

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Washington Public Power Supply System
WNP-2

Docket No. 50-397
License No. NPF-21

During an NRC inspection conducted on May 13 - May 22, 1987, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1987), the violations are listed below:

- A. Technical Specifications, Section 6.12, "High Radiation Areas," requires that each area in which the intensity of radiation is greater than 100 mrem/hr but less than 1000 mrem/hr shall be barricaded and conspicuously posted as a High Radiation Area.

Contrary to the above, on May 13, 1987, and again on May 18, 1987, the personnel access doorway leading into the recirculation pump work tent, located on the 467' level of the Radwaste Building, had whole body radiation levels up to 300 mrem/hr and was not conspicuously posted as a high radiation area in that the posting was on dangling piece of yellow and magenta rope and could not be seen because the sign was open against a wall surface.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement IV).

- B. 10 CFR Part 20.203(b), "Caution Signs, Labels, Signals, and Controls," requires that each area in which a major portion of the body could receive in any one hour a dose in excess of 5 mrem, or in any five consecutive days a dose in excess of 100 mrem shall be conspicuously posted with a sign or signs bearing the radiation caution symbol and the words: "Caution - Radiation Area."

Contrary to the above, on May 13, 1987, the north personnel access doorway leading into the east "valve gallery" area of the Radwaste Building, 467' level, had whole body radiation levels up to 10 mrem/hr; was not conspicuously posted as a radiation area in that the posting was on a swing gate and could not be seen because the sign was open against a wall.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement IV).

- C. Technical Specifications, Section 6.8.1, reads in part: "Written procedures shall be established, implemented and maintained covering the activities referenced below: (a) The applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, 1978." Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.33, Appendix A, Item 7.e.(1) through (9) lists examples of radiation protection procedures required for controlling radioactivity.

Plant Procedures Manual (PPM) 11.2.15.4, paragraph 11.2.15.4.6,

8707060608 870619
PDR ADDCK 05000397
Q PDR

Revision 2, dated September 4, 1985, states: "Record all conditions of clothing contamination and decon or lack of decon in the Health Physics Log Book for future use with Corporate Policy and Procedure (CPP) 1.4.416, Loss of Personal Property." Additionally, Health Physics Program Description (HPD) procedure 3.1.15, Revision 4, dated July 14, 1986, Section 3.1.15.4 states: "All personnel contaminations shall be documented."

Contrary to the above, conditions of clothing contaminations occurring on June 8, 1986, August 1, 1986, May 9, 1987 and May 10, 1987, were not documented in the Health Physics Log Book.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Washington Public Power Supply System is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region V, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

F. A. Wenslawski

F. A. Wenslawski, Chief
Emergency Preparedness and
Radiological Protection Branch

Dated at Walnut Creek, California
this 19th day of June 1987

