

November 21, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: Ledyard B. Marsh, Director
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

FROM: José A. Calvo, Chief (Original signed by J. Calvo)
Electrical Engineering Branch
Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REGARDING 24-MONTH SURVEILLANCE INTERVALS
FOR GINNA STATION (TAC NO. M92969)

Plant: Ginna Station, Unit 1
Licensee: Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation
Review Status: Open

By letter dated May 26, 1995, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation requested a Technical Specification change to increase the surveillance intervals from 18 months to 24 months for offsite power and emergency diesel generator surveillance testing. The Electrical Engineering Branch (EELB) has completed its preliminary review of the licensee's submittal. On the basis of our review, we have determined that there are outstanding areas which require greater clarification. The specific areas where additional information is required are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this Request for Additional Information (RAI) to the licensee expeditiously so that the outstanding issues can be resolved for the subject TS change.

Docket No.: 50-244
Attachment: As stated

DISTRIBUTION:
Central Files
PDR
EELB R/F
BWSheron
GCLainas

ARJohnson
CSSchulten
AJohnson/Secys (via Email)

CONTACT: R. Jenkins, NRR/DE
415-2985

DISK/DOCUMENT NAME: G:\SHARED\GINNA.RAI

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy w/o attachment, "E" = Copy w/attachment, "N" = No copy

OFC	EELB:DE	<i>E</i>	SC:EELB:DE	<i>E</i>	C:EELB:DE	<i>ntc</i>			
NAME	RVJenkins:jc	<i>WJ</i>	ASGill		JACalvo				
DATE	<i>11/21/95</i>		<i>11/21/95</i>		<i>11/21/95</i>	<i>11/20/95</i>	<i>/ /</i>	<i>/ /</i>	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9511270026 951121
PDR ADDCK 05000244
P PDR

220026

NRC FILE CENTER COPY
Memo
Dfo



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 21, 1995

MEMORANDUM TO: Ledyard B. Marsh, Director
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Reactor Projects I/II

FROM: José A. Calvo, Chief *José A. Calvo*
Electrical Engineering Branch
Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REGARDING 24-MONTH SURVEILLANCE INTERVALS
FOR GINNA STATION (TAC NO. M92969)

Plant: Ginna Station, Unit 1
Licensee: Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation
Review Status: Open

By letter dated May 26, 1995, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation requested a Technical Specification change to increase the surveillance intervals from 18 months to 24 months for offsite power and emergency diesel generator surveillance testing. The Electrical Engineering Branch (EELB) has completed its preliminary review of the licensee's submittal. On the basis of our review, we have determined that there are outstanding areas which require greater clarification. The specific areas where additional information is required are discussed in the attachment. Please forward this Request for Additional Information (RAI) to the licensee expeditiously so that the outstanding issues can be resolved for the subject TS change.

Docket No.: 50-244

Attachment: As stated

CONTACT: R. Jenkins, NRR/DE
415-2985

GINNA STATION, UNIT 1
DOCKET NO. 50-244
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING
24-MONTH SURVEILLANCE INTERVALS
(TAC NO. M92969)

The following questions apply to both the AC power (Offsite Power) and the Emergency Diesel Generator systems (Reference: Surveillance Requirements 3.8.1.6; 3.8.1.7; 3.8.1.8 and 3.8.1.9).

1. Is the change (i.e., proposed extension in the surveillance interval) consistent with manufacturer's recommendations? Given that the licensee has consulted the equipment manufacturer were there any additional measures (e.g., interim inspections, added preventative maintenance activities) recommended and if so, what was the disposition of those recommendations?
2. Did the licensee evaluation include a review of the corrective and preventative maintenance activities now in place in order to assess whether the proposed extension of the surveillance interval will lead to any deterioration in the system or components?
3. Did the licensee evaluation include a review of the maintenance history to determine whether there were any performance-related indications which would suggest that the proposed extension of the surveillance interval could cause deterioration in the system/component condition or performance? What additional measures have been taken to address the subject indications?
4. Did the licensee evaluation include a review of the operating surveillance results and history for the subject systems and components to ensure that the proposed extension will not negatively affect any corrective action activities or the investigation of any long term operating problems? Discuss any failures involving the subject component/system as well as whether there would be any increase in failure rate as a result of the proposed extension of the surveillance interval.

