

<b>NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION</b> (6-1998) <div style="text-align: center;"><b>LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)</b></div> <div style="text-align: center;">(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)</div>						<b>APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/2001</b> <small>Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hrs. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Forward comments regarding burden estimate to the Records Management Branch (T-6 F33), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to the Paperwork Reduction Project (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.</small>																														
<b>FACILITY NAME (1)</b> <div style="text-align: center;">Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1</div>						<b>DOCKET NUMBER (2)</b> <div style="text-align: center;">05000-315</div>		<b>PAGE (3)</b> <div style="text-align: center;">1 OF 5</div>																												
<b>TITLE (4)</b> <div style="text-align: center;">Ice Condenser Bypass Leakage Exceeds Design Basis Limit</div>																																				
<b>EVENT DATE (5)</b> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>MONTH</th> <th>DAY</th> <th>YEAR</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">04</td> <td style="text-align: center;">22</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1999</td> </tr> </table>			MONTH	DAY	YEAR	04	22	1999	<b>LER NUMBER (6)</b> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>YEAR</th> <th>SEQUENTIAL NUMBER</th> <th>REVISION NUMBER</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">1998</td> <td style="text-align: center;">037</td> <td style="text-align: center;">01</td> </tr> </table>			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	1998	037	01	<b>REPORT DATE (7)</b> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>MONTH</th> <th>DAY</th> <th>YEAR</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">05</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1999</td> </tr> </table>			MONTH	DAY	YEAR	05	24	1999	<b>OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)</b> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>FACILITY NAME</th> <th>DOCKET NUMBER</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">05000-316</td> </tr> <tr> <th>FACILITY NAME</th> <th>DOCKET NUMBER</th> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </table>		FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER	Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 2	05000-316	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR																																		
04	22	1999																																		
YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER																																		
1998	037	01																																		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR																																		
05	24	1999																																		
FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER																																			
Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 2	05000-316																																			
FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER																																			
<b>OPERATING MODE (9)</b> <div style="text-align: center;">5</div>			<b>THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5: (Check one or more) (11)</b>																																	
<b>POWER LEVEL (10)</b> <div style="text-align: center;">0%</div>			20.2201(b)		20.2203(a)(2)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)																											
			20.2203(a)(1)		20.2203(a)(3)(i)		X 50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)																											
			20.2203(a)(2)(i)		20.2203(a)(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		73.71																											
			20.2203(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203(a)(4)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)		OTHER																											
			20.2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)		Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A																											
20.2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vii)																																
<b>LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)</b>																																				
<b>NAME</b> <div style="text-align: center;">Lyle R. Berry, Regulatory Compliance Engineer</div>						<b>TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)</b> <div style="text-align: center;">(616) 465-5901 X1623</div>																														
<b>COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)</b>																																				
<b>CAUSE</b>	<b>SYSTEM</b>	<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>MANUFACTURER</b>	<b>REPORTABLE TO EPIX</b>		<b>CAUSE</b>	<b>SYSTEM</b>	<b>COMPONENT</b>	<b>MANUFACTURER</b>	<b>REPORTABLE TO EPIX</b>																										
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)</b>						<b>EXPECTED</b>		<b>MONTH DAY YEAR</b>																												
<b>YES</b> (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					X <b>NO</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>																											
<b>ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)</b>																																				
<p>On August 12, 1998, with Unit 1 in Mode 5, cold shutdown, it was determined that the design basis limit of 5 square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>) for bypass flow around the ice bed during an accident had been exceeded by the cumulative effect of multiple bypass flow paths. This was determined reportable under 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i), as a degraded condition discovered while the unit is shutdown that, if found while the reactor was operating, would have resulted in the plant being in an unanalyzed condition. An ENS notification was made at 1530 hours EDT. The LER was submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii). The individual bypass conditions identified in the original LER were attributed to unauthorized modifications. Significant bypass flow paths are being corrected as they are discovered.</p> <p>On April 22, 1999, in Mode 5, during a review of the adequacy of the design and installation of the Divider Barrier Seal (DBS) as a part of the Expanded System Readiness Reviews, it was determined that the seal design and installation at the end walls of the ice condenser does not provide a pressure tight boundary. This newly identified condition further increased the cumulative bypass flow area above the design basis limit of 5 ft<sup>2</sup>. The apparent cause of the DBS ice condenser bypass is that the pressure seal required by a revised Westinghouse design was not incorporated into the AEP design. The reason for this discrepancy is unknown. The DBS ice condenser bypass will be corrected via a design change prior to Mode 4. The new and previously identified conditions have been evaluated and it has been determined that the safety significance of the cumulative bypass flow paths around the ice bed is negligible. If all known conditions had existed simultaneously, and passed flow at their maximum bypass capability, the cumulative effect would have been above the design basis limit of 5 ft<sup>2</sup>, but below the value assumed in the most limiting accident analyses. Therefore, there were no implications to the health and safety of the public.</p>																																				

9906030254 990524  
 PDR ADOCK 05000315  
 S PDR



**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**  
**TEXT CONTINUATION**

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	1998	037	01	2 OF 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

**Conditions Prior To Event**

Unit 1 Mode 5 at 0% power

Unit 2 Mode 5 at 0% power

**Description Of The Event**

The Cook units are pressurized water reactors with ice condenser containments. The ice condenser containment requires that the steam and air flowing from the lower containment compartment in the event of an accident be routed to the upper compartment via the ice bed. To accomplish this, a structural barrier, called the divider barrier, separates the lower and upper containment compartments. The divider barrier includes the walls of the ice compartment, the upper deck, the compartments enclosing the upper portion of the steam generators and pressurizer, the gate separating the reactor cavity from the refueling canal, and portions of the walls of the refueling canal.

The design basis limits bypass flow around the ice bed to no more than 5 square feet (ft<sup>2</sup>). The installed bypass through the refueling cavity drains is equivalent to 2.2 ft<sup>2</sup> plus approximately 0.36 ft<sup>2</sup> bypass via the backdraft damper of the air return fans, totaling 2.56 ft<sup>2</sup> of bypass area. While work was being performed in the upper ice condenser, it was determined that the 5 ft<sup>2</sup> limit was potentially exceeded in the past as a result of multiple bypass conditions in addition to the installed bypass. The cumulative effect for the known bypass flow paths had been calculated to be 5.71 ft<sup>2</sup>. Since it could not be determined how long most of the identified conditions had existed, the bypass flow was conservatively assumed to have concurrently existed.

The degraded condition that was identified on August 12, 1998, which increased the bypass flow area above the design basis limit was an improperly sealed glycol line penetration, which was discovered in the end wall. The end wall of the ice condenser in the lower plenum area is a reinforced concrete wall with embedded pipe sleeves for glycol piping penetration and direct embedded conduit penetrations. The flashing around the penetration was removed and it was then discovered that the piping was wrapped in fibrous insulation but had no sealing mechanism. The insulation wrapping the pipe would not have constituted any appreciable deterrent to steam flow in the event of an accident.

On April 22, 1999, a condition was identified which further increased the bypass flow area above the 5 ft<sup>2</sup> limit. This condition, an inadequate divider barrier seal (DBS) design and installation at the end walls of the ice condenser, does not provide a pressure tight boundary. This represents an additional ice condenser bypass area of approximately 30.78 ft<sup>2</sup>. When combined with the historical cumulative bypass area, this represents a total bypass area of approximately 36.49 ft<sup>2</sup>. The current known bypasses total approximately 35.51 ft<sup>2</sup>, which accounts for repairs made after the original LER submittal.

As described in the UFSAR, the divider barrier seal is a flexible barrier located between the bottom of the ice condenser compartment and the containment cylinder wall to prevent the flow of steam and air from bypassing the ice condenser. The seal does not function as the pressure boundary by itself, but is backed up by a steel plate with which it is in contact. The seal assembly is designed to withstand a peak pressure of 24 psi.

The DBS at the ice condenser end walls was installed as a ventilation barrier and not as a pressure retaining seal. It is postulated that this resulted from the original ice condenser design in which the ice baskets on the containment wall side extended all the way to the floor (58 ft). This original design was intended to ensure that any steam that entered the ice condenser would travel through the ice columns prior to entering upper containment, eliminating the need to have a pressure retaining seal on the end walls.

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**  
**TEXT CONTINUATION**

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	O5000-315	1998	037	01	3 OF 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

With the current ice condenser design, the bottom of the ice baskets is 10 ft above the bottom of the lower plenum floor. When an event occurs, the air and steam enter the ice condenser lower plenum and can pressurize the area up to 12 psi, exposing the DBS seal at the end walls to that same pressure. Due to the design of the seal, it is conservatively assumed that the seal will fail and allow the steam to bypass the ice baskets and enter into upper containment directly.

**Cause Of The Event**

The individual bypass conditions reported in the original LER were attributed to unauthorized modifications. A lack of documented configuration and inadequate implementation of the design change control process resulted in the creation of bypass paths via material substitutions, original construction discrepancies, inadequate service life, and unsealing of spare penetrations during the performance of work activities. The root cause of the cumulative bypass was attributed to lack of understanding of the design basis of containment as a system, and of the divider barrier in particular. This was coupled with a lack of a comprehensive inspection program for detection of defects and damage to the divider barrier.

The apparent cause of the newly discovered ice condenser bypass is that the pressure seal required by a revised Westinghouse design was not incorporated into the AEP design. The reason for this discrepancy is unknown. According to the original Westinghouse ice condenser design, the ice baskets on the containment wall side started at the level of the ice condenser bottom slab and extended upward 58 feet. This design was to ensure that all steam entering the ice condenser would travel through the ice baskets before entering upper containment. With this design, only a ventilation barrier was needed to seal the end walls. Later, Westinghouse implemented a new design which located the bottoms of all ice baskets 10 ft above the ice condenser bottom slab. This is the basket design that was installed at Cook Nuclear Plant. However, when the later design was introduced, the ventilation barrier was not upgraded to include a pressure seal capable of withstanding 24 psi.

**Analysis Of The Event**

The originally identified condition was determined to be reportable on August 12, 1998, in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i), as a degraded condition discovered while the unit is shutdown that, if found while the reactor was operating, would have resulted in the plant being in an unanalyzed condition, and an ENS notification was made at 1530 hours EDT. The original LER was submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii) as an event or condition outside the design basis of the plant. An additional ice condenser bypass condition involving the DBS identified on April 22, 1999 was also determined to be reportable pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii). The DBS ice condenser bypass condition is applicable to both units. For Unit 2, refer to LER supplement 316/98-004-01.

The known bypass flow paths summarized below represent the condition as reported on August 12, 1998:

	Open Area in Ft <sup>2</sup>
Refueling Cavity Drains	2.20
Backdraft damper of air return fans	0.36
Ice Condenser Air Handling Unit line	0.005
1-CPS-209, 1-CPS-210	0.017
1-VMO-101	1.069
Divider Barrier Hatches	1.4
Glycol Lines through Ice Condenser End Wall	0.66
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>5.71</b>

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**  
**TEXT CONTINUATION**

	DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	1998	037	01	4 OF 5

The known bypass flow paths summarized below represent the current condition:

	Open Area in Ft <sup>2</sup>
Refueling Cavity Drains	2.20
Backdraft damper of air return fans	0.36
Ice Condenser Air Handling Unit line	0.005
1-CPS-209, 1-CPS-210	0.0 (repaired)
1-VMO-101	0.0 (repaired)
Divider Barrier Hatches	1.4
Glycol Lines through Ice Condenser End Wall	0.66
CEQ Stairwell and Vent Well Drain Lines	0.1
CEQ Fan Room	0.007
Divider Barrier Seal	<u>30.78</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>35.51</b>

The cumulative bypass has been evaluated, and it has been concluded that the safety significance of this condition is negligible. Therefore, there were no implications to the health and safety of the public. Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) Chapter 5, Section 5.2.2.4 states that the design basis bypass area is 5 ft<sup>2</sup>. UFSAR Chapter 14.0 describes the accident analyses for different size pipe breaks and the allowable ice condenser bypass flow for each case. Analysis results indicate a value of 35 ft<sup>2</sup> as the allowable deck leakage area for the entire spectrum of break sizes. The limiting case is an 8 inch break with one spray pump operating (2000 gpm at 80 degrees F).

A second UFSAR analysis, using a more realistic method, states that with one spray pump the deck leakage could be 56 ft<sup>2</sup> for an 8 inch break. This analysis takes credit for passive heat sinks and additional containment spray. Thus the identified value of historical value of bypass (approximately 30.78 + 5.71 = 36.49 ft<sup>2</sup>) for Unit 1 is bounded by UFSAR analysis, but is outside of the ice condenser design basis value of 5 ft<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, since the plant was not in an unanalyzed condition, the ENS report made August 12, 1998, in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(i), was determined to have been unnecessary.

**CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

Numerous material condition walkdowns and assessments have been performed, which have increased the potential to identify bypass paths in the divider barrier. Significant degraded conditions resulting in divider barrier bypass are being corrected via corrective maintenance or design changes as they are identified. The Refueling Cavity Drains are permanent bypasses, which of course will remain open. The backdraft damper of the air return fans, ice condenser AHU line and the CEQ stairwell and vent well drain line bypasses are currently not scheduled for repair and represent an insignificant contribution to the cumulative ice condenser bypass. The DBS ice condenser bypass will be corrected via a design change prior to Mode 4.

To prevent unauthorized or inadvertent design changes, 12 PMI 5040.DCP.001 "Design Change Determination", has been developed and implemented. To enhance recognition of a design change, 12 PMI 2291.PLAN.001 "Work Control Planning Process," is being implemented. Additionally, the UFSAR validation project will enhance the quality of the design basis and configuration documentation. Containment is one of the selected systems. Procedure 12 EHP 6040 PER.154, "Containment Divider Barrier Walkdown," has been developed to guide inspection of the divider barrier on a refueling outage frequency for possible bypass paths. This procedure includes provisions to ensure that the design basis allowable cumulative bypass is not exceeded, through correction and/or tracking of any identified bypass paths.

**LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)**  
**TEXT CONTINUATION**

	<b>DOCKET (2) NUMBER (2)</b>	<b>LER NUMBER (6)</b>			<b>PAGE (3)</b>
Cook Nuclear Plant Unit 1	05000-315	<b>YEAR</b>	<b>SEQUENTIAL NUMBER</b>	<b>REVISION NUMBER</b>	5 OF 5
		1998	037	01	

**SIMILAR EVENTS**

316/98-004-00