APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Washington Public Power Supply System Post Office Box 968 Rićhland, WA 99352 Docket No. 50-397

License No. NPF-21

During an NRC inspection conducted on May 30 - July 7, 1988, the following violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, these violations are listed below:

A. Section 3.4.6.1 of the Technical Specifications states in part, "The reactor coolant system temperature and pressure shall be limited ..., with: a. A maximum heatup of 100°F in any 1-hour period,..."

Contrary to the above, a reactor coolant system heatup of approximately 137°F occurred during a plant startup on June 27, 1988.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I).

B. 10 CFR 50.73.d states in part, "Licensee Event Reports must be ... submitted within 30 days of discovery of a reportable event..."

Contrary to the above, the licensee identified in a QA surveillance report issued on April 29, 1988 that the Technical Specification heatup and cooldown limits had been exceeded on February 20 and June 19, 1987, and did not issue a licensee event report within 30 days after this discovery.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I).





. .

. .

.



Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Washington Public Power Supply System is hereby required to submit to this office, within thirty days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspection office at Washington Nuclear Project 2. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved; (3) corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

merna R. P. Zimmermah, Chief Reactor Projects Branch

Dated at Walnut Creek, California this 24^{μ} day of <u>August</u>, 1988

-2-

.