# REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 8609090389 DOC. DATE: 86/08/29 NOTARIZED: ND DOCKET # FACIL: 50-397 WPPSS Nuclear Project, Unit 2, Washington Public Powe 05000397 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION POWERS, C. M. Washington Public Power Supply System NEADCRF RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: "Startup Rept." w/860829 1tr.

1

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A001L COPIES RECEIVED: LTR / ENCL / SIZE: 5 TITLE: OR Submittal: General Distribution

**NOTES:** 

RECIPIENT ID CODE/NA	ME	COPIE		RECIPIENT	ME	COPJ LTTR	
BWR EB		1	1	BWR EICSB		2	2
BWR FOB		1	1	BWR PD3 LA		1	Q
BWR PD3 PD	01	5	5	BRADFUTE, J		1	1
BWR PSB		1	1	BWR RSB		1	1
INTERNAL: ACRS	07	6	6	ADM/LFMB		1	0
ELD/HDS2		1	0	NRR/ORAS		1	Q
CEC FILD	04	1	1	RGN5		1	1
EXTERNAL: EG&G BRUSKE,	6	1	1	LPDR	03	1	1
NRC PDR	02	1	1	NSIC	05.	1	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 28 ENCL 24

actré.com HBR céoyososoy ongo DATE: 86/08/20 NOTARIZEV NO TALIE to BYZ WPRS5 Muclear Provert, Unit 2, Wasnington Sublic You: 5000 200 AUGH NAME AUTHOR AGALIERATION SUBPS.C.M Ushington Public Power Supply Rystem NAAMGO RECIP, BAME (CPRENT AFAILSATION

SUBJECT "Starkup Rept." w/GROSE2 1tr.

13-11F.

::3	. "Øb	RECIPIENT	83	22 <b>403</b>	)	RELEATED BL	
10 13	8111	ID CODE / MAN	ENCL.	STI	નાલ/	AVERED OF CI	
3	5	BARR EICOR	I	1		શ ો જાખા	
0	Ĺ	AI YOA AME	1	L		Held Found	
¥	t	DRADFUEL J	5	d.	50	वत शवन भागम	
ł	Ì	BWB BWB	1	t		M.M. MAR	
<>	L	ADM/LFHB	6	>	60	ACRS	
C	Ł	NRRZORAS	0	×.		SECONDER SECONDER	
Ł	Ł	RONE	ţ	1	10	1111 945	
£	£	EDK 23	1	Ł	23	LORG BRUSHE	1944年1月日
¥	<u>}_</u>	NSIC 00	1	2	80	NGG DAH	

#### WNP-2 STARTUP REPORT

B607070387 B60827 PDR ADDCK 05000377

This report is submitted in compliance with the WNP-2 Technical Specification requirement in Section 6.9.1.1 that "a report of plant startup and power escalation testing shall be submitted following installation of fuel that has a different design or has been manufactured by a different fuel supplier." Requests were approved for Amendment to the WNP-2 Technical Specifications and the Reload License Application for the 128 bundle reload batch for core Cycle 2. The new fuel was supplied by Exxon Nuclear Company (ENC). The WNP-2 first refuel outage (RF86A) began on March 31, 1986. Major projects completed were refueling; repair of the B loop recirculation pump; and inspection, modification and repair of the No. 3 low pressure turbine. The outage ended on June 10, 1986, and 100% power level was reached on July 4, 1986.

In addition to routine outage surveillances, the refueling process required that several tests be performed before, during and after the outage, i.e., Powerplex Installation Acceptance Test, Shutdown Margin Verification and Determination, Reactivity Anomaly Evaluation, Control Rod Drive Functional Testing, and a Core Power Symmetry Analysis.

#### Refueling

WNP-2 is a BWR-5 utilizing 764 fuel assemblies. Cycle 2 energy requirements required a batch size of 128 Exxon 8x8 fuel assemblies. The refueling was accomplished by the application of fuel "shuffle strings". A shuffle string is defined as the fuel movement that starts with a discharged bundle move to the spent fuel pool, followed by several intermediate bundle movements and concluded with a fresh bundle insertion in the core. Prior to the performance of a given shuffle string, a designated control rod is withdrawn to demonstrate adequate shutdown margin to perform the shuffle string. During the insertion of a bundle, the SRM count rate was observed with the insertion to be stopped if the average SRM count rate exceeded that obtained during control rod withdrawal. The refueling of the core was completed in eight days.

When the refueling had been completed, 77 fuel assemblies from the core were temporarily placed in the spent fuel pool; twenty-eight (28) were placed there to facilitate the removal of the seven startup sources and 49 required new channels. The original core load contained several fuel assemblies with 'mismatched' channels. The mismatched channels were manufactured by General Electric with zirconium halves from different heat treat batches. Because industry experience has shown a higher tendency for bowing in mismatched channels, this type assembly was placed in core locations with a high probability for being discharged at the end of Cycle 1. In the final reload plan, 49 fuel assemblies remaining in the core for Cycle 2 had mismatched channels and were rechanneled. All 77 assemblies were then returned to their proper core locations. Once core alterations had been completed, a full core verification was performed. This process was used to visually verify fuel bundle identification numbers, location and orientation. This examination was video taped to be saved as part of the permanent plant record until core configuration is changed. The video tape of the full core verification was reviewed prior to the installation of the steam separator. During this review, a small piece of rubber hose was seen lying on the top guide and subsequently removed. The source of the piece was the grapple air supply hose. The mast on the refuel

ADON

- 1 -

2 **3** 1 **1** 1

· · ·

		子がらいませ。 107 103 日本 103付き TUD 18 3 103付き TUD 18 3 103 4 1 201	
ана С. Аласа К. С. Аласа (С. 14)		and a start	* 4,
•5 3 •636 / ·		1 3 4 X 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 × 4 °
r ⊮ Kotso (d' o. r / fr Gero, o. ∭r : v	k dra 5310 Foar k dra 9826 m 33	17.49 54	8 - 5 <sup>-</sup>
) た た 、 Y 、 G+1 以 、 S 、 	тори стан 1910 - саларо – с 1910 - саларо – с 1910 - саларо – салар	1 OURT (0575 b) 1 8578	•
17,80 Jaco / 🤇		the k tot	C 5 1
د به لار د	(1) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	± 4.00 €	Å
in a third single second se	e 21 - 1	Mark 1997 - A 3	,
an an the state of	e : 5 %	335 i 12	
	, گورند ر		2
attant	0, 8, 0, 60, 6, 60, 71 0, 19, 1, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	37 18月 5-70 11 日本 - 197 - 394 - 197 日本 11 日本 - 197 - 394 - 197 日本 11 日本 - 197 - 197 日本 11 日本 - 197 日本	4 , <b>4</b> 2
• <b>* ( ) • )</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		d to HE WAY	
107 - 2 		and 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· · · ) ·
134 87 :	5 1 2 2 3 MEXE	- ~ Ir> 11.5 <sup>8</sup>	<sub>19</sub>
26 P. I		the tenes	Ω P 3×P <sup>¶</sup> =
્રકેલ્પાક છ <sub>ું</sub> છ ૨૦૨૨ કરેલ્ટ અપ્ર	t 60 % ti d01€ t 10 €vc1 ≤ x	» « » рэрахильца и	- 1
1,51,121 € 1,121 € 1157 121 € 131 € 1157 121 € 131 €	ν του του τ του του του του του του του του του του	· · · · ?:	
-2011 007 11 M	42 g = +	ં ગય રહેલે છે.	• •
1.4.8 A.		245 245 E46	
1 I I I	2 8 2 °V - 23 5	۲.۶ ×۲,	di.
• • > <sup>57</sup> : N : N ≤ 5 = 35	- <b>N N S</b> Y	2 X 3 4 4 4 4 4 4	4 I

bridge was used to verify each bundle height was acceptable. If any new bundle was 0.25" higher or any exposed bundle was 0.50" higher than the others, the bundle was to be evaluated to determine the cause of the deviation. This measurement process helps to assure that the bundles are properly seated in the fuel support pieces. There were no abnormally high bundles in the core.

## Powerplex Installation Acceptance Test

A new computer program was installed to support fuel and core surveillance during Cycle 2. The installation of Powerplex and the successful completion of the Powerplex Installation Acceptance Test Procedure (IATP) were completed prior to the beginning of RF86A. The Powerplex IATP was divided into four major sections. The first section was the verification of initial conditions. During the review of the reactor specific data at the beginning of the test, two problems were discovered. One of the arrays to be used in the heat balance process had 'hard' coded values when cycle specific data is required. The program was changed in order to read a file. This file can be changed as required. The core thermal power and the cleanup loop A flow limit arrays had to be changed to meet WNP-2 specifications. In both cases, the limits originally within Powerplex were too restrictive and would interpret real values as bad or unacceptable data. As part of the verification of initial conditions, array sizes and dimensions of the input deck were checked. A typographical error was found and corrected on the description on one input card. The Specification limits on the input deck were then checked. The linear heat generation limit array had to be extended from 30,000 MWD/ST to 40,000 MWD/ST.

The next section was a comparison of Powerplex program outputs with fuel management calculations. The specifications met were:

- a. Eigen values within 0.0010;
- b. Bundle power differences within 2%;
- c. Power peaking differences within 3%; and
- d. Core thermal limits differences within 3% at full power
  - with i) no Xenon or exposure
    - ii) equilibrium Xenon, no exposure
    - iii) equilibrium Xenon, 20 hour exposure

The system was then tested to verify that all the data required by Powerplex was properly collected, transmitted and processed. Since actual reactor data are limited in scope for a given time and dependent on the reactor operating conditions, all the various functions of the Powerplex system were then tested using simulated data. Hand entered Transverse Incore Probe (TIP) data generated an "overflow" condition in a subroutine. This routine was corrected, resolving the problem. Although some minor software problems were discovered and resolved during the testing, the Powerplex system successfully met the requirements established by the Supply System and ENC.\*

\*During power operations, two more problems have been discovered in the data transmission from the process computer to Powerplex. Currently, Powerplex will only allow one substitute value of control rod position rather than the specified 10. There is also a problem with Powerplex accepting the value of the cleanup B loop flow values. Temporary fixes are being used until ENC has prepared the permanent resolution.

.)	× ×,	•		1 ) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1549 La -	•	<b>4 3</b> 1949	,
*• ** ji	e dij			• : *
: . *	insi> .	1	AP\$1.5 PA	
н <sup>с</sup> н	1 ·	v		نية ( الم

ers everiller	a en l'angente of	ucce ere req	
0.30140 04. Car	4 D O		4 6. N
かいたい ビジュ ボー・ボイー - 1 わしょうみ (つ)主 ト	1	<u>ک</u> ړ د ا	۲
● 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ου, <b>39</b> - <b>9</b> € × 5. 	5 497 Ji 19 3	· ,
•0 £8637	51 01 01 01 C		 
95 02743 C 22 44 55 CVC4 - 1734	Marchen in 19		
MARE A	u n Harlen karat	920 08 233	
1 1 1 1 00 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E SAT A MST. AL	<ul> <li>&gt;&gt;&gt;idates</li> </ul>	~ "
mal ext	NE> 17 02352-10	Par p not	γ. <b>Ν. 2*</b> μ
ME ALA L'ANNE LE CONST	n (a Ţ 9) (a) t ≥ (a) (a) (a)	143 37 7 <b>1</b> - 0	ж 1
·		» <sup>A</sup> - ¥' » ,	

з в

5 × ¥

.

J. \*\*\* # . .

;

.

1. 20 Mar 1 15 ...

• 🕐 🤄 🖑

. . . 10 37

io ( X

X218 NP1

L L X

b- 2 o Age in Distance

) ) BR 11

2 ( SC 1676)

NY \*\* 12 511 A.S. ≫n 💭 – 5 i . It is 102 1 1 a a 5.2.2.9 eld to at 1 8 317 11 1 S + 1 P ai sr

ī.

Į,

		これ 20 0130			
X1.14	viO- <sup>2</sup> n T	e is in the the the		da ron ac	,
	1914 - 721 -	CY G REEG 1			
	()x' ¥	F 7 2	-	stand (1) f (5)	
الدر الانطر	1 C3 659 - N - 1	in sat rar			
		•		• • • • •	

## Shutdown Margin Verification and Determination

An insequence Shutdown Margin Demonstration (SDM) was performed during the first startup following the outage. Technical Specifications require that this SDM be equal to or greater than  $0.38\% \Delta K/K$ , if the highest worth rod is analytically determined. The SDM was demonstrated to be  $2.21\% \Delta K/K$ . The examination of the calculations and the Cycle Management Report showed that an additional SDM demonstration at some greater exposure would not be required.

## Reactivity Anomaly Evaluation

WNP-2 Technical Specifications require that the reactivity difference between the monitored and predicted core  $K_{eff}$  is verified not to exceed 1%  $\Delta K/K$ during the first startup following core alterations. This testing was performed at approximately 300 MWD/MT of exposure and Xenon equilibrium. The predicted  $K_{eff}$  was 1.007 and the monitored  $K_{eff}$  was 0.999. As in Cycle 1, the graph of predicted  $K_{eff}$  versus exposure was normalized to the monitored  $K_{eff}$  at the beginning of cycle (BOC). The normalized graph will be used during the remainder of Cycle 2 for any subsequent reactivity anomaly surveillances.

#### Control Rod Drive Functional Testing

After fuel movement or control rod drive (CRD) maintenance, the timing of the insertion and withdrawal of each control rod is verified to be within a 40 to 60 second range. This was done during the RF86A outage after the CRD main-tenance and fuel movements were completed in order to fine tune the CRD system and to discover any physical interference problems in the core.

In addition to this, each control rod scram timing was checked. The Technical Specification requirements that must be met and the results of the testing are as follows:

a. Maximum scram time to notch position 6 (in seconds):

Required	Slowest	Actual	(to	N#5)
7		3.569		

b. Maximum average scram time of all the operable rods to the 4 notch positions (in seconds):

Notch	Required	Slowest Actual
45	.430	.308
39	.868	.608
25	1.936	1.312
5	3.497	2.355

c. Maximum average scram time for four rods in an two-by-two array to the 4 notch position (in seconds):

Notch 45	Required .430	Slowest Actual .340
39	.920	.653
25	2.052	1.440
5	3.706	2.638

	≱ ¥ ≸,₹*> 1 ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	u kan di 15 A
, ,		
r F		
د ۲۰ می ۲۰ می در ۲۰ می در ۲۰ می ۲۰ می ۲۰	n 3, 43 h 	<ul> <li>N°2</li> <li>- 8</li> <li>- 8</li> <li>- 10</li> <li>-</li></ul>

¥ **k** i. 

2 .) 6 ×

**Κ**,⊪<u>∦</u> ■

r. 193

. .

ья т тя 1 \_ ни 6 <sub>1</sub> 1

•

at , <sup>a</sup> .∳≂.. ⊎

N CO DUCTION a tott

.

٩

. . .

.

- d.
- In order to operate under the normal Minimum Critical Power Ratio (MCPR) of 1.27 for ENC fuel and 1.28 for GE fuel, the slowest average scram time of four rods in a two-by-two array must be less than the following (in seconds):

Notch	•	Time
45		.404
39		.660
25		1.504
· 9 · 1	,	2.624

If the average scram time of any four rods in a two-by-two array exceed the times indicated above, the Plant must operate with an MCPR penalty. As a result of the scram time testing, WNP-2 operated with an MCPR limit of 1.32, until the slowest rods were vented and scram time measurements repeated. The new scram times met the criteria permitting the normal MCPR limits to be applied.

## Core Power Symmetry Analysis

After the outage a core power symmetry analysis was performed to determine the degree of Transverse Incore Probes (TIP) asymmetry based on comparisons of symmetric TIP string traces. A statistical analysis of data obtained from TIP readings with reactor power stable at rated power and near equilibrium showed that the TIP system met the criteria\* for symmetry as established by ENC.

\*Criteria involved a chi squared ( $X^2$ ) test at a 1% level of significance, requiring  $X^2$  value of less than 36.19. Analysis of the data resulted in a  $X^2$  value of 3.285.



L N

...

κ<sub>α</sub> × • and the state

		••	Г., <sub>се в</sub> . • •			۲		1.8	r	Q		· ·			ī	
ņ	Þ		• •	* ** /	3						• •	. er 1		6		
• •	es ( t	٤.,	 	. •,			•		<b>~~</b>	ł <del></del>	**	_k≺ * ,	,			•
									50 Ø	e •	5 <b>4</b>			•	'	4
	N_ BUT	٢														

,

ی د کار کار ور او کار را کار ور او کار و مواجه او کار او او د ماه مواجه او او د ماه مو ••• €

.



## WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

P.O. Box 968 • 3000 George Washington Way • Richland, Washington 99352

Docket No. 50-397

August 29, 1986

Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: NUCLEAR PLANT NO. 2 STARTUP SUMMARY REPORT FOLLOWING RESUMPTION OF COMMERCIAL POWER OPERATION

Reference: Technical Specification Sections 6.9.1.1, 6.9.1.2, and 6.9.1.3

Dear Sirs:

In accordance with the requirements of Plant 2 Technical Specification Sections 6.9.1.1, 6.9.1.2, and 6.9.1.3, the Supply System hereby submits the Startup Summary Report following the Spring 1986 Refueling Outage (RF86A).

Should you have any questions or comments, please contact Mr. M. R. Wuestefeld, WNP-2 Plant Engineering Supervisor-Reactor Systems, at (509) 377-2076.

Very truly yours,

owins C. M. Powers (927M)

WNP-2 Plant Manager

CMP:1p Enclosure

cc: J. B. Martin (NRC-Region V) R. T. Dodds (NRC-Site) - 901A