

1

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

# DOCKET NO. 50-397

# AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 52 License No. NPF-21

- 1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or the NRC) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment filed by the Washington Public Power Supply System (the licensee) and supplemental material, dated March 21, April 20, and June 5, 1986 and March 13, 1987 comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.
- Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the enclosure to this license amendment and paragraph 2.C.(2) of the Facility Operating License No. NPF-21 is hereby amended to read as follows:
  - (2) Technical Specifications and Environmental Protection Plan

8804120136 880404 PDR ADUCK 05000397

PDR

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 52, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan. •

system of the second seco

3. This amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ood for

George W. Knighton, Director Project Directorate V Division of Reactor Projects III, IV, V and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Changes to the Technical Specifications

, کر

Date of Issuance: April 4, 1988



.

. . .

# ENCLOSURE TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 52

# FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-21

# DOCKET NO. 50-397

Replace the following page of the Appendix "A" Technical Specifications with the enclosed page. The revised page is identified by Amendment number and contains a vertical line indicating the area of change.

REMOVE	· <u>INSERT</u>
3/4 7-4	3/4 7-4
B3/4 7-1	B3/4 7-1
B3/4 7-2	B3/4 7-2
B3/4 7-2a	B3/4 7-3
B3/4 7-3	B3/4 7-4
B3/4 7-4	B3/4 7-5

Overleaf page 3/4 7-3 is provided for document completeness.

s .

. \*

*i* 

**`** •

۰.،

۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰

, <del>,</del> . . .

.

v -

•

# PLANT SYSTEMS

Ľ.

## HIGH PRESSURE CORE SPRAY SERVICE WATER SYSTEM

#### LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.1.2 The high pressure core spray (HPCS) service water system (serves Division 3 diesel generator) shall be OPERABLE with the system comprised of:

- a. One OPERABLE HPCS service water pump, and
- b. An OPERABLE flow path capable of taking suction from the ultimate heat sink and transferring the water to the HPCS diesel generator.

<u>APPLICABILITY</u>: When the diesel generator is required to be OPERABLE.

#### ACTION:

With the HPCS service water system inoperable, declare the HPCS diesel generator inoperable and take the ACTION required by Specifications 3.8.1.1 and 3.8.1.2, as applicable.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 4.7.1.2 The HPCS service water system shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:
  - a. At least once per 31 days by verifying that each valve in the flow path that is not locked, sealed, or otherwise secured in position, is in its correct position.
  - b. At least once per 18 months during shutdown by verifying that each automatic valve servicing safety-related equipment actuates to its correct position on a service water actuation test signal.

3/4 7-3

#### ULTIMATE HEAT SINK

## LIMITING CONDITION FOR OPERATION

3.7.1.3 The ultimate heat sink consisting of two separate spray ponds with redundant pumping and spray facilities shall be OPERABLE with:

- a. A minimum water level at elevation 432 ft 9 in. Mean Sea Level, USGS datum, and
- b. A water temperature of less than or equal to 77°F.
- c. A maximum average sediment depth of less than or equal to 0.5 ft on the floors of the spray ponds.

APPLICABILITY: In OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and \*.

ACTION: •

With the requirements of the above specification not satisfied:

- a. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1, 2, or 3, be in at least HOT SHUTDOWN within 12 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the next 24 hours.
- b. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION 4 or 5, declare the SW system inoperable and take the ACTION required by Specification 3.7.1.1.
- c. In OPERATIONAL CONDITION \*, declare the SW system(s) associated with the inoperable spray pond(s) inoperable and take the ACTION required by Specification 3.7.1.1. The provisions of Specification 3.0.3 are not applicable.
- d. With the average sediment depth of either of the spray ponds greater than or equal to 0.5 ft but less than 1.0 ft, the ponds shall be cleaned within 30 days to reduce the average sediment depth to less than or equal to 0.1 ft.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

4.7.1.3 The ultimate heat sink shall be determined OPERABLE at least once per:

- a. 24 hours by verifying the water temperature and water level to be within their limits.
- b. 92 days by verifying that the average sediment depth in each of the spray ponds is less than or equal to 0.5 ft.

\*When handling irradiated fuel in the secondary containment.

WASHINGTON NUCLEAR - UNIT 2 3/4 7-4

3/4.7 PLANT SYSTEMS			
		Þ	
BASES	*	ł ,	2

# 3/4.7.1 SERVICE WATER SYSTEMS

The OPERABILITY of the service water systems ensures that sufficient cooling capacity is available for continued operation of safety-related equipment during normal and accident conditions. The redundant cooling capacity of these systems, assuming a single failure, is consistent with the assumptions used in the accident conditions within acceptable limits.

During periods of low ambient temperatures, when the possibility of freezing exists if the sprays were to be operated, the discharge of each spray cooling division is typically aligned directly into the pond (spray bypass mode). Safety analysis has shown that several hours are available for realignment to spray following the design basis LOCA accident in conjunction with extreme meteorological conditions. A 72°F alarm requiring action for realignment provides 2½ hours before 77°F would be exceeded, based on accident heat loads. With the pond temperature below 77°F and the spray headers in service the safety analysis provided in FSAR Section 9.2.5 is bounding and the system therefore remains operable in the spray or bypass mode of operation.

## 3/4.7.2 CONTROL ROOM EMERGENCY FILTRATION SYSTEM

The OPERABILITY of the control room emergency filtration system ensures that (1) the ambient air temperature does not exceed the allowable temperature for continuous duty rating for the equipment and instrumentation cooled by this system and (2) the control room will remain habitable for operations personnel during and following all design basis accident conditions. Continuous operation of the system with the heaters OPERABLE for 10 hours during each 31-day period is sufficient to reduce the buildup of moisture on the adsorbers and HEPA filters. The OPERABILITY of this system in conjunction with control room design provisions is based on limiting the radiation exposure to personnel occupying the control room to 5 rems or less whole body, or its equivalent. This limitation is consistent with the requirements of General Design Criterion 19 of Appendix A, 10 CFR Part 50.

# 3/4.7.3 REACTOR CORE ISOLATION COOLING SYSTEM

The reactor core isolation cooling (RCIC) system is provided to assure adequate core cooling in the event of reactor isolation from its primary heat sink and the loss of feedwater flow to the reactor vessel without requiring actuation of any of the emergency core cooling system (ECCS) equipment. The RCIC system is conservatively required to be OPERABLE whenever reactor pressure exceeds 150 psig. This pressure is substantially below that for which the low pressure core cooling systems can provide adequate core cooling for events requiring the RCIC system.

The RCIC system specifications are applicable during OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS 1, 2, and 3 when reactor vessel pressure exceeds 150 psig because RCIC is the primary non-ECCS source of emergency core cooling when the reactor is pressurized.

PLANT STSTEMS	•	•.•	•
BASES		s i.	٠.

# 3/4.7.3 REACTOR CORE ISOLATION COOLING SYSTEM (Continued)

With the RCIC system inoperable, adequate core cooling is assured by the OPERABILITY of the HPCS system and justifies the specified 14 day out-of-service period.

The surveillance requirements provide adequate assurance that RCIC will be OPERABLE when required. Although all active components are testable and full flow can be demonstrated by recirculation during reactor operation, a complete functional test requires reactor shutdown. The pump discharge piping is maintained full to prevent water hammer damage and to start cooling at the earliest possible moment.

## 3/4.7.4 SNUBBERS

All snubbers are required OPERABLE to ensure that the structural integrity of the Reactor Coolant System and all other safety-related systems is maintained during and following a seismic or other event initiating dynamic loads. Snubbers excluded from this inspection program are those installed on nonsafety-related systems and then only if their failure or failure of the system on which they are installed would have no adverse effect on any safety-related system. During shutdown, snubbers which are redundant per engineering analysis can be removed for maintenance and/or testing and are excluded from the operability requirements.

Snubbers are classified and grouped by design and manufacturer but not by size. For example, mechanical snubbers utilizing the same design features of the 2-kip, 10-kip, and 100-kip capacity manufactured by Company "A" are of the same type. The same design mechanical snubbers manufactured by Company "B" for the purposes of this Technical Specification would be of a different type, as would hydraulic snubbers from either manufacturer.

A list of individual snubbers with detailed information of snubber location and size and of system affected shall be available at the plant in accordance with Section 50.71(c) of 10 CFR Part 50. The accessibility of each snubber shall be determined and approved by the Plant Operations Committee. The determination shall be based upon the existing radiation levels and the expected time to perform a visual inspection in each snubber location as well as other factors associated with accessibility during plant operations (e.g., temperature, atmosphere, location, etc.), and the recommendations of Regulatory Guides 8.8 and 8.10. The addition or deletion of any hydraulic or mechanical snubber shall be made in accordance with Section 50.59 of 10 CFR Part 50.

The visual inspection frequency is based upon maintaining a constant level of snubber protection to each safety-related system. Therefore, the required inspection interval varies inversely with the observed snubber failures on a given system and is determined by the number of inoperable snubbers found during an inspection. In order to establish the inspection frequency for each type of snubber on a safety-related system, it was assumed that the frequency of snubber

PLANT SYSTEMS ⊾`، BASES

# 3/4.7.4 SNUBBERS (Continued)

failures and initiating events is constant with time and that the failure of any snubber on that system could cause the system to be unprotected and to result in failure during an assumed initiating event. Inspections performed before that interval has elapsed may be used as a new reference point to determine the next inspection. However, the results of such early inspections performed before the original required time interval has elapsed, (nominal time less 25%) may not be used to lengthen the required inspection interval. Any inspection whose results require a shorter inspection interval will override the previous schedule.

The acceptance criteria are to be used in the visual inspection to determine OPERABILITY of the snubbers. For example, if a fluid port of a hydraulic snubber is found to be uncovered, the snubber shall be declared inoperable and shall not be determined OPERABLE via functional testing.

To provide assurance of snubber functional reliability, one of three functional testing methods are used with the stated acceptance criteria:

- 1. 'Functionally test 10% of a type of snubber with an additional 10% tested for each functional testing failure, or
- 2. Functionally test a sample size and determine sample acceptance or rejection using Figure 4.7-1, or

#### PLANT SYSTEMS

#### BASES

#### **SNUBBERS** (Continued)

3. Functionally test a representative sample size and determine sample acceptance or rejection using the stated equation.

Figure 4.7-1 was developed using "Wald's Sequential Probability Ratio Plan" as described in "Quality Control and Industrial Statistics" by Acheson J. Duncan.

Permanent or other exemptions from the surveillance program for individual snubbers may be granted by the Commission if a justifiable basis for exemption is presented and, if applicable, snubber life destructive testing was performed to qualify the snubbers for the applicable design conditions at either the completion of their fabrication or at a subsequent date. Snubbers so exempted shall be listed in the list of individual snubbers indicating the extent of the exemptions.

The service life of a snubber is established via manufacturer input and information through consideration of the snubber service conditions and associated installation and maintenance records (newly installed snubbers, seal replaced, spring replaced, in high radiation area, in high temperature area, etc.). The requirement to monitor the snubber service life is included to ensure that the snubbers periodically undergo a performance evaluation in view of their age and operating conditions. These records will provide statistical bases for future consideration of snubber service life.

## 3/4.7.5 SEALED SOURCE CONTAMINATION

The limitations on removable contamination for sources requiring leak testing, including alpha emitters, is based on 10 CFR 70.39(c) limits for plutonium. This limitation will ensure that leakage from byproduct, source, and special nuclear material sources will not exceed allowable intake values. Sealed sources are classified into three groups according to their use, with surveillance requirements commensurate with the probability of damage to a source in that group. Those sources which are frequently handled are required to be tested more often than those which are not. Sealed sources which are continuously enclosed within a shielded mechanism, i.e., sealed sources within radiation monitoring devices, are considered to be stored and need not be tested unless they are removed from the shielded mechanism.

#### 3/4 7.6 FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS

The OPERABILITY of the fire suppression systems ensures that adequate fire suppression capability is available to confine and extinguish fires occurring in any portion of the facility where safety-related equipment is located. The fire suppression system consists of the water system, spray and/or sprinkler systems,  $CO_2$  systems, Halon systems, and fire hose stations. The collective capability of the fire suppression systems is adequate to minimize potential damage to safety-related equipment and is a major element in the facility fire protection program.

Amendment No. 52

PLANT SYSTEMS			
BASES	ala .	, I	

۴.,

1. 1.

In the event that portions of the fire suppression systems are inoperable, alternate backup fire fighting equipment is required to be made available in the affected areas until the inoperable equipment is restored to service. When the inoperable fire fighting equipment is intended for use as a backup means of fire suppression, a longer period of time is allowed to provide an alternate means of fire fighting than if the inoperable equipment is the primary means of fire suppression.

The surveillance requirements provide assurances that the minimum OPERABILITY requirements of the fire suppression systems are met. An allowance is made for ensuring a sufficient volume of Halon in the Halon storage tanks by verifying the weight and pressure of the tanks.

In the event the fire suppression water system becomes inoperable, immediate corrective measures must be taken since this system provides the major fire suppression capability of the plant.

#### 3/4.7.7 FIRE-RATED ASSEMBLIES

The OPERABILITY of the fire barriers and barrier penetrations ensure that fire damage will be limited. These design features minimize the possibility of a single fire involving more than one fire area prior to detection and extinguishment. The fire barriers, fire barrier penetrations for conduits, cable trays and piping, fire windows, fire dampers, and fire doors are periodically inspected to verify their OPERABILITY.

#### 3/4.7.8 AREA TEMPERATURE MONITORING

The area temperature limitations ensure that safety-related equipment will not be subjected to temperatures in excess of their environmental qualification temperatures. Exposure to excessive temperatures may degrade equipment and can cause loss of its OPERABILITY.

#### 3/4.7.9 MAIN TURBINE BYPASS SYSTEM

The main turbine bypass system is required to be OPERABLE consistent with the assumptions of the feedwater controller failure analysis of the cycle. specific analysis. The main turbine bypass system provides pressure relief during the feedwater controller failure event so that the safety limit MCPR is not violated.

•

• • • • • • • • 

.