November 3, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR:Carlton C. Kammerer, Director
Office of Congressional AffairsFROM:William J. Dircks
Executive Director For OperationsSUBJECT:SUPPLEMENTING THE RECORD OF THE OTTINGER HEARING

SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENTING THE RECORD OF THE OTTINGER HEARINGS ON FINANCING THE COMPLETION OF WPPSS POWER PLANTS

During the hearings held on September 14, 1983 by the Subcommittee on Energy Conservation and Power of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce to examine to proposed legislation to provide financing for the completion of WPPSS power plants, a question was directed to the NRC concerning whether NRC licensing of ownership must be obtained by any new entity created in order to provide the necessary financing.

Our supplement for the record is attached.

(Signed) T. A. Rehm

William J. Dircks Executive Director for Operations

4	Attachment: As stated				٠
	DISTRIBUTION: (w/attachment) NRC Docket LPDR PDR FF (2) W.Dircks (chron)(6209) T.Novak (110) G.Cunningham M.Murray ESChristenbury/Scinto Rutberg (chron)	Chandler Paton Hassell A.Schwencer (14 R.Auluck (144) A.Vietti (128) L.Shollenbergen J.Martin (Rgn.	r (Rgn. V)		
OFC :OELD	:OELD :ELD///	- INREA I	EDO	:	•
NAME JRuth	rg/kt EChristenbury Counning	ham: TNovak	:WDircks		
DATE 10 14 18	B3 1/1/83 1/1/5/83	: 11 / 1 /83	11 / 3 /83		•
	B311140473 B31103 PDR ADOCK 05000397 A PDR		`		

Ustober , . . .

> + ₽().	(1) A COLUMN TO THE ACCOUNT OF A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMNTA A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMN TO A COLUMNT
ş. * , *	29 1921年1日 - 1月1日 - 1月1日 - 1日 - 1月1日 - 1日 - 1月1日 - 1日 -
4" ×4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

BE REPAIR BURNER TO A DORA TO A THE

· *

in the parameters and the set of the set of

Foresting the is here and

in the second seco the second se

Insert for the record: page 68, line 1540

During hearings that were held on September 14, 1983 by the Subcommittee on Energy Conservation and Power of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce to examine the subject of financing the completion of power plants owned by the Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS) and in particular proposed section 317 of H.R. 3363 a question was asked of the NRC representative to which we now submit a supplemental response.

Pursuant to the proposed legislation, one of the methods that would be available as a means for financing the completion WPPSS Units One, Two and Three would be the formation of a new entity, established by State law, that would provide funds for the continued construction of the project. Mr. Wyden, at page 67 of the transcript, requested information concerning what the impact would be on the licensing of WPPSS Unit Three, if the new entity were required to have an ownership interest in the facility.

The answer to the question posed depends on the nature of the activities of the new entity. If the new entity is to be an owner, in whole or in part, of the facility, an amendment of the construction permit or operating license would be required authorizing such ownership. This involves, after appropriate notice, a determination that the new owner is qualified for the activity authorized; e.g. if it involves simple partial ownership with no control over operation of the facility, only financial qualification need be assessed (there would be no question of alien ownership). However, if the new entity were to be involved in the construction or operation of the facility, its technical qualifications would also be evaluated. If the new entity were to own or control the current licensee then Commission approval under 10 C.F.R. § 50.80 would be required. On the other hand, if the new entity were to be a mortgage holder or other creditor only, general Commission approval has been granted by regulation, 10 C.F.R. § 50.81, and no license amendment would be required.

Until the exact form and functions of the new entity are decided, it is not possible to provide greater detail as to what may be required in order to transfer an ownership interest to this new entity.

- 2 -

E: HIF257030

1523

1534

PAGE 6"

s14 method of payment they are using now isn't giving them the
s15 discipline to pay the government when they should be paid.
s16 So that is a policy that they seem to be resisting any
s17 change to, and that goes back to 1981 when we first brought
s18 it to their attention.

Mr. WYDEN. Let me just ask--let the gentleman from FERC comment, and I have one other quick question. My chairman 1521 says we are about out of time, but I have one other very 1522 quick and important question.

The gentleman from FERC.

Mr. LAMKE. With regard to the priorities and discretion; S25 many of these policies were carried forward, developed by S26 the Department of Interior when they had authority over Power marketing agencies. They had been carried forward to a large extent by the Department of Energy.

Some things have been changed. I don't recall that the IS30 level of priority of payment has been changed, and most of those priorities are found in a document referred to as RA-IS32 6120-2 of the Department of Energy that sets out these types of priorities as to when you are going to pay for what.

Mr. WYDEN. Thank you.

One last question to the gentleman from the NRC. If the
new entities, so-called new entity under the approach being
taken by Mr. McClure were required to have an ownership
interest as a condition for Wall Street participation, how

ERUTERE RH-8702MM

41465 (10F2)

HAME: HIF257030

PAGE 68

	14116	ALE 257050 PAGE 68
	1539	would this affect NRC's licensing of WPPSS three?
Ì	1540	Mr. MARTIN. Mr. Wyden, I am going to have to get you an
l	1541	answer for the record on that, a precise answer, but my
	1542	impression is there would be some administrative changes to
	1543	the license, but that it would not involve anything very
	1544	major, but I just don't know precisely those legal details.
	1545	PerhapsI have a counsel here who could probably answer
	1546	that, Mr. Rutberg.
	1547	Mr. OTTINGER. Would you identify yourself?
•	1548	Mr. RUTBERG. Joseph Rutberg.
	1549	Mr. OTTINGER. How do you spell your last name?
	.1550	Mr. RUTBERG. R-u-t-b-e-x-g. I reiterate what Mr. Martin
	1551	said. I would like an opportunity to go back and reflect on
	1552	the question, but initially a new owner would have to be
	1553	considered by the NRC and licensed as a new owner as any
	1554	other owner would have to be.
	\$555	Mr. WYDEX. So the whole process would really start over.
	1556	Mr. RUTBERG. When you say the whole process, I am not
	1557	sure.
	1558	Mr. WYDEN. You said permits? You said new owner.
	1559	Mr. RUTBERG. No, there would have to be an addition of
	1560	that owner to the new license. There would not have to be a
	1561	neu license, a neu permit.
-	1562	Mr. WYDEX. We look forward to your answer on that as
	1563	well.
		(2q/2)

, , , , , , , , , , ,

, 5

ľ

11

.

. .