	itor	(A	PRM)	Tech	nic	cal	<u> </u>		1.	19.1	<u> 9171</u>	- 101	01.4
EVE	NT DATE	(5) YEAR	YEAR	LE	R NUMBER (6) • [#2]	REVISION	R MONTI	EPORT D	ATE	7) YEAR	1	F	OTHER FACILITY NA	MES	CILITIES INVO	DO	D (8) CKET N	UMBER	.S)	
					NOMBER		NUMBER	1	1					<u></u>			0	5 (0 0	0 .	<u>I</u>
0 9 0PE	05	89	8 9 THIS RE	PORT	0 3 6 IS SUBMITTE		0 0 rsuant	10 TO THE		3 8 Emen	9 TS OF 10	CFR §: 1	Check	k one or more	of t	he following) (1	0	1510	0 0	0	
POWE	DE (9)	1	20	.402(b) .405(a)))(1)(i)		\vdash	20,40	5(c) (c)(1)				50 50	0,73(+)(2)(iv) 0,73(+)(2)(v)			-	73.7	1(b) 1(c)		
(10)	- 7	015	20	.405(a) .405(a)	(1)(8) (1)(8)		X	50,36 60,73	(c) (2) (a) (2) (i)			·	50 50	0,73(a){2){vii) 0,73(a){2}{viii)	(A)		-	OTH <i>be/or</i> <i>3664</i>	IER (Spec w end in 4)	ily in Ab. Text, NRI	itrect D Form
			20	.405(a) .405(a))(1)(iv))(1)(v)			50.73 50.73	(a)(2)(ii) (a)(2)(iii)				50 50	0,73(e)(2)(viii) 0,73(e)(2)(x)	(8)				×		
NAME								LICENSE	E CONTA	CT F	OR THIS	LER (12)				AREA CODE	TEL	EPHON	IE NUMB	ER	
<u> </u>	<u>L.</u>	Fies,	, Com	p li	ance En	ıgir	neer	<u>.</u>								51019	3	17 1	<u>71-</u> 1	1_21_5	<u>iŏī</u> j
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	~	COMPLETE	ONE	ORTABLI	R'EACH		ENT F	CAUSE	DESCRIB		N THIS REPO	DRT	MANUFAC	4	E SEPORT		20	<u> </u>
							NPROS												HDS		
			II			+								<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
		ll	<u>Ii</u>	لـــــل ــــــ	SUPPLEM	ENTAI	L REPOR	TEXPEC	TED (14)		<u> </u>	.1	<u> </u>	_111_	╡	EXPECT SUBMISS			MONTH	DAY	YEAR
YE	5 (If yes, c	omplete E	XPECTED) SUBA	AISSION DATE	E)			X NC	<u>, </u>						DATE	15}				
ABSTHAU	At 1 inco Engi and .8). resp conf proco cal initi issuc adeq	215 h nsist neer the i The onse irmec power ide f edure nds. speci iatec ten r on res h was uate	iours iours iency betwe mpler teck time i to l time fica fica i to p eque quire surve	, of doc een nen for be co nde uiro PO(tion plac st v emen d in eiv eil	n Septe cumente the WN ting Pl cal spe r the A less th onstant pendent concl n and d ce the was mad nts. T n a for ed on S lance p	mbe d b IP-2t Pant Pant Pant Pant Pant Pant Pant Pan	<pre>% provide the second seco</pre>	198 e Av nt T veil ion w bi qual l s emen d ti le Pl l tha n at ief uest r 8, es o	9, th erage echni lance requi ased to (econc t of me re leas C for Vas (for 1985 n res	ne l Pice pi ice pi ice pi ire: sine	Plant ower l Spe s that mulat 9 see onse equin start empon nted amen The nse	t Ope Rang ecifi dures ted t to b two b two b two b two b two b to b trad to b tary f at 1 ndmen time	ratec (PRroduction) -aetrocond aetrocond aetrocond aetrocond aetrocond aetrocond aetrocond aetrocond aetrocond 	ting Co Monitor tion (1 PPMs 7. Reactor rmal po ot incl survei ues. 1 less th ict con hnical 1830 ho lief fr D hours to the ause of sting o	Tab.4 Pedulation () ab.4	ittee (APRM) P le 3.3. 3.1.3.5 rotecti r upsca ing the ance pr ance p lant or equ iance w ecifica s. At furthe chnical chis eve the APR	(POO) Plai ion ile social viti istic i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	C) r nt , Syn Syn imulring nice nice nice syst	evie ystem .tem action las interior lection lection lew cas interior lew cas lew lew lew lew lew lew lew lew lew lew	wed m 2b) and (RPS n be the id n ce chni- ce f th tion s th)
									•					s							
ł																•					

K

·

orm JOBA			
•	LICENSEE	EVENT	REPOI

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION A:"PROVED OMB NO, 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88

ACILITY NAME (1)				DOCKET NUMBER (2)						LER NUMBER (6)								PAGE (3)			
									YE.	AR		SEQU	INBER	-	REVIS	ION JER					
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0	5	0	0	0	13	3 9	7 .	81	9		0	3 6	_	-01	0	þ	2	OF	0	4
EXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)									·									•			

Corrective action includes a study to review the technical specification requirements and the associated surveillance procedures for accuracy and consistency.

This event posed no threat to the health and safety of either the public or plant personnel.

Plant Conditions

NRC F (9-83)

> Power Level - 70.5% Plant Mode - 1 (Power Operation)

Event Description

At 1215 hours, on September 5, 1989, the Plant Operating Committee (POC) reviewed a discrepancy documented by a Problem Evaluation Request (PER) involving an inconsistency between the WNP-2 Plant Technical Specification 3.3.1 and the implementing plant surveillance procedures. This inconsistency was discovered by the Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) Plant System Engineer during the biennial review of the surveillance procedure. This specific requirement in the technical specification is contained in Table 3.3.1-2, Item 2b, which concerns the response time testing for the APRM flow biased simulated thermal power upscale function. The technical specification requires that this Reactor Protection System (RPS) response time be confirmed to be less than or equal to 0.09 seconds not including the simulated thermal power time constant of 6 + 1 seconds. The present WNP-2 surveillance procedures, PPM 7.4.3.1.3.5, .6, .7 and .8 do not provide for independent measurement of these two values. The plant surveillance procedure required the measured time response to be less than or equal to 7.09 seconds.

At 1230 hours, the Plant Manager directed that all APRM Flow biased channels be declared inoperable and the Action Statement of Technical Specification paragraph 3.3.1 be implemented. The applicable Action Statement requires that the Plant be in at least Plant Mode 2 (startup) within 6 hours. At this time, the plant was operating at reduced power because of an inoperable feedwater pump. The Plant Operations personnel responded by reducing recirculation flow and inserting control rods reducing reactor power to 31% by 1630 hours. Just prior to that time, at 1620 hours, the NRC staff granted relief from the Technical Specification requirements. The reactor was returned to 70% power at 1840 hours.

Immediate Corrective Action

The Plant Manager directed that a request for temporary relief from the technical specification surveillance requirement (4.3.1.3, Table 3.3.1-2, Item 2.b) be submitted to the NRC. The NRC staff granted relief to allow continued operations at 1620 hours by telephone.

LICENSEE EVEN	IT REPORT (LER) TEXT	CONTINUATION
---------------	----------------------	--------------

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150 -0104

	_		
FAC	ILITY	NAME	(1)

NRC Form 366A (9-83)

Further Evaluation and Corrective Action

Further Evaluation Α.

- This event is being reported as a "Deviation from the Plant's Technical 1. Specifications" per the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).
- There were no structures, components or systems that were inoperable prior 2. to the start of this event which contributed to the event.
- 3. Further evaluation shows that the flow-biased trip is not relied upon to establish the MCPR operating limits. Only the 118% high flux (non-flow referenced) trip function is considered in these analysis. Initially, the flow referenced trip utilized APRM flux to correlate to the thermal power level. This was satisfactory for steady-state operation but was found to cause unnecessary trips during some non-steady-state conditions. As a result, a change was made in BWRs to reference the neutron flux to a variable similar to the thermal power. This was accomplished by adding to the APRM output signal a time constant representative of the fuel dynamics to obtain a signal that approximates the average heat flux. In 1976, General Electric recommended installation of this feature in those plants that did not already have it installed. A time constant of 6 seconds was selected for WNP-2. With this long time constant added to the APRM signal, the 0.09 second RPS response time value was no longer of significance. The 0.09 second RPS response time for the 118% high flux trip is significant and is confirmed by surveillance procedures.
- 4. A subsequent emergency Technical Specification change submittal requested the Commission to change the surveillance acceptance criterion to 6 + 1seconds. This change was granted on September 8, 1989.
- 5. The cause of this event was determined to be a problem caused by less than adequate surveillance procedures to carry out the intent of the technical specifications. The root cause of the event was personnel related caused by inadequate attention to detail during surveillance procedure preparation.

Β. Further Corrective Action

A previously committed effort is currently underway to review technical specification requirements and associated surveillance procedures for accuracy and consistency.

This overall review is augmented by supporting reviews being performed on this subject. For example, an internal Supply System Safety System Functional Inspection is underway on the AC Electrical Distribution System. One of the tasks of this SSFI compared the Technical Specification to the surveillance procedures for Division 1 and Division 2 items with no significant findings.

u

NRC Form 366A (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	JATION APPROVED C EXPIRES: 8/31	GULATORY COMMISSION MB-NO, 3150-0104 /88
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
·	-	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 B 9 7	819 - 0 B 16 - 010	0 4 0F 0 14
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 388A's) (17) Safety .Significance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

technical specifications as written. The time constant, plus RPS response time (the overall value), was being measured

and maintained by the surveillance test. Therefore, the system was capable of performing its safety function throughout the event period. Accordingly, this event poses no threat to the health and safety of either the public or plant personnel.

Similar Events

LER 89-008 is a similar event in that it involved a conflict between the technical specifications and the surveillance procedure. Since this is a recent LER, the corrective action proposed is still underway and applies to both LERs.

EIIS Information

lext Reference	EIIS Refere	nce
	System	Component
Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) System	IG	.
Reactor Protection System (RPS)	JC	